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Introduction

This document has been prepared to assist the Council in making decisions on which sites will be taken forward into a Site Allocations Document (SAD). As part of this process, it looks at the single issue of landscape sensitivity. Natural England (2014) defines landscape sensitivity as the extent to which a landscape can accept change of a particular type (in this case new residential and commercial development) without unacceptable adverse effects on its character1.

Background

The Council is preparing a SAD as part of the Local plan. This follows on from the Core Strategy which looked at where future growth would take place in the District. The Core Strategy was adopted in December 2012 and identifies the villages most suitable for accommodating growth, by setting out a hierarchy based on the level of available services within each settlement.

The next stage of the process is to decide which areas in around the main settlements – referred to as ‘Main Service Villages’ and ‘Local Service Villages’, is to allocate specific sites for development. There are 16 villages in South Staffordshire where some level of growth will take place.

The main focus for growth is the Main Service Villages of Bilbrook, Brewood, Cheslyn Hay, Codsall, Great Wyrley, Kinver, Penkridge, Perton and Wombourne. The Local Service Villages of Coven, Essington, Featherstone, Huntington, Pattingham, Swindon and Wheaton Aston are identified for accommodating more limited growth.

The Council has many factors to take into account when making decisions on which sites are most suitable for development. This is a lengthy and rigorous sieving process which looks at issues covering Green Belt, highways, flooding, the historic environment and heritage assets and the impact on the natural environment.

Part of examining the issues around the natural environment requires an assessment to be made of which areas are most and, conversely, least sensitive to change through development. This specific issue is addressed though the preparation of a Landscape Sensitivity Study.

The following pages include text and maps that, together, build up a picture of the areas around each of the 16 settlements that are most and least sensitive to development. This will then be weighed together with the other determining factors to decide which sites are to be allocated for development.

It should be noted that the assessment does not cover strategic employment sites. These will be subject to a separate, stand-alone study based on a specific methodology.

---

1 An Approach to Landscape Character Assessment, Natural England 2014
Methodology

There are a number of stages necessary in building up a picture of landscape sensitivity. The first stage looked at the character of the landscape at the Landscape Description Unit (LDU) scale. This provided broad information relating to the form of the landscape, its features, the presence (or otherwise) of characteristic landscape elements and settlement spread.

Stage two involved the production of maps showing each settlement in its landscape context with information taken from GIS overlaid onto each map. This included railway lines, major roads, water courses and their floodplains, lakes and ponds, recreational routes, areas of significant vegetation, Ancient Woodlands, Sites of Biological Interest (SBIs), Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), Conservation Areas, Historic Landscape Areas, development boundaries and contours.

The third stage was a desktop study, using the maps, aerial photographs and street-level views to firstly identify appropriate Land Cover Parcels (LCPs) then make an initial assessment of sensitivity based on the following factors:

- Designations;
- Landscape characteristics;
- Landcover;
- Key views and intervisibility;
- Skylines;
- Tranquility;
- Visual and functional relationships;
- The nature of the settlement edge; and
- Receptors

Each LCP was given a unique reference number. An assessment form for each LCP was produced covering all of these factors and also to include a summary description and justification for the final assessment of LCPs as either low, medium or high.

The final stage involved extensive fieldwork to test the initial desktop findings and to make a final assessment of sensitivity. From this stage, it was found that some LCPs included areas of varying sensitivity. Another set of maps was then produced setting out the sensitivity of each LCP with a colour code for each level i.e. high – red; medium – yellow; low – green. Consideration was given to whether high/medium and medium/low should have been included. However, based on the number of LCPs around each settlement, this would have been onerous and unnecessary given that only housing development is covered in the assessment. Furthermore, the need for clear definitions was imperative in order to provide a straightforward way of scoring sites as part of the preparation of the SAD. Therefore, in this context the use of ‘high’, ‘medium’ and ‘low’ was considered to provide a more decisive way of assessing sensitivity of the landscape around each settlement.

Notwithstanding any commonality at LDU scale, it should be noted that the assessment of sensitivity takes account of the differing characteristics of each of the 16 settlements and their contexts. As this document relates to housing development only, the methodology is tailored to the particular characteristics of each settlement. The assessment of sensitivity is therefore relative to local characteristics. Thus the terms used in this study reflect the particular characteristics of South Staffordshire including the scale of the landscape. For example, the use of the term ‘large field’ should not be taken as meaning the recognised description of a large field being 10ha in size. Rather, it is a reflection of the local characteristics around each of the 16 settlements where fields
may be much less than 10ha in size but are large in comparison to other fields and the context of the settlement and its surrounding landscape. The actual threshold sizes of fields for the purposes of the study are not defined but have relied on professional judgement.

Areas of public open space and certain other land uses (e.g. quarries and areas of woodland) have not been assessed within in the study as they are restricted by planning policy and planning conditions that constrain the potential for development.

**Sensitivity definitions**

**High**

Landscape and/or visual characteristics of the LCP are very vulnerable to change and/or its intrinsic values are high and the LCP is unable to accommodate housing development without significant character change or adverse effects. Thresholds for significant change are very low.

**Medium**

Landscape and/or visual characteristics of the LCP are susceptible to change and/or its intrinsic values are moderate but the LCP has some potential to accommodate housing development in some situations without significant character change or adverse effects. Thresholds for significant change are intermediate.

**Low**

Landscape and/or visual characteristics of the LCP are degraded and/or its intrinsic values are low and the LCP can accommodate housing development without significant character change or adverse effects. Thresholds for significant change are very high.

**Explanation of the sensitivity assessment forms**

**Summary description**

This is a description of the characteristics of the LCP. It notes the key elements that comprise the LCP including landform, scale, field boundaries, trees and woodlands, vegetation type, roads, Public Rights of Way (PRoWs), rivers, brooks and ponds along with any other key features. Historic interest is also referenced and is assessed in relation to heritage features and the origin of field patterns. All of the information in the subsequent sections of the form links back to this section.

**Justification**

This sets out the reasons on which a judgement of sensitivity has been made. Where there is variance of sensitivity within one LCP, this is explained in the text. As with the summary description, justification takes account of the other information on the form.

**Landscape character context**

The LDU is the broad area of landscape with common characteristics in which the LCP is located. This has been informed by the county-wide landscape character work undertaken by Staffordshire County Council. Because of the size of LDUs, there will often be variance between the broader characteristics and those within the LCPs. In terms of the more detailed level of study sensitivity each LCP has been assessed against a particular type of development and there may be therefore be differences in the judgements made. However, information at the LDU level still provides useful context.
Land cover parcels (LCPs)

The information in this section has been based on the desktop study in order to define each LCP. It includes land use, field pattern and field size. This links back to the historic references in the summary description.

Designations

Within this section, landscape/planning, biodiversity, historic and other designations are highlighted. Designations can indicate that an area is inherently sensitive, for example an LCP within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and/or that contains a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI).

Characteristics

The broad character of the LCP is described briefly in terms of landform – the topography and landcover – the LCP’s use. Simple land use can be indicative of strong consistency of character; either positive or negative. Diversity can indicate a rich and varied landscape which might be adversely affected by large scale development but could, dependant on the particular circumstances accept a small, well designed development.

Also, the section on characteristics includes information on field boundaries, vegetation, patch survival, the condition of ecological corridors, the presence of water and the intensity of the area’s use. The elements provide an indication of the condition and function of the LCP, contributing to intrinsic sensitivity.

Key views

Any views from the LCP to the settlement and from the settlement to the LCP are noted. This also includes views from publicly accessible places (such as PRoWs). Any landmarks and detracting features are also noted. Important views may increase sensitivity.

Intervisibility

The fieldwork notes whether the LCP is visible to the surrounding area (both landscape and settlement). Views to and from key places/features are also noted. An area of high intervisibility is likely to be more sensitive than if it is visually discreet.

Skyline

The prominence and importance of any skyline within the LCP is noted along with a brief description of its complexity. Skylines are sensitive features through being widely visible with features being brought out in relief against a light sky. Varied skylines can be more attractive and of value although small developments might be able to be accommodated. Less attractive skylines may also be sensitive to change as it may be more noticeable. As general principle, any development should avoid breaking the skyline.

Tranquility

This section is broken down into noise sources, the presence of the settlement in views and the presence of people. The more and louder the noise sources, the lower the tranquillity. The more views of development and/or the number of people, also results in lower tranquillity. Tranquility is of high value, particularly near to large settlements and therefore contributes to sensitivity.
Functional relationship

Any functional relationship of the LCP with the settlement, the wider landscape and with an adjacent LCP is recorded. Some LCPs may be interdependent with others and change in one may adversely affect all.

Visual relationship

Any visual relationship of the LCP with the settlement, the wider landscape and with an adjacent LCP is recorded. Some LCPs may be interdependent with others and change in one may adversely affect all.

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Some LCPs may be interdependent with others and change in one may adversely affect all. Mutual reliance may be functional and/or visual.

Settlement edge

The edges of settlements are recorded as being pre or post 20th century. Where the older part of a settlement is adjacent to an LCP, it is likely to give rise to higher sensitivity. The positive or negative nature of the edge is noted as is its form i.e. whether it is smooth, linear or indented. Indented edges to settlements are generally more attractive and are often older. This is more sensitive than bland or negative settlement edges.

Receptors and sensitivity

Receptors are people who may experience views of a place in a variety of situations and who may be affected by change (including through development). Some receptors are more sensitive than others. For example, users of a canal towpath will experience change for a more prolonged period than someone travelling quickly along a major road. The greater the number of sensitive receptors, the greater the effect of change.

Potential for mitigation and improvement of the settlement edge

Comment is only made where potential exists. New development may present opportunities for strengthening the settlement edge and for creating a new well-defined development boundary. This can take the form of substantial new planting of woodland or linear belts of vegetation to provide screening.
**Bilbrook**

Bilbrook was once a small farming village centred around the estate of Bilbrook Manor. It saw expansion throughout the 19th and 20th Centuries following the advent of the railways and the building of the Boulton Paul aircraft factory. The latter, in particular, led to significant expansion of the village with the construction of a number of housing estates.

The settlement effectively merged with the neighbouring village of Codsall on its western boundaries. It has a distinctly 20th Century suburban character. However, it retains a distinctive core centred around the village green in Duck Lane. The settlement edge is abrupt with farmland immediately beyond. It is enclosed by the West Midlands Green Belt on all sides. The farmland to the east and north emphasises the enclosure of the settlement and its important connections with the surrounding agricultural landscape remain.

The arterial roads leading off Bilbrook Road/Lane green Road/Lane green Road define the settlement pattern in conjunction with the route of the railway and the countryside edge. The village has a low rise form which is enhanced by a number of green spaces of varying size. These provide strong links to the open countryside.
Brewood

Brewood has ancient origins. Its name can be traced back to the Anglo-Saxon period and means ‘wood at the hill called Bre’. The settlement is located at the high point of a low ridge with the Staffordshire Plain Landscape Character Area and overlooks the shallow valley of the River Penk. The village is enclosed by the West Midlands Green Belt.

The village grew slowly from the 13th Century but saw speedier expansion in the 19th Century with dwellings being built along the main arterial routes. This was compounded by further rapid 20th Century expansion that included areas of ribbon development extending from the core of the village out into the open countryside beyond the village boundary to the south and west.

The settlement has a compact nature which is emphasised by its landscape setting. Winding lanes are enclosed by mature hedgerows. Roads lead into the village centre focussed around a small open square named ‘Market Place’. The surrounding countryside is well-wooded and this serves to channel views to and from the village. The Shropshire Union Canal forms a strong landscape feature and a strong edge to the settlement despite the ribbon development to the west. There are important views to the village from the canal. Distant views towards the settlement are dominated by the spire of St. Mary and St. Chad’s church.
Cheslyn Hay

Despite the level of 20th Century growth and the encroachment of surrounding major transport routes, Cheslyn Hay has retained a distinctive village character. It is effectively joined to the village of Great Wyrley; the two settlements being separated only by the railway line and an area of farmland to the south. The village is enclosed by farmland within the West Midlands Green Belt.

There was little growth before the 19th Century but it then expanded rapidly due to the coal mining industry. Latterly, the village has spread from its core around Low Street/High Street towards the enclosing major roads. Despite such features, there remain attractive views out to the enclosing countryside. The countryside is brought into the village to an extent by the many green spaces that punctuate its suburban character. These maintain access from settlement to countryside.

There are however still areas of landscape adjacent to the north-west and south western settlement edges that are disturbed by mineral extraction. The village is also close to the edge of Cannock. Together, these factors have an effect on the character of the surrounding landscape.
Codsall

Codsall has ancient origins, being recorded in the Domesday Book. The village grew slowly until the 20th Century when it saw major expansion. It therefore has a distinct suburban character associated with this period. The settlement is located in the centre of the district and is close to the edge of Wolverhampton.

The historic parts of the village are now mainly enclosed by later development although the area around the church of St. Nicholas still has a strong relationship with the countryside beyond with extensive views across the landscape to the west. There are important views to the church from the countryside beyond the settlement.

The settlement edge is abrupt against the agricultural landscape to the north south and west. There is however, a spur of development along Wood Road that extends from the main body of the settlement out to the west. It is enclosed by the West Midlands Green Belt on all sides.

The settlement effectively merged with the neighbouring village of Bilbrook on its eastern boundaries. The farmland to the north, south and west emphasises the enclosure of the settlement and its important connections with the surrounding agricultural landscape remain.
Coven

Coven is a small village located on former heathland. The A449 flanks the village to the east and joins the M54 further south. Other notable landscape features are the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal and the River Penk, the latter being concomitant with the western settlement boundary and providing a significant feature. Until the late 19th Century, Coven was a working village within the agricultural landscape providing traditional industries.

The village is tightly enclosed by the agricultural landscape within the West Midlands Green Belt. Brewood Road is the main arterial road running through the village and it joins the A449 to the east. The majority of roads within the settlement are joined to Brewood Road. Areas around the village are well-wooded; some of this woodland is associated with Somerford Hall. The presence of trees and woodlands constrain many views from the settlement, particularly to the north.

The River Penk and the A449 significant constrain the potential for development.
Essington

The village developed along the cross roads of Brownshore Lane and Wolverhampton Road. Its expansion was limited until the substantial development of the 1950s. Later 20th Century housing is located to the western side of the village extending further into the countryside. There is a critically important green gap between the southern settlement edge and the urban area of Wolverhampton to the south. The character of the village is distinctly suburban. The village is generally tightly enclosed by farmland although there are ribbon developments that extend into the countryside to the south east and one of these joins the adjacent major urban area.

The village is enclosed by the West Midlands Green Belt and much of the surrounding area is agricultural but bisected by the M6 and M54 motorways. There are other key arterial routes to the south and east. The effects of coal mining in conjunction with the remaining working quarries and other industrial areas nearby provide an element of disturbance to the landscape. However, this has been ameliorated to a significant extent by woodland creation as part of the Forest of Mercia.

The village has an abundance of public open space and this brings an element of the countryside into the suburban area. Notably, there are two small quarry lakes surrounded by woodland which add to the character of the settlement and provide a strong link to the surrounding countryside.
Featherstone

The village has ancient connections although these are not now readily discerned. It remains tightly enclosed by the agricultural landscape within the West Midlands Green Belt. The village expanded significantly following the sinking of the Hilton Main Colliery in the immediate post Great War period. It is of low rise suburban character with the residential areas accessed from the peripheral vehicular routes.

The village is strongly contained by the agricultural edge and there is an area of particularly strong, small scale field pattern immediately to the north. Otherwise the patchwork of fields is larger in scale. Topography is varied and high points are juxtaposed with areas of flat landscape. The settlement is inward looking with no defined village centre.

The parkland associated with nearby Hilton Hall has visual influence and there is a dichotomy between areas of high quality landscape, a former major industrial area to the east, the development of the two prison complexes also to the east and the major transport routes to the east and south. There is a modest network of green space within the settlement but connections to the surrounding landscape are relatively weak.
Great Wyrley

The village developed in a roughly linear form along a ridge line, now defined by the A34 Walsall Road. It lies within the former South Staffordshire Coalfield and did not see significant growth until this was stimulated by 18th and 19th century coal-based industrial development. This was assisted by the canals, roads, and railways.

Despite its modern expansion and the presence of major transport routes, the village retains strong visual connections to the surrounding agricultural landscape that forms its setting. It is easy to discern the previous colliery influence on the landscape from the many uneven areas of coarse grassland. There are attractive long distance views across the landscape to the east.

The A34 and the railway provide strong edges that contain the village ensuring it retains a distinctive identity despite being effectively conjoined with the adjacent village of Cheslyn Hay.
Huntington

The settlement has grown up along the A34 with 20th Century expansion mainly to the east of this road although, latterly, this has been balanced by development to the west on the former Littleton Colliery site. Nonetheless, the settlement retains its linear character. The settlement effectively sits within a valley and this can be clearly discerned from the east. The topography and the wooded area contain the village.

The village is noted for its coal mining heritage and the former pit spoil mound is a dominant landscape feature and now an area of public open space. The village is within the West Midlands Green Belt and lies on the edge of Cannock Chase Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) although part of the southern area of the settlement is actually within this designation. Significant area of woodland and heathland dominate the surrounding landscape and there are long distance views across lower lying land to the west.

The residential development on the former colliery site has provided for significant areas of new public open space that link the settlement to the surrounding landscape.
**Kinver**

The village is located along the valley of the River Stour, below the heath and wooded sandstone escarpment of Kinver Edge which dominate and overlooks the settlement. The village’s landscape setting is enclosed by the woodland and river valley with the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal roughly following the course of the river. This creates a significant green wedge separating the village core from the outlying area developed along Dunsley Road. A ribbon development extends from the main body of the settlement to the west along White Hill.

The core of the village is focussed on High Street, the character of which has been significantly improved recently. Later residential development has grown out to create a series of lower density suburban areas. There remains a strong historic character from the many timber framed buildings in High Street and the rock hoses on Kinver Edge.

The village can be seen as sitting within a ‘bowl’ and has a well treed character in views form higher land. From the settlement views are dominated by the wooded escarpment and St. Peter’s Church that rises above the village. There are also very significant areas of mainly coniferous woodland to the north and north-west.
Pattingham

The village sits within a strongly rural landscape within the West Midlands Green Belt. It is a relatively extensive settlement enclosed by large, open fields with generally well-trimmed hedgerows with groups of trees that are mainly located around the parish Church of St. Chad and the area to the north of the historic village core. The village is mentioned in the Domesday Book and the church, dating from the 12th Century now boasts an impressive spire that creates a focal point and a strong landmark in views within and to the settlement from the wider landscape.

The village retains a tightly grouped, linear form relating strongly to High Street/Wolverhampton Road despite modest 18th Century expansion. Latterly, the settlement has expanded further, with the majority of contemporary housing development concentrated to the south and south-east of the historic village core. This projects into the agricultural landscape creating an abrupt village edge. However, it is the agricultural landscape that prevails in forming the village’s character and creates a strong rural setting.

Despite the village’s expansion, it remains a relatively compact and legible.
Penkridge

The village is located along the River Penk towards the northern part of the district and is sandwiched between the M6 to the east and the West Coast Mainline railway to the west. The West Midlands Green Belt encloses the settlement to the south. The Green Belt does not extend to the north of the village.

The village is bisected by the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal and the A449. The latter creates a harsh division with a narrow belt of the residential area squeezed between the road and the railway. These are dominant features along with the village’s landscape setting. Together, these elements, along with the motorway, tightly contain the inward looking settlement and provide impermeable edges to the settlement’s boundaries. Consequently, areas beyond the motorway to the east and the railway line to the west have not been assessed as part of this study.

The village core is centred around Market Street and the village settlement pattern grew from its historic function as a centre for horse trading. The parish church provides a strong focal point and is a notable landmark in views towards the settlement. The village retains a strong sense of local distinctiveness.
Perton

Perton is a modern, purpose-built settlement located on the site of a disused WWII airfield. It was developed during the 1970s and 1980s and is situated on the extreme western edge of Wolverhampton and is only separated from the major urban area in parts. Consequently, these remaining narrow green areas are critically important in ensuring this separation remains. The village’s primary setting is the undulating Stour Valley agricultural landscape within the West Midlands Green Belt.

The settlement pattern is distinctive, bearing little resemblance to the layout of any other of the district’s villages. There are significant areas of surrounding woodlands associated with the Wrottesley Estate and a little further to the north is the parkland landscape associated with Wergs Hall. These give away the area’s historic links and provide elements contributing to the quality of the surrounding, strongly rural landscape. The Village is inward looking and its edges are abrupt and tightly contained by the agricultural edge. An area immediately to the north east of the village has retained a strong field pattern.

The main arterial route (The Parkway) loops its way around the villages with other sub-loops leading off that in turn lead to cul-de-sacs. This provides for efficient vehicular movement. The modern centre of the village is distinctive and is dominated by a large lake and areas of green space. The green space leads out from the centre to create a strong green and pedestrian network throughout the settlement, linking to the countryside beyond.
Swindon

Swindon is a small village situated in the Smestow Valley a short distance from the village of Wombourne located to the north. The village is within the West Midlands Green Belt. It developed slowly from the late medieval period with the growth of the local iron industry. The steelworks was the dominant feature of the village until its closure in the mid-1970s. The majority of the housing in the village dates from the late 20th Century.

The village is focussed along the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal which is an important linear recreational route linking the village to the countryside beyond. The settlement pattern is spacious and strongly influenced by the canal and the main vehicular route of Wombourne Road/The Holloway.

The village edges are mainly simple fence lines although there are hedgerows in places. The edges are set hard against the pastoral fields and the hedgerows that do exist provide little enclosure. The enclosing landscape setting is attractive with steep wooded slopes that rise above the settlement before giving way to the arable and pastoral landscape beyond. The Canal and the River Smestow connect the village to its surrounding landscape and are distinctive green corridors running through the village.
**Wheaton Aston**

The village is located in open countryside towards the north of the district beyond the east-west route of the A5 Watling Street – a Roman road. It is flanked by the Shropshire Union Canal on its north eastern edge. The settlement has medieval origins, developing slowly from the 13th Century. The historic core of the village is centred around the parish church of St. Mary, which provides an important landmark. The village expanded along Long Street to meet the canal during the 19th Century and then to the north during the 20th Century. This has resulted in a relatively compact development pattern.

Post War housing now dominates the settlement pattern although the focus still remains on the historic core and narrow winding lanes lead out from here to the surrounding agricultural landscape. The canal is a strong landscape feature and provides important views towards the settlement. It also provides an impermeable edge. Consequently, the area beyond the canal has not been assessed as part of this study.

There are good, long distance views in most directions beyond the later parts of the settlement. The village’s landscape setting comprises arable and pastoral farmland and is of particularly high quality to the west. Access into the countryside from the village is provided by an extensive network of public footpaths. These connect the village to the countryside that has remained largely unaffected by modern infrastructure.
**Wombourne**

Wombourne is the largest of South Staffordshire’s villages and is located in the Stour Valley and within the West Midlands Green Belt. The focus of the settlement is centred around the historic core arranged in linear form around a large green. The village saw very major expansion during the latter part of the 20th Century and early 21st Century. Consequently, the settlement has spreads out to envelop what were once the separate hamlets of Oundle (Ounsdale) and Blakely.

However, the village has retained a rural sense of scale and layout pattern due to the presence of the village green and this has helped sustain a strong village identity. The later suburbs spread out in large blocks are at odds with the village’s historic identity but are bisected by three major green corridors – the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal; the South Staffordshire Railway Walk; and the well-wooded Wom Brook Walk. These features provide separation between residential areas, reducing the potential for the suburban area to overwhelm the character of the village core and provide strong links out to the wider countryside.

A steep, wooded sandstone escarpment provides a very important landscape feature and forms the north western settlement edge. The spire of the parish church is a notable landmark in views towards the settlement.
### Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** BK1  
**Settlement:** Bilbrook

**Summary description:** Parcel to the north of the settlement beyond the line of Moat Brook that takes an approximate east-west course. The LCP is comprised of a single large arable field with rolling landform. The western LCP edge is formed by Watery Lane with a sinuous northern boundary formed by Pendeford Hall Lane.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is separated from the settlement by the sell-vegetated course of the Moat Brook and is visually detached from the urban area. The LCP has a strong relationship with the wider countryside. The settlement edge cannot be seen in views from the north when looking across the LCP. A PRoW runs across the LCP north-south and forms part of the local Jubilee Walk. The southern edge of the LCP is within a floodplain.

### Landscape character

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

### Land cover parcels (LCPs)

**Land use:** Agriculture  
**Field pattern:** Irregular  
**Field size:** Large

### Designations

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐  
- SSSI ☑  
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
- LNRs ☐  
- SBIs ☐  
- BAS ☑

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐  
- SAMs ☐  
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
Floodplain ☑

**Characteristics**

Landform: Rolling  
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☑</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☐</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☞</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☛</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☛</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☛</td>
<td>Overmature ☛</td>
<td>Immature ☛</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☛</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☛</td>
<td>Overmature ☛</td>
<td>Immature ☛</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☛</th>
<th>Apparent ☜</th>
<th>Insignificant ☛</th>
<th>None ☛</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☛</td>
<td>Overmature ☛</td>
<td>Immature ☛</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☛</th>
<th>Localised ☞</th>
<th>Relic ☛</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☛</td>
<td>Traditional ☛</td>
<td>Neglected ☛</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

| Condition   | Intact ☛    | Declining ☛ | Fragmented ☛    |                |

**Intensity of use**

| Impact     | High ☛      | Moderate ☛  | Low ☛           |                |

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☑</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☛</th>
<th>River ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Moat Brook; pond located centrally along eastern LCP edge

**Key views**

To settlement: Y ☛ N ☚  
From settlement: Y ☛ N ☚  
Landmarks:  None  
Detractors:  None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  High ☛ Med. ☛ Low ☛  
...to key features ☛  
...from key place ☛
Comments: To/from wider countryside

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☑ Complexity: ☑
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor lanes
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High □ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: PRoW link
Comments: LCP detached from settlement nearest LCP (BK2)

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional ☑
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** BK2  
**Settlement:** Bilbrook

**Summary description:** Rectilinear parcel between the northern settlement edge and the Moat Brook. LCP links to open space to west and east and there are clear desire lines that show it is heavily used for recreation. There is some evidence of field pattern survival.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is an important buffer between the settlement edge and the brook. The majority of the LCP is within a floodplain. The area is an important link between two major areas of public open space. A PRoW crosses the eastern part of the LCP and forms part of the local Jubilee Walk.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**

- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Derelict  
**Field pattern:** Regular  
**Field size:** Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☒
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**

- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**

- Floodplain ☒
Characteristics

Landform: Falls gently from settlement edge to brook corridor
Landcover: Former farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☒ N ☐</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☐</th>
<th>Med. ☒</th>
<th>Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☒</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>To/from settlement edge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity:

Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Nearby minor estate roads
Views of settlement: Part of settlement edge only
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Important buffer between settlement and brook
With wider landscape: Links to areas of public open space and to wider countryside
With adjacent LCP: ProW link
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Urban influences
With wider landscape: weak
With adjacent LCP: Weak
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}C. □ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}C. □

Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □

Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** BK3  
**Settlement:** Bilbrook

**Summary description:** Parcel of land to the east of a sewage treatment works (STW) and an area of public open space. The Moat Brook corridor forms the northern LCP edge with the eastern edge formed by the line of the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal (a Conservation Area). Immediately beyond the western field boundary is an allotment site. There are open views across the LCP from the Conservation Area and also views to higher land in the distance from within the LCP.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is physically detached from the settlement edge and there is a strong sense of having left the urban area when heading east out of the settlement. On the approach to the settlement, urban influences are present but minor. A small part of the LCP is within a floodplain. Development would be incongruous, appearing detached from the settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**

- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: medium-large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**

- Cons. Area ☒
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐
### Other
Floodplain ክ

### Characteristics

**Landform:** Gently rolling
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows 芃</th>
<th>Hedgebanks △</th>
<th>Wet ditches △</th>
<th>Estate fencing △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ▲</td>
<td>Elm ▲</td>
<td>Mixed ▲</td>
<td>Ancient ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ▲</td>
<td>Poor ▲</td>
<td>Redundant ▲</td>
<td>Relic ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ▲</td>
<td>Overgrown △</td>
<td>Mixed △</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense △</th>
<th>Scattered △</th>
<th>Insignificant △</th>
<th>None ▲</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ▲</td>
<td>Overmature △</td>
<td>Immature △</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ▲</th>
<th>Scattered △</th>
<th>Insignificant △</th>
<th>None ▲</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ▲</td>
<td>Overmature △</td>
<td>Immature △</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent △</th>
<th>Apparent △</th>
<th>Insignificant △</th>
<th>None ▲</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ▲</td>
<td>Overmature △</td>
<td>Immature △</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread △</th>
<th>Localised △</th>
<th>Relic ▲</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ▲</td>
<td>Traditional △</td>
<td>Neglected △</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ▲</th>
<th>Declining △</th>
<th>Fragmented △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High △</th>
<th>Moderate ▲</th>
<th>Low △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

**Presence of water:** Pond △ Lake △ Brook ▲ River △

#### Comments:

#### Key views

**To settlement:** Y △ N ▲ **From settlement:** Y △ N ▲
**Landmarks:** Canal corridor

**Detractors:** Telecomms masts (but not significant)

#### Intervisibility
Site observation: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ …to key features ☐ …from key place ☐
Comments: To/from canal Conservation Area

Skyline
Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Treed; higher land to north

Tranquility
Noise sources: Pendeford Mill Lane; access to adjacent allotment site and waste disposal site
Views of settlement: Glimpses only
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship
With settlement: None
With wider landscape: Part of wider rolling landscape
With adjacent LCP: In conjunction with BK4, forms the rural area between the eastern settlement edge and the line of the canal
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship
With settlement: Weak
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: Weak - roadside hedgerows reduce potential
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs
Visual ☐ Functional ☑
Comments:

Settlement edge
Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☑ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☑
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** BK4  
**Settlement:** Bilbrook

**Summary description:** Large parcel to the east of the settlement, bordered on its northern edge by Pendeford Mill lane. Barnhurst Lane and the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal (a Conservation Area) form the eastern boundary. The Lane Green area of the village and Lane Green Road form the western LCP boundary resulting in urban influences particularly in the western part of the LCP. There is evidence of a historic field pattern in the northern part of the LCP although hedgerows have been lost and the structure of the landscape is weak. However, hedgerows do survive in parts, creating larger fields. There are a number of small farmsteads peppered along the northernmost boundary directly adjacent to Pendeford Mill Lane. There are also isolated dwellings along the eastern side of Lane Green Road, within the LCP. The land rises gently from north-south before falling again. This creates a low ridge. Roadside hedgerows prevent any significant views across the LCP including towards the settlement edge.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low/medium

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is reasonably well-contained visually but three are urban influences from the settlement edge and Pendeford Mill Lane, which is a main route in and out of the village. There is also a large industrial site immediately beyond the canal to the east. These elements reduce any sense of a strongly rural landscape. Development would be relatively unobtrusive and would relate well to the settlement pattern if confined to the eastern part of the LCP.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
Landform: Part of a gently rolling landscape
Landcover: Farmland

**Characteristics**

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:
### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☐</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>Urban edge; industry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☐</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>N/A ☐</th>
<th>Complexity: Simple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>Major roads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td>Glimpses on approach from east</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of functional relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Separates the settlement edge from major industrial area to the east</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>Weak. Vegetation to the south prevents any significant views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>In conjunction with BK3, forms the rural area between the eastern settlement edge and the line of the canal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of visual relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Settlement seems apparent despite limited views to urban edge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>Weak - roadside hedgerows reduce potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☐</th>
<th>Functional ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. ☐</th>
<th>20th-21stC. ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
<td>Positive ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linear ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indented ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Comments:

### Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should link to eastern settlement edge.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: BK5  Settlement: Bilbrook

Summary description: Discreet triangular shaped parcel, contained visually and physically by strong hedgerows. A railway line forms the south western LCP boundary and provides a definitive edge to the south eastern tip of the settlement. The River Penk runs along the eastern LCP edge and its floodplain covers a significant part of the LCP. The LCP is subdivided into smaller compartments by hedgerows demonstrating the survival of a remnant of a historic field pattern.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

Evaluation justification: In theory, a modest development could be accommodated without significant detriment but the railway line is a major physical barrier and the floodplain is also a constraint as are the hedgerows within the LCP.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Small

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
**Floodplain** ☐

**Characteristics**

Landform: Generally flat but part of a wider gently rolling landscape  
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Ancient ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☒</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☒</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☒</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☐</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☒</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☐</th>
<th>River ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement: Y ☒ N ☐  
From settlement: Y ☒ N ☐

Landmarks: None  
Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐  
...to key features ☐  
...from key place ☐
Comments: None

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☑
Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: railway line
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High □ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: Part of countryside beyond definitive boundary formed by the railway line
With adjacent LCP: Part of countryside to the east of the settlement
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments: visual containment

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional ☑
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear ☑ Indented □
Comments: Edge formed, essentially by railway line

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
### Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** BK6  
**Settlement:** Bilbrook

**Summary description:** Parcel of land between the south eastern extent of the settlement and part of the western edge of the urban area in Wolverhampton. The River Penk (and its floodplain) crosses the north western part of the LCP. Wolverhampton road and a railway line provide the south western and north eastern LCP boundaries respectively. There is a strong hedgerow along Wolverhampton road that constrains views. Only a very small part of the settlement edge is visible on the approach from the south east – the end of a line of dwellings so urban influences are very minor and the area has a rural feel. However, there is some influence from the Wolverhampton settlement edge but not so significant as to have any major effect. There is some subdivision by a hedgerow in the south eastern part of the LCP. The area appears generally unmanaged, resulting in an informal landscape.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP forms an important open area between Bilbrook and Wolverhampton, preventing coalescence. Strong vegetation forms the northern LCP boundary and prevents any significant urban influences.

### Landscape character

**LDU Scale**
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

### Land cover parcels (LCPs)

**Land use:** Agriculture  
**Field pattern:** Sub-regular  
**Field size:** Large/small

### Designations

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐  
- SSSI ☐  
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
- LNRs ☐  
- SBIs ☐  
- BAS ☐
## Historic Cons. Area
SAMs Historic Parks/Gardens

## Other
Floodplain

### Characteristics

- **Landform:** Gently rolling
- **Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

- **Extent:** Dense
- **Age:** Mixed

### Watercourse trees

- **Extent:** Dense
- **Age:** Mixed

### Field trees

- **Extent:** Prominent
- **Age:** Mixed

### Patch survival

- **Extent:** Widespread
- **Management:** Intense

### Ecological corridors

- **Condition:** Intact

### Intensity of use

- **Impact:** High

### Water

- **Presence of water:** Pond
- **Comments:**

### Key views

- **To settlement:** Y
- **From settlement:** Y
- **Landmarks:** None
- **Detractors:** None
Intervisibility

Site observation:  High □  Med.  □  Low  ☐  ...to key features □  ...from key place ☐
Comments: Wolverhampton settlement edge

Skyline

Prominence/importance:  High □  Med.  □  Low  □  N/A  ☐  Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Wolverhampton Road; railway line
Views of settlement: glimpses only
Presence of people:  Frequent □  Infrequent ☐
Summary:  High □  Med.  ☐  Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Important in preventing coalescence
With wider landscape: Part of countryside that sweeps around the south eastern and eastern part of the settlement
With adjacent LCP: Ditto
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Weak
With wider landscape: Some views across the wider landscape but limited
With adjacent LCP: Weak
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □  Functional ☐
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □  20th-21stC. ☐
Nature of edge:  Positive □  Negative ☐  Neutral □
Form of edge:  Smooth □  Linear ☐  Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

Receptors  Sensitivity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Med.</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
## Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** BK7  
**Settlement:** Bilbrook

**Summary description:** Small triangular parcel, well-contained by vegetation along the southern boundary and by the settlement edge to the north and west.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP’s relationship is with the settlement rather than the wider countryside. It is visually discreet any development would be unobtrusive.

### Landscape character

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

### Land cover parcels (LCPs)

- **Land use:** Agriculture
- **Field pattern:** Sub-regular
- **Field size:** Small

### Designations

#### Landscape/planning

- Green Belt ✅
- AONB 📠
- Amenity Greenspace 📠
- Ancient Woodland 📠
- TPO 📠

#### Biodiversity

- SAC 📠
- SSSI 📠
- Local Wildlife Sites 📠
- LNRs 📠
- SBIs 📠
- BAS 📠

#### Historic

- Cons. Area 📠
- SAMs 📠
- Historic Parks/Gardens 📠

#### Other

- Floodplain 📠

### Characteristics
### Landform:
Flat

### Landcover:
Farmland

#### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

- Condition: Intact, Declining, Fragmented

#### Intensity of use

- Impact: High, Moderate, Low

#### Water

- Presence of water: Pond, Lake, Brook, River

##### Comments:
Nearby within BK6. Floodplain extent follows southern boundary of BK7

#### Key views

- To settlement: Y, N
- From settlement: Y, N
- Landmarks: None
- Detractors: None significant

#### Intervisibility

- Site observation: High, Med., Low
- Comments: To/from settlement edge

#### Skyline
Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☑ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Railway line; Lane Green Road
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Apparent
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments: None

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☑ Indented ☐
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**
Comments: Any development must retain existing southern boundary vegetation
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** BD1  
**Settlement:** Brewood

**Summary description:** Area immediately to the south west of the settlement comprising a number of small sub-regular shaped fields. Some of these are separated by hedgerows. A narrow rectangular area partially separates the northern part of the site from its southern part. This area comprises ponds and woodland. The western LCP boundary is formed by the Shropshire Union Canal that, in this area, is on embankment and aligned on a south east-north west axis. Dirty Lane wraps around the north and north eastern LCP edge. Hyde Mill Lane forms the southern LCP boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low-high

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP lies between the Brewood and Shropshire Union Canal Conservation Areas. PRoWs cross the land. This is a well-contained area of land and a modest development could be accommodated without significant detriment within its northern part. A floodplain and an area of vegetation constrains the potential for development further south. This southern part of the LCP has been assessed as having high sensitivity for this reason.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- **Land use:** Agriculture
- **Field pattern:** Sub-regular
- **Field size:** Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐
### Historic Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

### Other

Floodplain □

### Characteristics

Landform: Flat

Landcover: Farmland; woodland; wetland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

Presence of water: Pond ☑ Lake ☐ Brook ☑ River ☐

Comments:

### Key views

To settlement: Y ☑ N ☐

From settlement: Y ☐ N ☑

Landmarks: Church spire

Detractors: None

Detractors: None
### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☑ ...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Views over the LCP from canal towpath to settlement including to St. Mary's and St. Chad’s Church</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐</th>
<th>Complexity: Complex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Canal embankment and canalside vegetation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>Few</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td>Only church spire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of functional relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Separates settlement from canal corridor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of visual relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Minor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>View of church spire only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☐</th>
<th>Functional ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. ☐</th>
<th>20th-21stC. ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
<td>Positive ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linear ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indented ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Rural residents  □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Urban residents  □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Public Rights of Way □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Roads/rail/cycleways  □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should maintain a suitable open area adjacent to the canal and should provide for mitigating planting along the western and southern boundaries. Attention should be paid to the presence of adjacent burgage plots.
## Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** BD2  
**Settlement:** Brewood

**Summary description:** Well-contained LCP – landform and vegetation. Changes in level across the LCP.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** Relatively strong field pattern and survival of cultural landscape elements. However, the LCP is visually well-contained by landform that rises from north west to south east. The floodplain is a constraint and adds to the level of sensitivity. There are also historic constraints and the area is partly within the conservation area. In visual terms, development would link reasonably well to the existing settlement but the existing constraints are a significant barrier.

### Landscape character

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**

- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

### Land cover parcels (LCPs)

**Land use:** Agriculture  
**Field pattern:** Regular  
**Field size:** Small-medium

### Designations

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐  
- SSSI ☐  
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
- LNRs ☐  
- SBIs ☐  
- BAS ☐

**Historic**

- Cons. Area ☒  
- SAMs ☐  
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
### Floodplain

**Characteristics**

- **Landform:** Rising and varied
- **Landcover:** Farmland

#### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

- **Presence of water:** Pond, Lake, Brook, River

#### Comments:

**Key views**

- **To settlement:** Y △ N □
- **From settlement:** Y △ N □
- **Landmarks:** None
- **Detractors:** None

#### Intervisibility

- **Site observation:** High □ Med. △ Low □
- **...to key features:** □
- **...from key place:** △
Comments: To/from settlement

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐ N/A ☐  Complexity: Simple
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: adjacent minor road
Views of settlement:
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☒
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☒ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☒
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** BD3  
**Settlement:** Brewood

**Summary description:** Parcel comprising a number of small fields delineated by strong hedgerows. This creates a strong landscape structure and an area of high quality landscape. The land rises to the south west away from the settlement. Part of the LCP is covered by ridge and furrow.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium-high

**Evaluation justification:** Similar characteristics to BD2 but development would appear detached from settlement. Ridge and furrow provides significant historic interest in south western part of LCP. There is also a stronger field pattern here. Sensitivity would be assessed as high but for urban influences. The remainder of the LCP is of high sensitivity due to its weak visual and physical relationship with the settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Small-medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☑  
- SSSI ☑  
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
- LNRs ☐  
- SBIs ☐  
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐  
- SAMs ☐  
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
**Floodplain ✓**

**Characteristics**

**Landform:** Rolling  
**Landcover:** Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ✓</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ▢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☠</td>
<td>Poor ☢</td>
<td>Redundant ☢</td>
<td>Relic ☢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☢</td>
<td>Overgrown ☢</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☢</th>
<th>Scattered ☢</th>
<th>Insignificant ☢</th>
<th>None ☢</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☢</td>
<td>Overmature ☢</td>
<td>Immature ☢</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☢</th>
<th>Scattered ☢</th>
<th>Insignificant ☢</th>
<th>None ☢</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☢</td>
<td>Overmature ☢</td>
<td>Immature ☢</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☢</th>
<th>Apparent ☢</th>
<th>Insignificant ☢</th>
<th>None ☢</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☢</td>
<td>Overmature ☢</td>
<td>Immature ☢</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☢</th>
<th>Localised ☢</th>
<th>Relic ☢</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☢</td>
<td>Traditional ☢</td>
<td>Neglected ☢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☢</th>
<th>Declining ☢</th>
<th>Fragmented ☢</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☢</th>
<th>Moderate ☢</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook ☢</th>
<th>River ☢</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Brook within adjacent LCP

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☢ N ☢</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☢ N ☢</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☢</th>
<th>Med. □</th>
<th>Low ☢</th>
<th>...to key features ☢</th>
<th>...from key place □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☑ Complexity:

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Very minor – from Tinkers Lane
Views of settlement: None significant
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☑
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☑ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☑
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** BD4a  
**Settlement:** Brewood

**Summary description:** Large LCP comprising mainly large field. Watercourse with concomitant vegetation and floodplain form south eastern boundary. This also corresponds with the line of a Roman Road.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** Strongly defined urban edge. Little urban influence on approach from north east. Fine views to east from westernmost point across a pastoral landscape. Historical interest.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☑

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☑
**Characteristics**

Landform: Rolling
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □
From settlement: Y □ N □

Landmarks: None
Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □
...to key features □
...from key place □

Comments:
Skyline
Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☒ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility
Noise sources: Minor road adjacent
Views of settlement: Insignificant
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☒
Summary: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship
With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship
With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs
Visual ☐ Functional ☒
Comments:

Settlement edge
Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☒ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** BD4b  
**Settlement:** Brewood

**Summary description:** Large LCP formed by a number of fields of varying size. Field boundaries are delineated by strong hedgerows with occasional hedgerow trees. Only part of the south western edge of the LCP abuts the built area of the settlement due to the location of a sport ground that sits between the remainder of the settlement edge and the LCP. The LCP is bordered on three sides by relatively quiet lanes.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The character of the LCP is strongly rural and reads as part of the wider landscape. It appears detached from the main body of the settlement. The level of field pattern survival creates a high landscape quality.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Medium-large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Generally flat  
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

| Condition    | Intact □  | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

**Intensity of use**

| Impact        | High □   | Moderate □  | Low □ |

**Water**

| Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement:  Y □ N □  
From settlement: Y □ N □  
Landmarks: None  
Detractors: Recent development on edge of adjacent LCP

**Intervisibility**
Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low ☐ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments: Roadside hedgerows reduce potential

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☐ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor surrounding lanes
Views of settlement:
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High □ Med. ☐ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20\(^{th}\)C. □ 20\(^{th}\)-21\(^{st}\)C. ☐
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge
### Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** BD5  
**Settlement:** Brewood

**Summary description:** LCP formed by two fields of varying size. The northern boundary of the LCP is formed by a strong belt of vegetation. Views between fields are constrained by the delineating hedgerow. An SBI and public open space is located immediately to the south of the western field.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The majority of the LCP is visually and physically detached from the main body of the settlement due to the location of the adjacent SBI/public open space. The eastern field is subjected to urban influences from recent development. This development has rounded off the settlement boundary and there is a strong definitive edge to the north eastern extent of the built up area. The LCP retains strong rural character and reads as part of the wider landscape. PRoW crosses the western field. There are fine long distance views to the east.

### Landscape character

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**

- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

### Land cover parcels (LCPs)

- **Land use:** Agriculture
- **Field pattern:** Regular
- **Field size:** Medium-large

### Designations

| Landscape/planning |  
|--------------------|---|
| Green Belt ☒  | AONB ☐  | Amenity Greenspace ☐  | Ancient Woodland ☐  | TPO ☐ |

| Biodiversity |  
|--------------|---|
| SAC ☐  | SSSI ☐  | Local Wildlife Sites ☐  | LNRs ☐  | SBIs ☐  | BAS ☐ |

| Historic |  
|----------|---|
| Cons. Area ☐  | SAMs ☐  | Historic Parks/Gardens ☐ |
### Other

Floodplain □

### Characteristics

**Landform:** Generally flat  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

**Presence of water:** Pond □  Lake □  Brook □  River □

Comments:

### Key views

**To settlement:** Y □  N □  From settlement: Y □  N □

**Landmarks:** Woodland edge of SBI/public open space  **Detractors:** None

### Intervisibility
Site observation: High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑ to key features ☑ ...from key place ☑
Comments: Intervisibility between recent development and eastern field

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑ N/A ☑ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Long distance views across LCP to higher land in distance

Tranquility

Noise sources: Minor adjacent lanes
Views of settlement: Partial on approach from north east
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☑
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☑ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☑ Indented ☑
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roads/rail/cycleways ☒ High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☑
Canal ☑ High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** BD6  
**Settlement:** Brewood

**Summary description:** Collection of medium sized fields separated by stout hedgerows. LCP is surrounded by historic narrow lanes.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** Sudden change from urban to rural when leaving the settlement. Only minor urban influences. LCP has little connection with the main body of the settlement. The character of the land and surrounding historic lanes give the LCP a strong rural appearance, detached from the urban area. The LCP therefore has a stronger relationship to the wider countryside landscape than with the settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☑
- SSSI ☑
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☐
**Characteristics**

- **Landform:** Very gently rolling
- **Landcover:** Farmland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field boundaries</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
<td>Thorn ☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good ☑</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed ☑</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☑</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature ☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☑</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature ☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☑</th>
<th>Apparent ☑</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature ☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☑</th>
<th>Localised ☑</th>
<th>Relic ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Intense ☑</td>
<td>Traditional ☑</td>
<td>Neglected ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☑</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☑</th>
<th>Moderate ☑</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

- Presence of water: Pond ☑, Lake ☐, Brook ☐, River ☐
- Comments: Large pond

**Key views**

- To settlement: Y ☐, N ☒
- From settlement: Y ☐, N ☒
- Landmarks: None
- Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

- Site observation: High ☐, Med. ☐, Low ☒, ...to key features ☐, ...from key place ☒
- Comments: From Barnfield Sandbeds SBI/public open space
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☒ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Minor surrounding lanes
Views of settlement: Insignificant
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☒
Summary: High □ Med. ☒ Low □
Comments: Large agricultural vehicles use Horsebrook Lane

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional ☒
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear ☒ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: BD7
Settlement: Brewood

Summary description: Relatively small, well-contained LCP located behind a line of established residential properties. Occupied in (small) part by a former depot.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low

Evaluation justification: Urban influences. Development could link well to existing settlement pattern without significant detriment to the wider surrounding rural area.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Medium

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☒

Historic
Cons. Area ☐ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain ☐

Characteristics
Landform: Flat
Landcover: Farmland/former depot

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☐</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☐</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Ancient ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☐</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☐</th>
<th>Localised ☐</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☐</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☒</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☐</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☐</th>
<th>River ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

### Key views

To settlement: Y ☐ N ☒ From settlement: Y ☒ N ☐

Landmarks: None Detractors: None

### Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☒ ...to key features ☒ ...from key place ☐

Comments:

### Skyline
Tranquility

Noise sources: Minor adjacent lane
Views of settlement: Partial but not significant
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments: PRoW alongside western LCP boundary

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge
Comments: Access would need to be from Horsebrook Lane to protect the historic character of Barn Lane
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: BD8  
Settlement: Brewood

Summary description: Small, well-contained parcel to the rear of ribbon development along Kiddemore Green Road and immediately to the west of St. Mary’s Church. Bordered by hedgerows and other vegetation.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

Evaluation justification: Possibly a remnant of a historic field pattern but visually well-contained. Directly adjacent to the conservation area. Within the setting of listed Church.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Irregular
Field size: Small

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☒ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☒ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain ☐

Characteristics
Landform: Generally flat
Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

Comments:

### Key views

To settlement: Y □ N ☑ From settlement: Y □ N ☑
Landmarks: Adjacent Church Detractors: None

### Intervisibility

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □

Comments:

### Skyline
Tranquility

Noise sources: None
Views of settlement: Localised but include listed church building
Presence of people: Frequent ☐  Infrequent ☑
Summary: High ☑  Med. ☐  Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐  Functional ☑
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐  20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐  Negative ☐  Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☐  Linear ☐  Indented ☑
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☑  Med. ☐  Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑  Med. ☑  Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐  Med. ☐  Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☐</td>
<td>High ☑  Med. ☐  Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☑  Med. ☐  Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge
Comments: Any development must take account of listed building setting
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** BD9  
**Settlement:** Brewood

**Summary description:** Parcel of land now partly occupied by a doctor’s surgery. Very strong eastern boundary provided by vegetation associated with the route of the Shropshire Union Canal.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** Visually and physically well-contained but beyond the very strong physical and psychological barrier of the line of the Shropshire Union Canal. Sensitivity has been reduced by the development of a new surgery building and associated infrastructure. The northern part of the LCP is crossed by a PRoW. Within the setting of the listed St. Mary’s Church.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture; surgery  
**Field pattern:** Regular  
**Field size:** Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☑  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

SAC ☑  SSSI ☑  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐

**Historic**

Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**

Floodplain ☐
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Generally flat  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

**Key views**

- To settlement: Y N  
- From settlement: Y N  
- Landmarks: Adjacent Church  
- Detractors: None

### Intervisibility

- Site observation: High Med. Low  
- ...to key features  
- ...from key place  

**Comments:** Adjacent Church; canal bridge
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☑  Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Kiddemore Green Road
Views of settlement: Localised only and related to ribbon development away from main body of the settlement
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☑
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}C. ☐ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}C. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: CH1  Settlement: Cheslyn Hay

Summary description: Large field beyond the south western tip of the settlement. The Wyrley Essington Canal (linear public open space) forms the north eastern LCP boundary.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

Evaluation justification: LCP is detached visually and physically from the settlement by the heavily vegetated route of the public open space (also a Local Nature Reserve). A belt of young woodland crosses the LCP within its western part. A PRoW crosses the LCP.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

Key characteristics
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Irregular
Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
- Green Belt ☑
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

Biodiversity
- SAC ☑
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☑
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

Historic
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
- Floodplain ☐
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Generally flat with a more pronounced area within the south eastern part of the LCP

**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Canal (disused) forms north eastern LCP boundary

### Key views

To settlement: Y ☐ N ❌ From settlement: Y ☐ N ❌

Landmarks: Linear vegetation belt associated with area of public open space

Detractors: None

### Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☐
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ✗

Complexity:

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: None
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ✗

Summary: High ✗ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape: Reads part of wider landscape to south
With adjacent LCP: Adjacent LCP (CH2) continues the open area against the settlement edge to the north west

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Non-existent
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional ✗

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ✗

Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ✗
Form of edge: Smooth ✗ Linear □ Indented ✗

Comments: Indented adjacent to northern extent of LCP

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ✗</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ✗</td>
<td>High ✗ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roads/rail/cycleways □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: CH2  Settlement: Cheslyn Hay

Summary description: Quarry including a large body of water in its north western section. The north western boundary is formed by Wolverhampton Road. Other boundaries are weak except that between the LCP and the settlement edge.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: The LCP relates poorly to the existing built settlement edge, being separated by a belt of vegetation and an area of public open space. The LCP also sits at a considerably lower level than the south western settlement edge compounding the sense of separation. The settlement is not apparent in views on the approach along Wolverhampton Road from the south west.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

Key characteristics
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Mineral extraction
Field pattern: n/a
Field size: n/a

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐
### Other
Floodplain □

### Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landform: Disturbed</th>
<th>Landcover: Quarry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

#### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □</th>
<th>N □</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □</th>
<th>N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>Land use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility
Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A △ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Wolverhampton Road
Views of settlement: None apparent
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □ Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Land form contributes to strength of settlement edge
With wider landscape: Part of wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: Adjacent LCP (CH1) continues the open area against the settlement edge to the south east
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Very weak
With wider landscape: Reads as part of wider landscape despite the land use
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional △
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. △
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral △
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented △
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents △</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** CH3  
**Settlement:** Cheslyn Hay

**Summary description:** Small, well-contained parcel adjoining the southern edge of a cemetery and a small isolated group of dwellings on the western extent of the settlement. The southern boundary adjoins a large area of mineral extraction.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** Urban influences present. Development would be a logical extension to the settlement boundary with no significant detriment to the wider landscape.

**Landscape character**

LDU Scale

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☐
### Characteristics

- **Landform:** Gently sloping
- **Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

- **Presence of water:** Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

### Comments:

- **Key views**
  - To settlement: Y ☐ N ☐
  - From settlement: Y ☐ N ☐
  - Landmarks: None
  - Detractors: None significant

### Intervisibility

- **Site observation:** High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐
  - ...to key features ☐
  - ...from key place ☐

### Comments:
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☑ Complexity: ☑
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Wolverhampton Road; nearby estate roads
Views of settlement: Apparent
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low ☑
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: Weak
With adjacent LCP: Weak
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Relates to settlement rather than wider countryside
With wider landscape: Weak
With adjacent LCP: Weak
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional ☑
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear □ Indented □
Comments: Also a small isolated group of dwellings adjacent to north western tip of LCP

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Any development should seek to strengthen southern boundary to create a defensible edge
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** CH4  
**Settlement:** Cheslyn Hay

**Summary description:** LCP formed by three fields of medium size and varying shape immediately beyond the westernmost extent of the settlement. A large area of mineral extraction lies immediately to the north east. The south western LCP boundary is formed by Saredon Road. The three fields are delineated by hedgerows that cut across the LCP obliquely. A large school complex, including a leisure centre is located on the other side of Saredon Road adjacent to the settlement boundary. There is a large industrial development beyond the north eastern corner of the LCP. To the north west of the LCP boundary there is a parcel of land that hosts a maintenance building associated with the M6 Toll road.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low/medium/high

**Evaluation justification:** The south easternmost field relates well to the settlement pattern and is subject to major urban influences. Development here would form a logical extension to the village. The centre field is subject to some urban influences but development would relate poorly to the settlement pattern extending it too far to the north west, beyond the concentration of the urban area. The north westernmost field is immediately adjacent to an industrial area but this is well-screened and has minimal visual influence. The land begins to rise and the field clearly reads as part of the wider countryside landscape. Development here would be very prominent and out of keeping with the settlement pattern.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**

- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Sub-regular
- Field size: Medium

**Designations**
## Landscape/planning
- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

## Biodiversity
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBI
- BAS

## Historic
- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

## Other
- Floodplain

### Characteristics
- Landform: Rolling
- Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:
Key views

To settlement: Y ☒ N ☐ From settlement: Y ☒ N ☐
Landmarks: None Detractors: None significant

Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☒ ...from key place ☐
Comments: North western field intervisible with low hills further to north west

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Land rises to north west. Skyline formed by woodland belts

Tranquility

Noise sources: Saredon Road; M6 Toll road
Views of settlement: Prevalent in views from north west
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☒
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Part of agricultural area between the settlement edge and the line of the M6 Toll
With wider landscape: Some parts of the LCP relate to the wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Settlement clearly visible in views form north west
With wider landscape: North western field relates to wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☒ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:
### Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: PRoW on land to west

### Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Only the area within the LCP assessed as having low sensitivity should be developed and a strong vegetated north western boundary will need to be established.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** CH5  
**Settlement:** Cheslyn Hay

**Summary description:** Small parcel well-contained by strong tree belts and a hedgerow. LCP is located immediately to the west of the north western tip of the settlement. The southern LCP edge adjoins a sports field and a PRoW runs between the two.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** A small development could be accommodated on the open part of the LCP.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Green field
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☑
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☑
## Characteristics

**Landform:** Flat  
**Landcover:** Grassland; woodland

## Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Thorn ☐</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good ☐</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☒</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☒</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## Water

**Presence of water:**  
- Pond ☐  
- Lake ☐  
- Brook ☒  
- River ☐

**Comments:** Brook along northern LCP edge

## Key views

**To settlement:**  
Y ☐  
N ☒

**From settlement:**  
Y ☐  
N ☒

**Landmarks:** None  
**Detractors:** None

## Intervisibility

**Site observation:**  
High ☒  
Med. ☒  
Low ☐  
...to key features ☒  
...from key place ☐

**Comments:** n/a
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☒ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Estate roads
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent □ Summary: High □ Med. ☒ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Part of open land between the settlement edge and Hatherton Reservoir SBI to the west
With wider landscape: Woodland belt linked to woodland around Hatherton Reservoir
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Strong
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}. □ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☒ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☒</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☒ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Any development must not affect any existing vegetation. This significantly constrains the potential for development
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: CD1  Settlement: Codsall

Summary description: Very large LCP to the south of the whole of the southern extent of the settlement. The land rises to the settlement edge. The settlement edge also extends along the eastern boundary of the LCP. Keepers Lane runs north-south, centrally through the LCP. Wergs Hall road forms the western boundary and is punctuated by a large commercial site that extends east into the LCP. The southern edge of the LCP is strongly defined by a belt of vegetation that follows the course of the River Penk. The LCP is comprised of a number of fields of varying size and shape along with a woodland block. The western area of the LCP is peppered with prominent hedgerow trees, helping to create a strong sense of character. Hedgerows are stronger to the east and there are occasional field trees present. The settlement edge in the western part of the LCP has little influence whereas this is not the case in the eastern part.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High/medium/low

Evaluation justification: The LCP retains a rural feel although the settlement edge to the east has greater visual influence, reducing sensitivity, albeit to a limited extent. A PRoW runs along the northern edge of the eastern part of the LCP. The area retains a strong sense of character. There are two more well-contained areas within the LCP and sensitivity here is low and medium.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

Eastern part of LCP

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Western part of LCP

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.
Key characteristics

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular/sub-regular
Field size: Medium/large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
Green Belt ☐ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐

**Historic**
Cons. Area ☐ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
Floodplain ☐

**Characteristics**

Landform: Gently rolling
Landcover: Farmland; woodland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☐</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☐</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☐</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N ☒  From settlement: Y □ N ☒

Landmarks: None  Detractors: Commercial site

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Med. □</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
<th>...to key features ☒</th>
<th>...from key place □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: To settlement edge in eastern part of LCP

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. □ Low ☒ N/A □  Complexity:

Comments: Land rises to settlement edge

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Commuter traffic using Wergs Hall Road and Keepers Lane

Views of settlement: In part

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of people:</th>
<th>Frequent ☒</th>
<th>Infrequent □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Summary: High □ Med. ☒ Low □

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Defines part of rural area between Codsall and Wolverhampton to the south and east
With wider landscape: Part of countryside separating settlements
With adjacent LCP: Ditto
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Stronger in eastern part of LCP; weak in western part
With wider landscape: Not significant – vegetation provides visual containment
With adjacent LCP: Ditto
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional ☑
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □  20th-21stC. ☑

Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented ☑

Comments: Indented but not significant

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Development could be accommodated within the north eastern part of the LCP but should use existing field boundaries to limit its extent. These should also be strengthened to create a definitive new settlement edge
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** CD2  
**Settlement:** Codsall

**Summary description:** Well-contained parcel of land adjoining the south western tip of the settlement. The LCP comprises two fields of varying size and shape, separated by the well-treed Heath House Lane. Wergs Hall Road and Stafford Lane border the LCP on its eastern and western edges respectively. There is a small woodland immediately to the south east of the LCP and this prevents any views to the settlement from the approach along Wergs Hall Road. Roadside hedgerows are strong and also prevent any significant views from adjacent areas. There is a very strong tree belt within the LCP along Oaken Lanes that provides a definitive settlement edge. The area beyond the LCP to the south west, has a parkland character and there is also a Historic Landscape Area (associated with Wergs Hall) located to the South.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The presence of significant roadside hedgerow trees and the close relationship to areas of parkland character give the LCP a high quality. Urban influences are few and the LCP reads as part of the wider landscape. Development would sit uncomfortably with the settlement pattern and would begin to encroach on the nearby Historic Landscape Area and would affect the setting of the area to the south west.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Medium

**Designations**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landform: Flat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landcover: Farmland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field boundaries</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hedgerows</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Hedgerow trees          |   |
| Extent                  |   |
| Age                     |   |

| Watercourse trees       |   |
| Extent                  |   |
| Age                     |   |

| Field trees             |   |
| Extent                  |   |
| Age                     |   |

| Patch survival          |   |
| Extent                  |   |
| Management              |   |

| Ecological corridors    |   |
| Condition               |   |

| Intensity of use        |   |
| Impact                  |   |

| Water                   |   |
| Presence of water:      |   |

Comments: Pond on edge of LPC within adjacent woodland
Key views

To settlement: Y □ N ◆
From settlement: Y □ N ◆
Landmarks: None
Detractors: None

Intervisibility

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low ◆
...to key features □
...from key place □
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ◆
Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Adjacent roads
Views of settlement: None significant
Presence of people: Frequent ◆
Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Contains south western settlement edge
With wider landscape: Character relates to sider landscape
With adjacent LCP: Forms part of open countryside that wraps around the south western part of the settlement in conjunction with CD1 and CD4
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Weak
With wider landscape: Weak due to visual containment
With adjacent LCP: Ditto
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □
Functional ◆
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □
20th-21stC. ◆
Nature of edge: Positive □
Negative □
Neutral ◆
Form of edge: Smooth ◆
Linear □
Indented □
Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ⧫ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ⧫ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. ⧫ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: CD3  Settlement: Codsall

Summary description: Large open field extending west from Oaken Lanes that forms the LCPs eastern boundary and western settlement edge. The LCP is characterised by its very evident rolling topography and there are clear views across the land from Oaken Lanes. The LCP is generally well-contained by vegetation along the lanes that border its northern and southern edges. There are views to higher land and occasional large dwellings near Oaken can be seen.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: The LCP forms part of the open countryside around the western and south western settlement edges. Its openness assists in preventing visual and physical coalescence between the villages of Codsall and Oaken. The northern edge of the LCP is co-existent with the Conservation Area that extends from within Codsall to Oaken.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☑ SSSI ☑ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☑ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Rolling
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

| Extent | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age    | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □      |        |

**Watercourse trees**

| Extent | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age    | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □      |        |

**Field trees**

| Extent | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age    | Mixed □     | Overmature □ | Immature □      |        |

**Patch survival**

| Extent | Widespread □ | Localised □ | Relic □ |
| Management | Intense □ | Traditional □ | Neglected □ |

**Ecological corridors**

| Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

**Intensity of use**

| Impact | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

**Water**

| Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

**Comments:**

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □
From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: None
Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □
...to key features □
...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple

Comments: Rising land; Treed

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Adjacent roads
Views of settlement: Small part of settlement edge can be seen on approach from the west
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☒
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Prevents coalescence
With wider landscape: Reads as part of the wider countryside to the west and south
With adjacent LCP: Forms part of open countryside that wraps around the south western and western part of the settlement in conjunction with CD1 and CD3
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Weak
With wider landscape: Relatively weak sue to visual containment
With adjacent LCP: Ditto
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☒
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☒ Indented ☐
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roads/rail/cycleways ☑️ High ☐ Med. ☑️ Low ☐
Canal ☐ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** CD4  
**Settlement:** Codsall

**Summary description:** Parcel within the Conservation Area between Codsall and Oaken. Well-treed Oaken Lanes forms the eastern LCP boundary and creates a strong settlement edge. The LCP has a strong parkland character and rises relatively sharply to the west. It is separated into two fields by a strong hedgerow. Hollybush Lane forms the southern LCP boundary and is also well-treed.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is part of a high quality landscape and the topography would render any development very obtrusive and out of keeping. It would breach the well-defined settlement edge and result in coalescence between the villages of Codsall and Oaken.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐  
- SSSI ☐  
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
- LNRs ☐  
- SBIs ☐  
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☒  
- SAMs ☐  
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
**Floodplain □**

**Characteristics**

**Landform:** Hill  
**Landcover:** Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hedgerows</td>
<td>Hedgebanks</td>
<td>Wet ditches</td>
<td>Estate fencing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>Scattered</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>Scattered</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prominent</td>
<td>Apparent</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Widespread</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Relic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>Declining</td>
<td>Fragmented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □  
From settlement: Y □ N □

Landmarks: None  
Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □  
...to key features □  
...from key place □
Comments: Rising land

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. □ Low □ N/A □  Complexity: Simple
Comments: Treed

Tranquility

Noise sources: Adjacent lanes
Views of settlement: From high point
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☒
Summary: High ☒ Med. ☒ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Important in preventing coalescence
With wider landscape: Part of wider area with parkland character
With adjacent LCP: Forms part of open countryside that wraps around the south western and western part of the settlement in conjunction with other LCPs
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Intervisibility to/from settlement and to/from high point
With wider landscape: With area to north also with parkland character
With adjacent LCP: Relatively weak due to vegetation containing views to CD4
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☒ Functional ☒
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative □ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roads/rail/cycleways ☑  High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Canal ☐  High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☒

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** CD5  
**Settlement:** Codsall

**Summary description:** Small, well-contained triangular parcel adjacent to the railway line that runs approximately east-west through the settlement. This feature forms the northern LCP boundary and is a definitive edge between the LCP and the north western part of the settlement. The northern LCP edge is reasonably well-treed. And there are significant trees around the other boundaries. To the south is an area of public open space, within the Conservation Area. There are a number of agricultural type buildings within the LCP and these, in conjunction with the omnipresent settlement edge to the east. A substantial area of woodland contains the LCP and prevents any views to the west.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP has a strong relationship with the settlement. The existing woodland block would serve to visually and physically contain any development. The LCP relates well to the urban area.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**

- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Sub-regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☒

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
### Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

#### Other
Floodplain □

#### Characteristics

Landform: Gently rising to the south west
Landcover: Farmland

#### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

#### Intensity of use

Impact   | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

#### Water

Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

Comments:

#### Key views

To settlement:   Y □ N □ From settlement:   Y □ N □
Landmarks:   Railway bridge Detractors: None significant
**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place ☐
Comments: To/from settlement edge

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☑ Complexity: ☑
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Railway; adjacent lanes and estate roads
Views of settlement:
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☑
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: relates well to settlement
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Strong
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear ☑ Indented ☐
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: PRoW along Oaken Drive that forms southern LCP boundary

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should retain all vegetation and respect its setting adjacent to the Conservation Area
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** CD6  
**Settlement:** Codsall

**Summary description:** Parcel comprising two fields of varying shape to the west and south of a residential area lying between Wood Road and Moatbrook Lane. This area represents an unusual extension of the settlement that bears little relationship to the general way that the village has expanded. It is only tenuously connected to the western edge of the settlement. The two fields are separated by a single dwelling and its well-vegetated garden. Hedgerows around field edges are strong and the southernmost of these contains a high proportion of hedgerow trees. Roadside hedgerows prevent views from public viewpoints. Wood Road, which forms the northern LCP edge is a key route out of the village to/from the west.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The area has a strong rural feel and relates to the open countryside rather than the settlement edge. There are minor urban influences but these have little effect on the character of the LCP. Development would be a further inappropriate urban extension.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture

**Field pattern:** Regular

**Field size:** Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt □
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**

- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

**Historic**
Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

Other
Floodplain □

Characteristics

Landform: Part of wider gently rolling landscape
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

Key views

To settlement: Y □ N □ From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: None Detractors: Pylons/overhead lines in adjacent fields
**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  High □ Med. □ Low □  ...to key features □  ...from key place □
Comments: None

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A  □
Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Wood Road; adjacent narrow lanes
Views of settlement: None significant
Presence of people:  Frequent □  Infrequent □
Summary:  High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Helps to define the change from urban to rural
With wider landscape: Reads as part of the wider countryside
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Minor
With wider landscape: No long distance views due to containment provided by hedgerows
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □  Functional □
Comments: n/a

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □  20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge:  Positive □  Negative □  Neutral □
Form of edge:  Smooth □  Linear □  Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Rights of Way □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Rocks/rail/cycleways ☒ High □ Med. ✓ Low □
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: CD7  Settlement: Codsall

Summary description: Parcel comprising two unevenly sized fields separated by a strong hedgerow. Church Lane forms the eastern LCP boundary and where there is no hedgerow present, there are open views across the valley of the Moat Brook. However, views are limited to a few fields distant due to the presence of woodlands on higher land to the west. Church Lane is narrow and retains an element of historic character, reinforced by a field boundary wall (a section of the eastern boundary where there is no hedgerow).

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

Evaluation justification: The LCP is immediately to the west of the Conservation Area. Part of the settlement edge to the south has urban influence but the area reads strongly as part of the wider countryside. The reminder of the southern settlement edge is defined by a strong belt of vegetation that screens views of dwellings in Wood Road. Field pattern is weak. PRoWs run along the northern and southern LCP boundaries. There are no significant views from the west looking towards the settlement and development could be accommodated within the southern field providing a new strong vegetated settlement edge was created along the western edge.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Large/medium

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐
**Historic Cons. Area** □  SAMs □  Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Part of wider rolling landscape
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

| Extent    | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age       | Mixed □ | Overmature □| Immature □      |       |

**Watercourse trees**

| Extent    | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age       | Mixed □ | Overmature □| Immature □      |       |

**Field trees**

| Extent    | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age       | Mixed □     | Overmature □| Immature □      |       |

**Patch survival**

| Extent    | Widespread □ | Localised □ | Relic □ |
| Management| Intense □    | Traditional □| Neglected □|

**Ecological corridors**

| Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

**Intensity of use**

| Impact | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

**Water**

Presence of water:  Pond □  Lake □  Brook □  River □

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement:  Y □ N □  From settlement:  Y □ N □

Landmarks:  None  Detractors:  Pylons/overhead lines
### Intervisibility

**Site observation:**
- High ☑ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place ☑

**Comments:** With wider landscape and to/from part of settlement edge

### Skyline

**Prominence/importance:**
- High ☑ Med. □ Low □ N/A □

**Complexity:** Simple

**Comments:** Treed. Land falls before rising beyond the Moat Brook creating potential for a development that did not break the skyline to the west

### Tranquility

**Noise sources:** Minor – Church Lane

**Views of settlement:**
- In part

**Presence of people:**
- Frequent ☑ Infrequent □

**Summary:**
- High □ Med. ☑ Low □

**Comments:**

### Extent of functional relationship

**With settlement:**
- Within setting of Conservation Area and other parts of settlement edge

**With wider landscape:**
- Valley side

**With adjacent LCP:**
- South western part of open area that wraps around the northern part of the settlement

**Comments:**

### Extent of visual relationship

**With settlement:**
- Parts of settlement edge clearly visible

**With wider landscape:**
- Strong. Views across valley

**With adjacent LCP:**
- Partial but hedgerows within CD9 prevent any significant views between LCPs

**Comments:**

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

**Visual ☑ Functional ☑**

**Comments:** Mainly functional

### Settlement edge

**Pre 20^{th}C. □ 20^{th}-21^{st}C. ☑**

**Nature of edge:**
- Positive □ Negative ☑ Neutral □

**Form of edge:**
- Smooth □ Linear ☑ Indented □

**Comments:**

### Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Development could be accommodated within the southern part of the LCP (south of the dividing hedgerow) but would need to provide for a strong new edge and retain sufficient stand-off between built areas and the Moat Brook and its floodplain. The LCPs relationship with the adjacent Conservation Area would need to be respected.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** CD8  
**Settlement:** Codsall

**Summary description:** Large LCP that wraps around the northern extent of the settlement. There are strong historic influences both from this part of the village and from field pattern survival. Fields are generally small and of rectilinear shape, delineated by hedgerows. This area is an example of the survival of a lowland cultural landscape. The Moat Brook takes a sinuous course around the LCP boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** high

**Evaluation justification:** Field pattern survival and small scale landscape with historic interest. A small part of the LCP is within the Conservation Area. Crossed by PRoWs.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small/medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☑
Characteristics

Landform: Falls to north, west and south from high point around church
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

| Condition     | Intact □    | Declining □ | Fragmented □   |

Intensity of use

| Impact        | High □      | Moderate □  | Low □          |

Water

| Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

Comments:

Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>Church</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>Distant pylons; occasional large modern farm sheds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intervisibility

| Site observation: | High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □ |
Comments: with higher part of settlement around church

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☑

Complexity: ☑

Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: None significant
Views of settlement: None significant
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High ☑ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Defines northern extent of settlement and provides historic interest in conjunction with historic part of village
With wider landscape: Valley sides
With adjacent LCP: Partial with CD8 but only from south westernmost part of LCP

Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Strong
With wider landscape: Longer distance views. LCP reads as part of wider countryside
With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☑ Functional ☑

Comments: Mainly functional

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☑ 20th-21stC. ☑

Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented ☑

Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

**Comments:**
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** CD9  
**Settlement:** Codsall

**Summary description:** Large field with some subdivision of a small area in the south eastern corner. The LCP is immediately to the west of Watery Lane which is a main route to/from the north into/out of the village. An area to the east of Watery Lane has been developed in recent years. The southern LCP boundary is formed by Sandy Lane. The character of the LCP is distinct from the adjacent LCP and has none of the historic filed pattern that has survived within CD9.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** Vegetation around the northern and western LCP boundaries results in visual containment that, in conjunction with the presence of the urban area, ensures the LCP relates more to the settlement than to the landscape beyond. There are no characteristic landscape features within the LCP and urban influences dominate. Development would represent a logical urban extension.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Irregular  
Field size: Large/small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐

**Historic**

Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐
### Other

Floodplain

### Characteristics

**Landform**:
Part of gently rolling countryside

**Landcover**:
Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

**Presence of water**:
- Pond
- Lake
- Brook
- River

**Comments**:

### Key views

**To settlement**:
- Y
- N

**From settlement**:
- Y
- N

**Landmarks**:
- Church on higher land to west
- Detractors:
  - Urban edge

### Intervisibility
Site observation: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☒

Comments: With settlement edges

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☒ Complexity: Complex

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Watery Lane; Sandy Lane
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: strong relationship with settlement edges to east and south
With wider landscape: Weak – contained by vegetation
With adjacent LCP: Forms part of open countryside around the north western part of the settlement in conjunction with CD9 all of which is contained by the Moat Brook

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Strong urban influences
With wider landscape: Weak – visual containment
With adjacent LCP: Ditto

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☒

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒

Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Rights of Way ☐ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒
Roads/rail/cycleways ☒ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒
Canal ☐ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Development could be accommodate but should ensure key views to church are respected. All boundary vegetation should be retained and reinforced where necessary.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

Site: CV1  
Settlement: Coven

**Summary description:** Collection of fields of medium to large scale. Saredon Brook forms the northern LCP boundary and its route partly corresponds with the edge of a large woodland block immediately to the north. Some hedgerows and hedgerow trees remain that provide a degree of compartmentalisation within the LCP. The southern part of the LCP, to the south of a track is subject to influences from the urban edge of the northern extent of the settlement.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium-high

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP has a relatively strong rural feel although this is disrupted to an extent by the presence of overhead lines and pylons. There are few urban influences apart from the adjacent playing field to the east and scattered dwellings. The roofs of dwellings forming the northern settlement edge are visible and this reduces the sensitivity of the southern part of the LCP. Part of the LCP is covered by a floodplain. Presence of sewage treatment works has little influence.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

**South east part of LCP**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**North western part of LCP**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.
Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Medium-large

Designations

**Landscape/planning**
Green Belt ☑️
AONB ☑️
Amenity Greenspace ☑️
Ancient Woodland ☑️
TPO ☑️

**Biodiversity**
SAC ☑️
SSSI ☑️
Local Wildlife Sites ☑️
LNRs ☑️
SBIs ☑️
BAS ☑️

**Historic**
Cons. Area ☑️
SAMs ☑️
Historic Parks/Gardens ☑️

**Other**
Floodplain ☑️

Characteristics

Landform: Generally flat
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☑️</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☑️</td>
<td>Ancient ☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☑️</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☑️</td>
<td>Relic ☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☑️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

| Extent   | Dense ☑️ | Scattered ☑️ | Insignificant ☑️ | None ☑️ |
| Age      | Mixed ☑️ | Overmature ☑️| Immature ☑️       |        |

Watercourse trees

| Extent   | Dense ☑️ | Scattered ☑️ | Insignificant ☑️ | None ☑️ |
| Age      | Mixed ☑️ | Overmature ☑️| Immature ☑️       |        |
### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent ☞</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature ☞</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic ☞</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional ☞</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☞</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate ☞</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook ☞</th>
<th>River ☞</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Saredon Brook and River Penk abut LCP

### Key views

- To settlement: Y ☞ N □
- From settlement: Y □ N ☞
- Landmarks: None
- Detractors: Pylons/overhead lines

### Intervisibility

- Site observation: High □ Med. ☞ Low □
- ...to key features □
- ...from key place □

### Skyline

- Prominence/importance: High □ Med. ☞ Low □ N/A □
- Complexity: Simple

### Tranquility

- Noise sources: Minor roads

#### Views of settlement:

- Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☞
- Summary: High □ Med. ☞ Low □

### Extent of functional relationship

- With settlement: 
- With wider landscape: 
- With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:  
With wider landscape:  
With adjacent LCP:  
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □  
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □  20th-21stC. ☑  
Nature of edge:  Positive □  Negative □  Neutral ☑  
Form of edge:  Smooth ☑  Linear □  Indented □  
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: PRoW runs east-west adjacent to settlement edge

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** CV2  
**Settlement:** Coven

**Summary description:** Large field contained to the east by the A449. LCP wraps around an area of public open space. Provides buffer between settlement and A449 in part.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** A449 provides strong defensible boundary to the LCP's eastern extent. Urban influences present but not significant. Feels more remote from settlement than some other areas. Large scale field lacking any landscape structure. Pylon and overhead lines present. However, the LCP retains a rural feel. Bungalow roofs and POs area fencing visible from A449.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- **Land use:** Agriculture
- **Field pattern:** Regular
- **Field size:** Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☑  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐
### Historic Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

### Other
Floodplain □

### Characteristics
Landform: Generally flat
Landcover: Farmland

#### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Landmarks:        | None    | Detractors: Pylon/overhead lines; conifers |
**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☑
Comments: From A449

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Mainly woodland edge

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: A449
Views of settlement:
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☑
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☑
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Only transient views from A449

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
## Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** CV3  
**Settlement:** Coven

**Summary description:** Small, visually and physically well-contained LCP between A449 and settlement.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** LCP not visible from A449 or any other public highways. Any development should retain an open buffer against the A449.

### Landscape character

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

### Land cover parcels (LCPs)

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small

### Designations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Belt  ☒</td>
<td>AONB ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity Greenspace ☐</td>
<td>Ancient Woodland ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiversity</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAC ☐</td>
<td>SSSI ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites ☐</td>
<td>LNRs ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
Floodplain ☐

**Characteristics**

**Landform:** Flat  
**Landcover:** Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

| Extent | Dense ☒ | Scattered ☐ | Insignificant ☐ | None ☒ |
| Age | Mixed ☒ | Overmature ☐ | Immature ☐ |

**Watercourse trees**

| Extent | Dense ☒ | Scattered ☐ | Insignificant ☐ | None ☒ |
| Age | Mixed ☒ | Overmature ☐ | Immature ☐ |

**Field trees**

| Extent | Prominent ☒ | Apparent ☐ | Insignificant ☐ | None ☒ |
| Age | Mixed ☒ | Overmature ☐ | Immature ☐ |

**Patch survival**

| Extent | Widespread ☒ | Localised ☒ | Relic ☐ |
| Management | Intense ☒ | Traditional ☒ | Neglected ☐ |

**Ecological corridors**

| Condition | Intact ☒ | Declining ☐ | Fragmented ☐ |

**Intensity of use**

| Impact | High ☒ | Moderate ☐ | Low ☒ |

**Water**

| Presence of water: | Pond ☐ | Lake ☐ | Brook ☐ | River ☒ |

**Comments:**

**Key views**

| To settlement: | Y ☒ N ☒ | From settlement: | Y ☒ N ☒ |
| Landmarks: | None | Detractors | None |
Intervisibility

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low ☐ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: A449
Views of settlement:
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☐
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative ☐ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
## Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** CV4  
**Settlement:** Coven

### Summary description
LCP containing a number of fields of varying size and shape. It is well contained by the A449 that forms a defensible eastern boundary and by the settlement pattern that wraps around it.

### Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

### Evaluation justification
The A4449 provides a strong defensible eastern boundary. The LCP is subject to significant urban influences due to the proximity of surrounding residential areas. There is some survival of a landscape structure with hedgerows providing a degree of compartmentalisation. Any development should respect and retain existing landscape structure. Any development should also provide for a buffer against the A449. LCP is crossed by ProWs.

## Landscape character

### LDU Scale
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

### Key characteristics
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

## Land cover parcels (LCPs)

- **Land use:** Agriculture
- **Field pattern:** Sub-regular
- **Field size:** Small-medium

## Designations

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐
**Biodiversity**
SAC □    SSSI □    Local Wildlife Sites □    LNRs □    SBIs □    BAS □

**Historic**
Cons. Area □    SAMs □    Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Generally flat
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

**Key views**
To settlement: Y □ N □ From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: None Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □</th>
<th>...to key features □</th>
<th>...from key place □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Skyline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □</th>
<th>Complexity:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tranquility**

Views of settlement: A449; other minor roads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of people:</th>
<th>Frequent □</th>
<th>Infrequent □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}C. □ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}C. □

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of edge:</th>
<th>Positive □</th>
<th>Negative □</th>
<th>Neutral □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth □</td>
<td>Linear □</td>
<td>Indented □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Receptors and sensitivity**
### Receptors | Sensitivity
--- | ---
Rural residents | High Med. Low
Urban residents | High Med. Low
Public Rights of Way | High Med. Low
Roads/rail/cycleways | High Med. Low
Canal | High Med. Low

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** CV5  
**Settlement:** Coven

**Summary description:** Large LCP comprising fields of varying size and shape. The A449 provides a strong defensible boundary to the LCP’s eastern edge. Only the north western part of the LCP retains any landscape structure with hedgerows still present.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low-medium

**Evaluation justification:** The area generally retains a good level of rural feel/appearance. Nonetheless, there are strong urban influences affecting the north easternmost part of the LCP and this area has therefore been assessed as having low sensitivity. A PRoW crosses the southern part of the LCP. The Watershead Brook and its floodplain are located around the western edge of the LCP.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Medium-large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐
### Biodiversity

- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

### Historic

- Cons. Area □
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

### Other

- Floodplain ☒

### Characteristics

- Landform: Generally flat
- Landcover: Farmland

#### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

- Presence of water: Pond □
- Lake □
- Brook ☒
- River □

Comments: Watershead Brook to west

### Key views
To settlement: Y ☒ N ☐ From settlement: Y ☐ N ☐
Landmarks: None Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☒
Comments: Form A449; and easternmost section of Brewood Road

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: A449
Views of settlement:
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☒
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☒ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development would need to be concentrated in area adjacent to settlement and provide a new defensible vegetated settlement edge.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: CV6
Settlement: Coven

Summary description: Very large LCP formed by a number of large fields. The River Penk runs around the western settlement edge before heading away to the south. The landscape is of gently rolling form.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: The River Penk, its floodplain and an area of public open space create a series of barriers to extending any development west beyond the existing settlement boundary. The area has a strong rural feel/appearance. The landscape structure is quite weak although hedgerows do still separate some of the large fields. Minor urban influences result from the settlement edge to the east but the LCP has little relationship with the settlement.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Mix of regular and sub-regular
Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑️  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☑️  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐
### Biodiversity
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

### Historic
- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

### Other
- Floodplain

### Characteristics

**Landform:** Gently rolling  
**Landcover:** Farmland; public open space (incl. woodland area)

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☒</td>
<td>Redundant ☒</td>
<td>Relic ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☒</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☐</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☒</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

- Presence of water: Pond ☐ Lake ☐ Brook ☐ River ☒

### Comments:

### Key views
To settlement: Y □ N ☑ From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: None Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low ☑ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place ☑
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☑ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor – from Brewood Road; farm tracks
Views of settlement:
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High □ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional ☑
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
# Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** ES1  
**Settlement:** Essington

**Summary description:** Large parcel that extends south east from Hobnock Road and along the length of the north eastern settlement edge. Some sub-division by hedgerows. The LCP narrows at its south easternmost section. Crossed by PRoWs. The M6 motorway corridor forms the north western boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** Urban edge has strong influence. Well-screened from major roads although can be glimpsed from Hobnock Road. PRoWs are a constraint to which any development must take account.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**

- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB □  
- Amenity Greenspace □  
- Ancient Woodland □  
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**

- SAC □  
- SSSI □  
- Local Wildlife Sites □  
- LNRs □  
- SBIs □  
- BAS □

**Historic**

- Cons. Area □  
- SAMs □  
- Historic Parks/Gardens □
### Other
Floodplain □

### Characteristics

**Landform:** Gently rolling
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedge rows □</th>
<th>Hedge banks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

**Presence of water:** Pond □  Lake □  Brook □  River □

**Comments:**

### Key views

**To settlement:** Y □ N □  **From settlement:** Y □ N □

**Landmarks:** None  **Detractors:** None

### Intervisibility
Site observation:  High □ Med. □ Low □  ...to key features □  ...from key place □
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☐  Complexity:  
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Motorway corridor; Hobnock Road
Views of settlement: Significant
Presence of people:  Frequent ☐  Infrequent □  
Summary:  High □ Med. □ Low ☐  
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: 
With wider landscape: 
With adjacent LCP: 
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: 
With wider landscape: 
With adjacent LCP: 
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □  Functional □  
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □  20th-21stC. ☐  
Nature of edge:  Positive □  Negative □  Neutral ☐  
Form of edge:  Smooth □  Linear □  Indented ☐  
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☐ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: ES2  Settlement: Essington

Summary description: Large featureless area of land located to south eastern edge of main body of settlement. Bordered on its eastern edge by Bursnips Road. LCP is generally well-screened from surrounding roads by hedgerows.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: Well vegetated settlement edge so little urban influence and area reads as part of the wider landscape – also due to topography. Some longer distance views possible to countryside beyond.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

Key characteristics
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Irregular and boundaries coincide with surrounding roads
Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floodplain □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Characteristics**

Landform: Part of a gently rolling landscape. Higher than settlement edge  
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □  
From settlement: Y □ N □  
Landmarks: None  
Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □  
...to key features □  
...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Higher land

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Bursnips Road; Brownshore Lane in part
Views of settlement: None apparent
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☒
Summary: High ☒ Med. ☒ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional ☒
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: ES3    Settlement: Essington

Summary description: Small, roughly triangular LCP opposite ribbon development in Upper Sneyd Road although detached from main body of settlement.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

Evaluation justification: Urban influences present that reduce sensitivity although strong hedgerow provides screening. Development would only be acceptable if adjacent area (LCP ES4) was developed also. LCP crossed by PRoW that adds a further constraint.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

Key characteristics
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Small

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒    AONB ☐    Amenity Greenspace ☐    Ancient Woodland ☐    TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐    SSSI ☐    Local Wildlife Sites ☐    LNRs ☐    SBIs ☒    BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐    SAMs ☐    Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain ☐
**Characteristics**

**Landform:** Part of gently rolling landscape  
**Landcover:** Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:** From ribbon development

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From settlement:</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Med.</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>...to key features</th>
<th>...from key place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>From ribbon development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☒
Complexity: □
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Adjacent roads
Views of settlement: Partial
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. ☒ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}C. ☒ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}C. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear ☒ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Urban residents ☒          | High □ Med. ☒ Low │
| Public Rights of Way ☒     | High ☒ Med. □ Low □ |
| Roads/rail/cycleways ☒     | High ☒ Med. □ Low □ |
| Canal □                    | High ☒ Med. □ Low □ |
Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** ES4  
**Settlement:** Essington

**Summary description:** Featureless parcel of land bordered on two sides by residential development. The other boundary is formed by a large area of public open space that contains a Biodiversity Alert Site (BAS).

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** Development would have little effect on the wider area and would represent a logical extension to the settlement boundary, also consolidating the ribbon development in High Hill with the main body of the settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**

- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Irregular
- Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**

- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
Floodplain

**Characteristics**

Landform: Generally flat  
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

| Extent   | Dense | Scattered | Insignificant | None |
| Age      | Mixed | Overmature| Immature     |      |

**Watercourse trees**

| Extent   | Dense | Scattered | Insignificant | None |
| Age      | Mixed | Overmature| Immature     |      |

**Field trees**

| Extent   | Prominent | Apparent | Insignificant | None |
| Age      | Mixed     | Overmature| Immature     |      |

**Patch survival**

| Extent   | Widespread | Localised | Relic     |
| Management| Intense    | Traditional| Neglected|

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Comments: |

**Key views**

| To settlement: | Y □ N □ |
| From settlement: | Y □ N □ |
| Landmarks: | None |
| Detractors: | None |

**Intervisibility**

| Site observation: | High □ Med. □ Low □ |
| ...to key features: | □ |
| ...from key place: | □ |
Comments: From settlement (ribbon development)

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity:

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: High Hill
Views of settlement: Prominent
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development must provide for a sufficient buffer to protect the adjacent public open space and its biodiversity.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: ES5
Settlement: Essington

Summary description: Small triangular parcel that is part of a much larger field. Considered in isolation in order to respect existing well-defined south western settlement edge. Blackhalve Lane provides strong definitive southern edge.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low

Evaluation justification: Development would be logical in terms of the existing settlement boundary. Urban influences present in views across the LCP on approach to the settlement from the west.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Settled Plateau Farmlands is a gently rolling ‘upland’ landscape associated with low glacial summits. It is similar to the surrounding Ancient Settled Farmlands, with a well-defined pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. However, this landscape is also characterised by patches of relic common, each with a planned enclosure pattern of regular fields, straight roads and wayside dwellings. The heavy, poorly drained loamy soils support dairying with some mixed farming in a relatively un-wooded landscape. The presence of birch and stunted oak reflect the localised occurrence of poor acidic soils.

Key characteristics
- Gently rolling low plateau summits
- Mixed pastoral and arable farming
- A varied, well defined field pattern
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Sparse hedgerow oaks
- Small areas of enclosed former heathland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, hamlets and groups of roadside dwellings
- Traditional red brick buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Irregular
Field size: Small but part of a larger field

Designations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
<th></th>
<th>Biodiversity</th>
<th></th>
<th>Historic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Belt</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AONB</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>SSSI</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity Greenspace</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancient Woodland</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LNRs</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SBIs</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>BAS</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>SSSI</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSI</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNRs</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SBIs</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBIs</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>BAS</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Generally flat  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

- **Type:**  
  - Hedgerows □  
  - Hedgebanks □  
  - Wet ditches □  
  - Estate fencing □

- **Species:**  
  - Thorn □  
  - Elm □  
  - Mixed □  
  - Ancient □

- **Condition:**  
  - Good □  
  - Poor □  
  - Redundant □  
  - Relic □

- **Management:**  
  - Trimmed □  
  - Overgrown □

### Hedgerow trees

- **Extent:**  
  - Dense □  
  - Scattered □  
  - Insignificant □

- **Age:**  
  - Mixed □  
  - Overmature □  
  - Immature □

### Watercourse trees

- **Extent:**  
  - Dense □  
  - Scattered □  
  - Insignificant □

- **Age:**  
  - Mixed □  
  - Overmature □  
  - Immature □

### Field trees

- **Extent:**  
  - Prominent □  
  - Apparent □  
  - Insignificant □

- **Age:**  
  - Mixed □  
  - Overmature □  
  - Immature □

### Patch survival

- **Extent:**  
  - Widespread □  
  - Localised □  
  - Relic □

- **Management:**  
  - Intense □  
  - Traditional □  
  - Neglected □

### Ecological corridors

- **Condition:**  
  - Intact □  
  - Declining □  
  - Fragmented □

### Intensity of use

- **Impact:**  
  - High □  
  - Moderate □  
  - Low □

### Water

- **Presence of water:**  
  - Pond □  
  - Lake □  
  - Brook □  
  - River □

### Comments:

- **Key views**
  - To settlement: Y □ N □
  - From settlement: Y □ N □
  - Landmarks: None
  - Detractors: None
**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place ☐
Comments: To settlement edge

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Adjacent road
Views of settlement: Prominent
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☑ Functional ☐
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Any development must provide for a new defensible vegetated boundary to define new western settlement edge.
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** ES6  
**Settlement:** Essington

**Summary description:** Small roughly rectangular parcel that is part of a much larger field. Considered in isolation in order to respect existing well-defined western settlement edge.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** Development would be logical in terms of the existing settlement boundary. Urban influences present in views across the LCP on approach to the settlement from the west along PRoW that forms the southern LCP boundary.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
The Settled Plateau Farmlands is a gently rolling ‘upland’ landscape associated with low glacial summits. It is similar to the surrounding Ancient Settled Farmlands, with a well-defined pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. However, this landscape is also characterised by patches of relic common, each with a planned enclosure pattern of regular fields, straight roads and wayside dwellings. The heavy, poorly drained loamy soils support dairying with some mixed farming in a relatively un-wooded landscape. The presence of birch and stunted oak reflect the localised occurrence of poor acidic soils.

**Key characteristics**
- Gently rolling low plateau summits
- Mixed pastoral and arable farming
- A varied, well defined field pattern
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Sparse hedgerow oaks
- Small areas of enclosed former heathland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, hamlets and groups of roadside dwellings
- Traditional red brick buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Small but part of a larger field

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**  
Green Belt ☑️  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**  
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐

**Historic**  
Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐
Other
Floodplain □

Characteristics

Landform: Generally flat
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

Key views

To settlement: Y □ N □
From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: None
Detractors: None

Intervisibility
Site observation: High □ Med. ☑ Low □ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place □
Comments: To settlement edge

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☑ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: No major noise sources present
Views of settlement: Prominent
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High □ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional ☑
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented ☑
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roads/rail/cycleways □  High □ Med. □ Low □
Canal □  High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development must provide for a new defensible vegetated boundary to define new western settlement edge.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** FS1  
**Settlement:** Featherstone

**Summary description:** Collection of small to medium sized fields separated by strong hedgerows. There are also small copses. The LCP is characterised by the survival of a historic landscape structure. New Road forms the southern LCP edge and coincides with the development boundary of the settlement.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High-medium

**Evaluation justification:** Stout hedgerows delineating a patchwork of irregular shaped small fields create a strong landscape structure. An example of an intact lowland cultural landscape, albeit covering only a relatively small area. New Road separates the LCP from the main body of the settlement but there are nonetheless major urban influences. The landscape structure is more pronounced in the eastern half of the LCP.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Settled Plateau Farmlands is a gently rolling ‘upland’ landscape associated with low glacial summits. It is similar to the surrounding Ancient Settled Farmlands, with a well-defined pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. However, this landscape is also characterised by patches of relic common, each with a planned enclosure pattern of regular fields, straight roads and wayside dwellings. The heavy, poorly drained loamy soils support dairying with some mixed farming in a relatively un-wooded landscape. The presence of birch and stunted oak reflect the localised occurrence of poor acidic soils.

**Key characteristics**

- Gently rolling low plateau summits
- Mixed pastoral and arable farming
- A varied, well defined field pattern
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Sparse hedgerow oaks
- Small areas of enclosed former heathland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, hamlets and groups of roadside dwellings
- Traditional red brick buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture  
**Field pattern:** Irregular  
**Field size:** Small-medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☑  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
SAC □ SSSI □ Local Wildlife Sites □ LNRs □ SBIs □ BAS □

**Historic**
Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Gently rolling
Landcover: Farmland; wooded copses

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

**Intensity of use**

Impact | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

**Water**

Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

Comments: Minor watercourse – more of a ditch

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □ From settlement: Y □ N □
### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features □</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☐</th>
<th>Complexity:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>New Road; A460</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of functional relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of visual relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☐</th>
<th>Functional ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. ☐</th>
<th>20th-21stC. ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
<td>Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Rural residents □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Urban residents ☒ High □ Med. ☒ Low □
Public Rights of Way ☒ High ☒ Med. □ Low □
Roads/rail/cycleways □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments: PRoW runs along eastern LCP edge

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** FS2  
**Settlement:** Featherstone

**Summary description:** Two large fields of varying shape, separated by a hedgerow/track. Pronounced landform is a key characteristic and prevents any intervisibility between the settlements of Featherstone and Brinsford.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** Existing topography would result in any development being very prominent. It would relate very poorly to the existing settlement. An important area in preventing coalescence between settlements.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Settled Plateau Farmlands is a gently rolling ‘upland’ landscape associated with low glacial summits. It is similar to the surrounding Ancient Settled Farmlands, with a well-defined pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. However, this landscape is also characterised by patches of relic common, each with a planned enclosure pattern of regular fields, straight roads and wayside dwellings. The heavy, poorly drained loamy soils support dairying with some mixed farming in a relatively un-wooded landscape. The presence of birch and stunted oak reflect the localised occurrence of poor acidic soils.

**Key characteristics**

- Gently rolling low plateau summits
- Mixed pastoral and arable farming
- A varied, well defined field pattern
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Sparse hedgerow oaks
- Small areas of enclosed former heathland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, hamlets and groups of roadside dwellings
- Traditional red brick buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture  
**Field pattern:** Sub-regular  
**Field size:** Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt □
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**

- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

**Historic**

- None
### Other
Floodplain □

### Characteristics

**Landform:** Pronounced  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

| Extent | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □ |

### Watercourse trees

| Extent | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □ |

### Field trees

| Extent | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □ |

### Patch survival

| Extent | Widespread □ | Localised □ | Relic □ |
| Management | Intense □ | Traditional □ | Neglected □ |

### Ecological corridors

| Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

### Intensity of use

| Impact | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

### Water

| Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

**Comments:** Two small ponds adjacent to New Road

### Key views

| To settlement: | Y □ | N □ | From settlement: | Y □ | N □ |
| Landmarks: | None | | Detractors: | Minor overhead lines |
Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place ☐
Comments: Extensive views towards settlements from high point and to the wider landscape

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Primarily New Road; other surrounding minor lanes
Views of settlement: Yes
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☑ Functional ☐
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Rights of Way □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Roads/rail/cycleways □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

*Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge*

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** FS3  
**Settlement:** Featherstone

**Summary description:** Low lying, small LCP containing a number of farm buildings and historic Featherstone Hall.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** Some urban influences although still physically separated from the settlement by Featherstone Lane. Any development would need to respect setting of Hall. Development potential limited.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
The Settled Plateau Farmlands is a gently rolling ‘upland’ landscape associated with low glacial summits. It is similar to the surrounding Ancient Settled Farmlands, with a well-defined pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. However, this landscape is also characterised by patches of relic common, each with a planned enclosure pattern of regular fields, straight roads and wayside dwellings. The heavy, poorly drained loamy soils support dairying with some mixed farming in a relatively un-wooded landscape. The presence of birch and stunted oak reflect the localised occurrence of poor acidic soils.

**Key characteristics**

- Gently rolling low plateau summits
- Mixed pastoral and arable farming
- A varied, well defined field pattern
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Sparse hedgerow oaks
- Small areas of enclosed former heathland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, hamlets and groups of roadside dwellings
- Traditional red brick buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☒  
AONB ☐  
Amenity Greenspace ☐  
Ancient Woodland ☐  
TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

SAC ☐  
SSSI ☐  
Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
LNRs ☐  
SBIs ☐  
BAS ☐

**Historic**

Cons. Area ☐  
SAMs ☐  
Historic Parks/Gardens ☐
### Other

Floodplain □

### Characteristics

Landform: Flat
Landcover: Farm complex

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

Comments:

### Key views

To settlement: Y □ N □ From settlement: Y □ N □

Landmarks: Hall and wall Detractors: None

### Intervisibility
Site observation: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☐
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒ N/A ☐ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: New Road; other minor lanes
Views of settlement: No
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments: Presence of people frequent due to high level of use of New Road

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** FS4  
**Settlement:** Featherstone

**Summary description:** Large area of land between Featherstone to the east and the former Royal Ordnance Factory and HMP Featherstone to the west. Includes the Brinsford Lodge site within the eastern part of the LCP. Pockets of conifer plantation break up the area (albeit to a limited extent) and provide partial screening in views to the east from Brookhouse Lane and from East Road.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** The settlement edge has little visual influence but the Prison and industrial area to the east are more prominent. Consequently, the area feels detached from the settlement. Brinsford Lodge is a well-contained area in itself and would form a logical extension to the settlement in conjunction with the area immediately to the south that abuts the adjacent LCP FS5. However, the settlement edge is inward looking, making it potentially difficult to create cohesive visual and physical links.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
The Settled Plateau Farmlands is a gently rolling ‘upland’ landscape associated with low glacial summits. It is similar to the surrounding Ancient Settled Farmlands, with a well-defined pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. However, this landscape is also characterised by patches of relic common, each with a planned enclosure pattern of regular fields, straight roads and wayside dwellings. The heavy, poorly drained loamy soils support dairying with some mixed farming in a relatively un-wooded landscape. The presence of birch and stunted oak reflect the localised occurrence of poor acidic soils.

**Key characteristics**
- Gently rolling low plateau summits
- Mixed pastoral and arable farming
- A varied, well defined field pattern
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Sparse hedgerow oaks
- Small areas of enclosed former heathland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, hamlets and groups of roadside dwellings
- Traditional red brick buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐
### Biodiversity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC</th>
<th>SSSI</th>
<th>Local Wildlife Sites</th>
<th>LNRs</th>
<th>SBIs</th>
<th>BAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Historic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cons. Area</th>
<th>SAMs</th>
<th>Historic Parks/Gardens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floodplain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Characteristics

- **Landform:** Gently rolling
- **Landcover:** Industrial relic and farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

**Key views**
### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☐</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐</th>
<th>Complexity:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>M54 to south; Minor surrounding roads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☑️ Infrequent ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Extent of functional relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Extent of visual relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

| Visual ☐ Functional ☐ |

**Comments:**

### Settlement edge

| Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of edge:</th>
<th>Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth ☑️ Linear ☐ Indented ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
## Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: FS5  Settlement: Featherstone

Summary description: Large parcel of land between the southern settlement edge and the M54, which forms the southern LCP boundary. Generally, large and medium sized fields with some irregular sub-division in the western part of the LCP. Settlement edge is relatively strong due to being well-vegetated. A tree belt runs along the majority of the eastern and southern LCP edges against the M54 corridor. This includes a small remnant of Ancient Woodland. Brookhouse Lane runs through the western part of the LCP.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low

Evaluation justification: Well-screened from M54 by well-vegetated embankment. Urban influences form settlement edge and therefore relates well to the urban area. Development would be a logical extension to the settlement.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Settled Plateau Farmlands is a gently rolling ‘upland’ landscape associated with low glacial summits. It is similar to the surrounding Ancient Settled Farmlands, with a well-defined pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. However, this landscape is also characterised by patches of relic common, each with a planned enclosure pattern of regular fields, straight roads and wayside dwellings. The heavy, poorly drained loamy soils support dairying with some mixed farming in a relatively un-wooded landscape. The presence of birch and stunted oak reflect the localised occurrence of poor acidic soils.

Key characteristics
- Gently rolling low plateau summits
- Mixed pastoral and arable farming
- A varied, well defined field pattern
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Sparse hedgerow oaks
- Small areas of enclosed former heathland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, hamlets and groups of roadside dwellings
- Traditional red brick buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Predominantly irregular
Field size: Large, medium and small

Designations

Landscape/planning
- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☒
- TPO ☐

Biodiversity
**Landform:** Generally flat appearance but gradual rise from west-east

**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

**Key views**

**To settlement:** Y N

**From settlement:** Y N
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landmarks:</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Detractors:</th>
<th>None significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☐</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
<th>Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Skyline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>N/A ☒</th>
<th>Complexity:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tranquility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources: Motorway; Brrokhouse Lane</th>
<th>Views of settlement: Partial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extent of functional relationship**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extent of visual relationship**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☐ Functional ☐</th>
<th>Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Settlement edge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒</th>
<th>Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


Rural residents □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Urban residents ☑ High □ Med. □ Low ☑
Public Rights of Way □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Roads/rail/cycleways □ High □ Med. □ Low ☑
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should be concentrated to the east of Brookhouse Lane and would need to incorporate additional planting against the M54 corridor. Take account of Public Open Space proposal.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: FS6
Settlement: Featherstone

Summary description: Large LCP to east of settlement within Historic Landscape Areas associated with Hilton Hall and Parkland.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: Visually and physically separated from settlement by the A460 and a substantial tree belt. Within Historic Landscape Area. No relationship to settlement. Part of setting to historic hall.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Settled Plateau Farmlands is a gently rolling ‘upland’ landscape associated with low glacial summits. It is similar to the surrounding Ancient Settled Farmlands, with a well-defined pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. However, this landscape is also characterised by patches of relic common, each with a planned enclosure pattern of regular fields, straight roads and wayside dwellings. The heavy, poorly drained loamy soils support dairying with some mixed farming in a relatively un-wooded landscape. The presence of birch and stunted oak reflect the localised occurrence of poor acidic soils.

Key characteristics
- Gently rolling low plateau summits
- Mixed pastoral and arable farming
- A varied, well defined field pattern
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Sparse hedgerow oaks
- Small areas of enclosed former heathland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, hamlets and groups of roadside dwellings
- Traditional red brick buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☑
### Other

Floodplain □

### Characteristics

Landform: Slope  
Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

Condition: Intact □  Declining □  Fragmented □

### Intensity of use

Impact: High □  Moderate □  Low □

### Water

Presence of water: Pond □  Lake □  Brook □  River □

Comments: Wet ditch along southern LCP boundary

### Key views

To settlement: Y □ N □  From settlement: Y □ N □

Landmarks: None  Detractors: None

### Intervisibility
Site observation:  High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Rising land

Transcendence

Noise sources: A460; Motorway junction
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments: Concentrated around eastern and southern parts of LCP

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: Part of Historic Parkland
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: Part of Historic Parkland
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20th C. □ 20th-21st C. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** FS7  
**Settlement:** Featherstone

**Summary description:** Well-contained parcel bordered on all sides by substantial vegetation belts and woodland. Part of Historic Landscape Area associated with Hilton Hall and Parkland.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** Part of Historic Landscape Area but visually well contained. Relates only to small settlement of Hilton rather than to main body of Featherstone.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Settled Plateau Farmlands is a gently rolling ‘upland’ landscape associated with low glacial summits. It is similar to the surrounding Ancient Settled Farmlands, with a well-defined pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. However, this landscape is also characterised by patches of relic common, each with a planned enclosure pattern of regular fields, straight roads and wayside dwellings. The heavy, poorly drained loamy soils support dairying with some mixed farming in a relatively un-wooded landscape. The presence of birch and stunted oak reflect the localised occurrence of poor acidic soils.

**Key characteristics**
- Gently rolling low plateau summits
- Mixed pastoral and arable farming
- A varied, well defined field pattern
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Sparse hedgerow oaks
- Small areas of enclosed former heathland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, hamlets and groups of roadside dwellings
- Traditional red brick buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Irregular. Boundaries coincide with surrounding roads
Field size: Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBI ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☑

**Other**
**Floodplain □**

### Characteristics

- **Landform:** Very gradual rise from west-east
- **Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed ☉</td>
<td>Ancient ☉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good ☉</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown ☉</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant ☉</th>
<th>None ☉</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed ☉</td>
<td>Overmature ☉</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None ☉</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed ☉</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None ☉</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed ☉</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised ☉</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional ☉</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

| Condition    | Intact ☉ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

### Intensity of use

| Impact | High □ | Moderate ☉ | Low □ |

### Water

| Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

**Comments:**

### Key views

- **To settlement:** Y □ N ☉
- **From settlement:** Y □ N ☉
- **Landmarks:** None
- **Detractors:** None

### Intervisibility

- Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □...to key features □...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐ N/A ☑ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: A460; Other surrounding lanes
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☑
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☑ Indented ☑
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** GW1  
**Settlement:** Great Wyrley

**Summary description:** Patchwork of small fields delineated by strong hedgerows. Many hedgerow trees. These elements create a strong landscape structure and the LCP is an example of the survival of a small area of a lowland cultural landscape. The land falls gently to the north to meet the A5 corridor before rising again further to the north. The LCP includes a previously developed area in its north western corner. This is currently in use as a travelling showpeople’s site. The adjacent settlement edge (to the south) comprises mainly single-storey buildings. Consequently, residents are restricted to an extent by the existing vegetation. A floodplain covers the north western tip of the LCP.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** Urban influences present from the settlement edge and disturbed landscape beyond (includes residential and industrial). However, the LCP remains attractive in localised views and provides a buffer between the established residential area and the A5 corridor (and also the M6 Toll further north). Development would be detrimental to the character of the LCP and would be significantly constrained by the existing landscape structure.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Biodiversity</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAC □ SSSI □ Local Wildlife Sites □ LNRs □ SBIs □ BAS □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Historic</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Other</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Characteristics</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landform: Part of wider rolling landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landcover: Farmland; horse grazing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Field boundaries</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedgerows □ Hedgebanks □ Wet ditches □ Estate fencing □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorn □ Elm □ Mixed □ Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good □ Poor □ Redundant □ Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimmed □ Overgrown □ Mixed □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Hedgerow trees</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense □ Scattered □ Insignificant □ None □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed □ Overmature □ Immature □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Watercourse trees</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense □ Scattered □ Insignificant □ None □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed □ Overmature □ Immature □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Field trees</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prominent □ Apparent □ Insignificant □ None □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed □ Overmature □ Immature □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Patch survival</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widespread □ Localised □ Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intense □ Traditional □ Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Ecological corridors</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intact □ Declining □ Fragmented □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Intensity of use</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High □ Moderate □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Water</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Comments:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| **Key views** |
To settlement:   Y △ N □  
From settlement:   Y △ N □  
Landmarks:  Industry; landfill  
Detractors:  Industry; landfill; pylons  

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High □ Med. △ Low □</th>
<th>...to key features △</th>
<th>...from key place □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>With rising landscape to north</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High □ Med. △ Low □</th>
<th>N/A △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complexity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>A5; estate roads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td>Prevalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent △ Infrequent □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High □ Med. △ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Northern extent of LCP the most affected by road noise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of functional relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Buffer between residential area and major transport corridor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of visual relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Appears as a small area of attractive countryside</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>Views in context of landscape further north where there are gaps in field boundary vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual □</th>
<th>Functional □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. □</th>
<th>20th-21stC. △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
<td>Positive □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth △</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☚</td>
<td>High ☚ Med. ☚ Low ☚</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☚</td>
<td>High ☚ Med. ☚ Low ☚</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** GW2  
**Settlement:** Great Wyrley

**Summary description:** Large parcel bordered along its northern and north western edges by the A5 and Norton Lane respectively. Comprises fields of varying size and shape and land within different management regimes. The Wash Brook (and its floodplain) runs north-south through the LCP east of centre. There is a reasonable level of field pattern survival – particularly in the western section and the LCP also contains small pockets of woodland. The landscape structure loses its regularity of pattern towards the east. The settlement edge forms the western boundary and the southern boundary lies adjacent to a rectilinear belt of woodland.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP has retained its strength of character although there are some urban influences present. The area has a strong rural feel despite these influences and the presence of a major transport corridor. Some good longer-distance views to the east. PRoW along southern edge.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**

- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular and irregular  
Field size: Small/medium/large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☒  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC □</th>
<th>SSSI □</th>
<th>Local Wildlife Sites □</th>
<th>LNRs □</th>
<th>SBIs □</th>
<th>BAS □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>Cons. Area □</td>
<td>SAMs □</td>
<td>Historic Parks/Gardens □</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Floodplain □</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Characteristics

- **Landform:** rolling
- **Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

#### Key views

- **To settlement:** Y □ N □
- **From settlement:** Y □ N □
Landmarks: None significant  Detractors: None significant

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. ☐ Low □ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place □

Comments: Intervisible with areas beyond

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☐

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: A5; Norton Lane; estate roads
Views of settlement: From within western part of LCP
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☐

Summary: High □ Med. ☐ Low □

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Defines rural area against settlement boundary
With wider landscape: LCP reads as part of the wider countryside
With adjacent LCP: n/a

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Settlement edge apparent from a limited number of viewpoints but does not dominate the prevailing rural character of the LCP
With wider landscape: Reads clearly as a part of the wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: None - woodland belt and other vegetation provide visual separation

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional ☐

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☐

Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear ☐ Indented □

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: The strength of the area’s character, its strong rural feel and relationship with the wider landscape make development inappropriate
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** GW3  
**Settlement:** Great Wyrley

**Summary description:** Small parcel, visually and physically well-contained by strong woodland and linear tree belts. The LCP is separated into two unequal sized areas by a substantial hedgerow. A line of dwellings forms the western LCP boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** The site is discreet and shares no visual relationship with the wider landscape to the south or east. Vegetation creates containment and development could be accommodated within the existing landscape structure. This would represent a logical extension to the settlement boundary.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive open cast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☒  
AONB ☐  
Amenity Greenspace ☐  
Ancient Woodland ☐  
TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

SAC ☐  
SSSI ☐  
Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
LNRs ☐  
SBIs ☐  
BAS ☐

**Historic**

Cons. Area ☐  
SAMs ☐  
Historic Parks/Gardens ☐
### Other
Floodplain □

### Characteristics

Landform: Generally flat but part of wider rolling landscape

Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed △</td>
<td>Ancient △</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good △</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown △</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised △</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional △</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact △</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement</th>
<th>Y □ N △</th>
<th>From settlement</th>
<th>Y □ N △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landmarks</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Detractors</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intervisibility
Site observation:  
High □ Med. □ Low □   ...to key features □   ...from key place □  
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □  
Complexity:  
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Walsall Road (A34)  
Views of settlement: None significant  
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □  
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □  
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: LCP sits between settlement edge to north and playing fields to south  
With wider landscape: n/a  
With adjacent LCP: n/a  
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Minimal  
With wider landscape: None  
With adjacent LCP: n/a  
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □  
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □  
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □  
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □  
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roads/rail/cycleways ☑️ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☑️
Canal ☐ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should retain all existing vegetation
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** GW4  
**Settlement:** Great Wyrley

**Summary description:** Large parcel beyond the south western half of the settlement. Jones Lane and Jacob’s Hall Lane bisect the LCP on an approximate east-west axis. A ribbon development extends from the settlement edge along the latter for a short distance. Fields are medium-large with hedgerows providing delineation. However, these are relatively weak and fail to create a strong landscape structure. There are occasional small copses. Roadsides are well hedged and views across the landscape from these are constrained. Development has breached the line of the A34 and the settlement edge spills out beyond this to the east. A PRoW crosses the southern part of the LCP for a short distance. The north western part of the LCP is separated from the settlement by an area of land in use as playing fields.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low/medium

**Evaluation justification:** The northern part of the LCP (north of Jones Lane) is detached from the settlement and has a greater connection with the wider countryside than to the settlement. The pattern and structure remains weak but there are extensive, high quality views of woodlands to the north-east and east. Consequently, sensitivity here is medium. In other areas, the landscape is of more degraded appearance, emanating from its weak character. The settlement edge is disjointed and has an influence on the LCP, reducing its sensitivity.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Medium/large

**Designations**
Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑️ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☑️ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBI ☐ BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain ☐

Characteristics

Landform: Part of a wider rolling landscape but falls away gradually to the east
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☐</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☑</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☑️</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☑️</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☑️</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☑️</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☑️</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑️</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☐</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☐</th>
<th>Localised ☇</th>
<th>Relic ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☑️</td>
<td>Traditional ☐</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☐</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☐</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☐</th>
<th>River ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:
Key views

To settlement:   Y □ N ☑
From settlement: Y □ N ☑
Landmarks: Detractors:

Intervisibility

Site observation:  High ☑ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place □
Comments: The northern part of the LCP is intervisible with the wider countryside

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Greatest importance is the area north of Jones Lane

Tranquility

Noise sources: Local lanes
Views of settlement: Prevalent – particularly in the mid and southern parts of the LCP
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent □
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments: Tranquility increases further to the east away from the settlement

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: n/a
With wider landscape: Northern part of LCP part of wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: In northern part of LCP – none significant. In southern part – stronger urban influences
With wider landscape: Northern part of LCP – reads as part of wider countryside
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative ☑ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented ☑
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should be concentrated in areas of low sensitivity and provide for new strong vegetated settlement boundary
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: GW5  
Settlement: Great Wyrley

Summary description: Parcel extending south from the south eastern tip of the settlement. Comprises a number of regular shaped fields of varying size, delineated by strong hedgerows. The southern edge of the LCP is formed by a linear belt of vegetation associated with a watercourse and the northern edge of a Gypsy and Traveller site. The latter introduces a more urban character to this part of the countryside that is otherwise characterised by a relatively strong rural appearance. The northern LCP boundary abuts the settlement edge and the northern part of the LCP is generally surrounded by urban form. However, despite this, its strength of character remains. The A34 provides a strong boundary.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low/medium

Evaluation justification: There are two small fields in the northernmost part of the LCP that relate well to the settlement and could accommodate a small development without significant detriment to the character of the wider LCP. The remainder of the LCP has a strong rural feel and relates to the countryside landscape rather than the settlement.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

Key characteristics
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Small/medium

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

Biodiversity
Landform: Gently rolling
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Brook along southern LCP boundary

Key views

To settlement: Y N ✗ From settlement: Y N ✗
Landmarks: None
Detractors: Domestic fencing along edge of Travellers site; Urban edge

Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐ …to key features ☐ …from key place ☐
Comments: Intervisible with wider landscape to west

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐ N/A ☒ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: A34; nearby minor roads
Views of settlement: Occasional
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Contributes to the separation between settlement edge and ribbon development along Long Lane to the south
With wider landscape: Reads as part of wider landscape to west
With adjacent LCP: With GW6 – both LCPs conspire to create strong sense of the rural area beyond the southern settlement edge
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Weak
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: Hedgerows and pockets of woodland within school site screen the LCP from GW6
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☑
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments: Also areas of development along A34

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should be confined to the small fields within the northern part of the LCP
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** GW6  
**Settlement:** Great Wyrley

**Summary description:** Large LCP comprising fields of varying size and shape delineated by hedgerows, some of which are becoming gappy. The LCP is bisected by a railway lien running north-south through its centre. The western edge of the LCP is formed by the line of the Wyrley Essington Branch Canal which is a public open space and Local Nature Reserve (LNR). Long Lane forms the southern LCP boundary that includes a ribbon development along part of its length. The landscape structure is relatively strong and the area has a strong rural feel. Holly Lane and Strawberry Lane form a sinuous northern boundary to the LCP.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** The area retains a relatively strong pattern and strength of rural character and reads as part of the wider countryside. There is a definite marked change from urban to rural.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture  
**Field pattern:** Regular/sub-regular  
**Field size:** Medium/large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐  
- SSSI ☐  
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
- LNRs ☐  
- SBIs ☐  
- BAS ☐
**Historic Cons. Area** ☐ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
Floodplain ☐

**Characteristics**

- Landform: Gently rolling
- Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☐</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☐</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☐</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☐</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☐</th>
<th>Localised ☐</th>
<th>Relic ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☐</td>
<td>Traditional ☐</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☐</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☐</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

- Presence of water: Pond ☐ Lake ☐ Brook ☐ River ☐

**Comments:**

**Key views**

- To settlement: Y ☐ N ☐
- From settlement: Y ☐ N ☐
- Landmarks: None significant
- Detractors: Railway line
**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments: Intervisible with the wider landscape

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Railway; minor roads
Views of settlement: Occasional
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments: Tranquility only reduced due to presence of railway

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Contributes to the separation between settlement edge and ribbon development along Long Lane to the south
With wider landscape: Reads as part of the wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: With GW6 – both LCPs conspire to create strong sense of the rural area beyond the southern settlement edge
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Weak
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: Hedgerows and pockets of woodland within school site screen the LCP from GW5
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20th C. □ 20th-21st C. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: GW7  Settlement: Great Wyrley

Summary description: Area between the well-vegetated settlement edge that forms the north western boundary and Strawberry Lane Cemetery to the south east. Upper Landywood Lane takes a sinuous route to form the north eastern LCP boundary. The line of the Wyrley Essington Branch Canal (a public open space and Local Nature Reserve (LNR)) provides a strong south western edge to the LCP. The line of a disused railway can be discerned running diagonally across the LCP, offering historic interest. There is a lack of any significant landscape structure although there are remnants of a field pattern.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

Evaluation justification: The settlement edge is strong and defensible. The LCP reads as part of the wider landscape and sensitivity would be high were it not for the lack of patch survival. Development would relate poorly to settlement edge. Historic interest.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive open cast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

Key characteristics
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Irregular
Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☒  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐
**Historic Cons. Area** □  SAMs □  Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Gently rolling
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

| Extent     | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □      |       |

**Watercourse trees**

| Extent     | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □      |       |

**Field trees**

| Extent      | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age         | Mixed □     | Overmature □ | Immature □      |       |

**Patch survival**

| Extent      | Widespread □ | Localised □ | Relic □ |
| Management  | Intense □    | Traditional □ | Neglected □ |

**Ecological corridors**

| Condition   | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

**Intensity of use**

| Impact     | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

**Water**

Presence of water:  Pond □  Lake □  Brook □  River □

Comments: Adjacent former canal route including ponds

**Key views**

To settlement:  Y □  N □  From settlement:  Y □  N □

Landmarks: None  Detractors: None
**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  
- High □  
- Med. ☑
- Low □
...to key features ☑  
...from key place □

Comments: Intervisible with wider landscape

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance:  
- High □  
- Med. ☑
- Low □
N/A □

Complexity: Simple

Comments: Distant woodland/tree belts

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor roads

Views of settlement: None

Presence of people:  
- Frequent □  
- Infrequent ☑

Summary:  
- High □  
- Med. ☑
- Low □

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Rural area beyond strong defensible settlement edge

With wider landscape: Reads as part of wider landscape

With adjacent LCP: Similar character to GW8

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Weak

With wider landscape: Strong

With adjacent LCP: Partial

Comments:  
Adjacent LCP relatively well-screened by roadside vegetation

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □  
Functional ☑

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □  
20th-21stC. ☑

Nature of edge:  
- Positive ☑
- Negative □
- Neutral □

Form of edge:  
- Smooth ☑
- Linear □
- Indented □

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

*Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge*

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** GW8  
**Settlement:** Great Wyrley

**Summary description:** Large parcel surrounded on all sides by the urban area except for the south western edge which is formed by the sinuous route of Upper Landywood Lane. The majority of the LCP has been subject to mineral operations and this is evident by the lack of landscape structure/field pattern survival. There are remnants of a field pattern provided by lines of hedgerow and linear tree belts. Within the southern part of the LCP filed pattern survival is more evident and reasonably strong. The remaining landscape structure is concentrated around the edges of the LCP with the area of greatest disturbance within the centre. This serves to constrain views across the LCP and provides a sense of a strong rural landscape.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium/high

**Evaluation justification:** The landform is such that, in views from Landywood Lane along the northern LCP boundary, development would relate well to the settlement edge. There a major urban influences and this part of the LCP is intervisible with the urban edge. Beyond this the LCP appears as a large area of countryside and development would be obtrusive, appearing visually isolated in the rural landscape.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A varied industrial/urban fringe landscape of former mining villages, areas of disturbed ground/restored habitat and pockets of ancient settled farmland. The remaining farmland, used mainly for stock rearing, comprises small to medium sized hedged fields defined by irregular, mixed species hedgerows. This is often surrounded by urban settlement and/or land disturbed by extensive opencast coal mining and clay winning. The mixed rocks of the coal measures give rise to an undulating topography with heavy, in places impoverished soils, where the heathy origins are still evident in this landscape.

**Key characteristics**
- Undulating low plateau
- Pockets of ancient settled farmland with thick mixed species hedgerows
- Restored sites to heathland or used for stock rearing and/or amenity uses
- Heathy origins strongly evident
- Mining settlements with brick terrace houses along former rural lanes
- Old industrial features
- Scattered hedgerow oaks and patches of secondary woodland
- Field ponds

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture

**Field pattern:** Sub-regular (where it exists)

**Field size:** Small/medium/large

**Designations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
<th>Green Belt</th>
<th>AONB</th>
<th>Amenity Greenspace</th>
<th>Ancient Woodland</th>
<th>TPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**Biodiversity**

- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

**Historic**

- Cons. Area □
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**

- Floodplain □

---

**Characteristics**

- Landform: Rolling with more pronounced areas
- Landcover: Farmland

---

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

- Condition □ Intact □ Declining □ Fragmented □

**Intensity of use**

- Impact □ High □ Moderate □ Low □

**Water**

- Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

**Comments:**

**Key views**
To settlement: Y □ N ☐ From settlement: Y □ N ☐
Landmarks: None significant Detractors: Urban edge to north east

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐ ...to key features ☒ ...from key place ☐
Comments: To/from urban edge to north east; to/from wider landscape

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments: More pronounced areas of landform

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Surrounding minor roads
Views of settlement: Partial
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments: Majority of urban edge reasonably well-screened

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Provides important separation between Great Wyrley and Cheslyn Hay
With wider landscape: LCP forms large rural area between areas of built form
With adjacent LCP: Similar character to GW7
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Strong in parts
With wider landscape: Partial
With adjacent LCP: Partial
Comments: Adjacent LCP relatively well-screened by roadside vegetation

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☒
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should be confined to an area in the north eastern corner of the LCP and provide for a new strong settlement edge.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: HN1  Settlement: Huntington

Summary description: Large parcel of land with some sub-division by hedgerows but predominantly covered by horticulture. Within the AONB.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: AONB. PRoW runs diagonally across LCP.

Landscape character

A well wooded landscape with an upstanding, in places steep sided, undulating topography. This landscape type is restricted to areas where Triassic sandstones are not obscured by drift and where impoverished sandy soils predominate. Patches of heathland vegetation still remain in places on this ground type, but more often they have been planted with coniferous forests. Much of the former heathland in this landscape has been converted to intensive arable cultivation, or stock rearing. Fields are large and enclosed by hedgerows with significant clusters of ancient woodlands and more recent conifer plantations. The settlement pattern is generally dispersed, with estate farms and scattered roadside dwellings.

Key characteristics

- Upstanding/undulating topography, with occasional steep sided valleys
- Discrete, large blocks of both ancient and secondary woodland
- Patches of bracken and gorse
- Intensive arable and pastoral farming
- A pattern of varied sized fields
- Scattered hedgerow trees, mainly oak
- Occasional narrow lanes and trackways
- Farms of traditional red brick and clay tiles

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Horsiculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Small-medium

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ✖  AONB ✖  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBI ☐  BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain ☐
**Characteristics**

**Landform:** Steeply rising  
**Landcover:** Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☑</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☑</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☑</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☑</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

| Extent     | Dense ☐    | Scattered ☑ | Insignificant ☑ | None ☐           |
| Age        | Mixed ☐    | Overmature ☑| Immature ☐     |                  |

**Watercourse trees**

| Extent     | Dense ☐    | Scattered ☑ | Insignificant ☑ | None ☐           |
| Age        | Mixed ☐    | Overmature ☑| Immature ☐     |                  |

**Field trees**

| Extent     | Prominent ☑| Apparent ☑ | Insignificant ☑ | None ☐           |
| Age        | Mixed ☐    | Overmature ☑| Immature ☐     |                  |

**Patch survival**

| Extent     | Widespread ☑| Localised ☑ | Relic ☑         |                  |
| Management | Intense ☑   | Traditional ☑| Neglected ☑    |                  |

**Ecological corridors**

| Condition  | Intact ☑    | Declining ☐ | Fragmented ☑   |                  |

**Intensity of use**

| Impact     | High ☑     | Moderate ☐  | Low ☐          |                  |

**Water**

| Presence of water: | Pond ☑ | Lake ☐ | Brook ☐ | River ☐ |

**Comments:** AONB woodlands

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☑ N ☐</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☑ N ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>Woodland on higher land</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>Horsiculture; A34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☑</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
Skyline
Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Rising land

Tranquility
Noise sources: A34
Views of settlement: Prominent
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low ☑
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship
With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship
With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs
Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge
Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented ☑
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** HN2  
**Settlement:** Huntington

**Summary description:** Small, discreet parcel of land to the rear of established residential area. And abutting wooded AONB boundary. Land rises gradually to AONB. Part of a larger field but considered in isolations as this LCP is the only part of that field located outside the AONB.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** Within the setting of the AONB, but discreet due to adjacent woodland and landform.

**Landscape character**

A well wooded landscape with an upstanding, in places steep sided, undulating topography. This landscape type is restricted to areas where Triassic sandstones are not obscured by drift and where impoverished sandy soils predominate. Patches of heathland vegetation still remain in places on this ground type, but more often they have been planted with coniferous forests. Much of the former heathland in this landscape has been converted to intensive arable cultivation, or stock rearing. Fields are large and enclosed by hedgerows with significant clusters of ancient woodlands and more recent conifer plantations. The settlement pattern is generally dispersed, with estate farms and scattered roadside dwellings.

**Key characteristics**
- Upstanding/undulating topography, with occasional steep sided valleys
- Discrete, large blocks of both ancient and secondary woodland
- Patches of bracken and gorse
- Intensive arable and pastoral farming
- A pattern of varied sized fields
- Scattered hedgerow trees, mainly oak
- Occasional narrow lanes and trackways
- Farms of traditional red brick and clay tiles

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Small but part of a larger field

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB ☑  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐  
- SSSI ☑  
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
- LNRs ☐  
- SBI ☐  
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐  
- SAMs ☐  
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
**Floodplain □**

### Characteristics

- **Landform:** Undulating  
- **Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed ⬜</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good ⬜</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown ⬜</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered ⬜</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature ⬜</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic ⬜</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional ⬜</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate ⬜</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**

- **To settlement:** Y ⬜ N □  
  - **From settlement:** Y ⬜ N □  
- **Landmarks:** AONB Woodlands  
  - **Detractors:** None

### Intervisibility

- **Site observation:** High □ Med. □ Low ⬜  
  - ...to key features □  
  - ...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Woodland on higher land within AONB

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: None apparent
Views of settlement: Yes
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development must respect the site’s location directly within the setting of the AONB.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:**  HN3  

**Settlement:**  Huntington

**Summary description:** Part of an undulating landscape within the AONB. Large fields delineated by strong hedgerows and hedgerow tree.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** AONB. High quality landscape.

**Landscape character**

A well wooded landscape with an upstanding, in places steep sided, undulating topography. This landscape type is restricted to areas where Triassic sandstones are not obscured by drift and where impoverished sandy soils predominate. Patches of heathland vegetation still remain in places on this ground type, but more often they have been planted with coniferous forests. Much of the former heathland in this landscape has been converted to intensive arable cultivation, or stock rearing. Fields are large and enclosed by hedgerows with significant clusters of ancient woodlands and more recent conifer plantations. The settlement pattern is generally dispersed, with estate farms and scattered roadside dwellings.

**Key characteristics**

- Upstanding/undulating topography, with occasional steep sided valleys
- Discrete, large blocks of both ancient and secondary woodland
- Patches of bracken and gorse
- Intensive arable and pastoral farming
- A pattern of varied sized fields
- Scattered hedgerow trees, mainly oak
- Occasional narrow lanes and trackways
- Farms of traditional red brick and clay tiles

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒  
- AONB ☒  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐  
- SSSI ☐  
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐  
- LNRs ☐  
- SBI ☐  
- BAS ☐

**Historic**

- Cons. Area ☐  
- SAMs ☐  
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**

- Floodplain ☐
Characteristics

Landform: Undulating
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Field boundaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Hedgerows ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hedgebanks ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet ditches ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estate fencing ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

| Extent     | Dense ☐         |
| Age        | Mixed ☐        |
|            | Scattered ☐    |
|            | Insignificant ☐|
|            | None ☐         |

Watercourse trees

| Extent     | Dense ☐         |
| Age        | Mixed ☐        |
|            | Scattered ☐    |
|            | Insignificant ☐|
|            | None ☐         |

Field trees

| Extent     | Prominent ☐    |
| Age        | Mixed ☐        |
|            | Apparent ☐    |
|            | Insignificant ☐|
|            | None ☐        |

Patch survival

| Extent     | Widespread ☐ |
| Management | Intense ☐   |
|            | Localised ☐ |
|            | Relic ☐     |
|            | Traditional ☐|
|            | Neglected ☐ |

Ecological corridors

| Condition | Intact ☐ | Declining ☐ | Fragmented ☐ |

Intensity of use

| Impact   | High ☐ | Moderate ☐ | Low ☐ |

Water

| Presence of water: | Pond ☐ | Lake ☐ | Brook ☐ | River ☐ |

Comments:

Key views

To settlement: Y ☒ N ☐
From settlement: Y ☐ N ☒
Landmarks: Woodland edge
Detractors: None

Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☒
...to key features ☐
...from key place ☐
Comments:
**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. □ Low □ N/A □  Complexity: Simple

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Limepit Lane

Views of settlement:

Presence of people: Frequent □  Infrequent □

Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:

With wider landscape:

With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:

With wider landscape:

With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □  Functional □

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20\(^{th}\)C. □  20\(^{th}\)-21\(^{st}\)C. ☒

Nature of edge: Positive ☒  Negative □  Neutral □

Form of edge: Smooth ☒  Linear □  Indented □

Comments: Edges generally well vegetated

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways □</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☒ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: HN4  Settlement: Huntington

Summary description: Medium sized field that is part of wider undulating landscape. Partially screened but still visible on approach to settlement from east.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

Evaluation justification: Outside the AONB but within its immediate setting. The LCP is visible from the south east when looking across part of the AONB. The settlement is not prominent in these views but is still visible.

Landscape character

A well wooded landscape with an upstanding, in places steep sided, undulating topography. This landscape type is restricted to areas where Triassic sandstones are not obscured by drift and where impoverished sandy soils predominate. Patches of heathland vegetation still remain in places on this ground type, but more often they have been planted with coniferous forests. Much of the former heathland in this landscape has been converted to intensive arable cultivation, or stock rearing. Fields are large and enclosed by hedgerows with significant clusters of ancient woodlands and more recent conifer plantations. The settlement pattern is generally dispersed, with estate farms and scattered roadside dwellings.

Key characteristics

- Upstanding/undulating topography, with occasional steep sided valleys
- Discrete, large blocks of both ancient and secondary woodland
- Patches of bracken and gorse
- Intensive arable and pastoral farming
- A pattern of varied sized fields
- Scattered hedgerow trees, mainly oak
- Occasional narrow lanes and trackways
- Farms of traditional red brick and clay tiles

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Medium

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑️  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Undulating
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

| Extent   | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age      | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □      |        |

**Watercourse trees**

| Extent   | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age      | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □      |        |

**Field trees**

| Extent   | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age      | Mixed □     | Overmature □ | Immature □      |        |

**Patch survival**

| Extent   | Widespread □ | Localised □ | Relic □ |
| Management | Intense □   | Traditional □ | Neglected □ |

**Ecological corridors**

Condition      Intact □     Declining □     Fragmented □

**Intensity of use**

Impact           High □     Moderate □     Low □

**Water**

Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □ From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: None Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments: Intervisible with part of AONB and wider landscape

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐ N/A ☐  Complexity: Simple
Comments: Higher part of LCP more sensitive to skyline change

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor estate roads immediately to west
Views of settlement: Partial
Presence of people:  Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☒
Summary:  High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☒
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge:  Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge:  Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development would need to be concentrated on the lower lying southern part of the LCP and provide for a new strongly vegetated eastern boundary.
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** HN5  
**Settlement:** Huntington

**Summary description:** Small rectangular area of scrub and emerging woodland. Outside of but within the immediate setting of the AONB.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** Vegetation is important in buffering the AONB against the urban area. Potential for biodiversity interest. Immediately within the setting of the AONB.

**Landscape character**

A well wooded landscape with an upstanding, in places steep sided, undulating topography. This landscape type is restricted to areas where Triassic sandstones are not obscured by drift and where impoverished sandy soils predominate. Patches of heathland vegetation still remain in places on this ground type, but more often they have been planted with coniferous forests. Much of the former heathland in this landscape has been converted to intensive arable cultivation, or stock rearing. Fields are large and enclosed by hedgerows with significant clusters of ancient woodlands and more recent conifer plantations. The settlement pattern is generally dispersed, with estate farms and scattered roadside dwellings.

**Key characteristics**

- Upstanding/undulating topography, with occasional steep sided valleys
- Discrete, large blocks of both ancient and secondary woodland
- Patches of bracken and gorse
- Intensive arable and pastoral farming
- A pattern of varied sized fields
- Scattered hedgerow trees, mainly oak
- Occasional narrow lanes and trackways
- Farms of traditional red brick and clay tiles

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Scrub/woodland
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ✓
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**

- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

**Historic**

- Cons. Area □
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**

- Floodplain □
**Characteristics**

- **Landform:** Generally flat
- **Landcover:** Scrub/woodland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected △</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact △</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

- Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

**Comments:**

**Key views**

- To settlement: Y □ N △
- From settlement: Y □ N △
- Landmarks: None
- Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

- Site observation: High △ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features △ ...from key place △
- Comments: From/to AONB; from/to Littleton Leisure Park (former pit mound)
**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ complexity: Simple

Comments: Land fall to settlement from LCP

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Limepit Lane

Views of settlement: Partial

Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent □

Summary: High □ Med. ☒ Low □

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:

With wider landscape:

With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:

With wider landscape:

With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☒

Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative ☒ Neutral □

Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear □ Indented □

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☒ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
### Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** HN6  
**Settlement:** Huntington

**Summary description:** Small parcel that rises away from the settlement edge. Hedgerows, some gappy, form the LCP boundaries and a small pocket of emerging woodland is located in the north western corner. This provides some localised screening of a small part of the settlement edge in views on the approach from the east.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High-Medium

**Evaluation justification:** For a long time, the settlement pattern has been of almost linear form along, mainly, the eastern side of the A34. However, recent development on the former Littleton Colliery site has changed this, broadening out the urban residential area to the west. The majority of the urban area sits within a valley and does not generally extend out onto the valley sides. Where this has taken place, this has been on shallower slopes. A small development could be accommodated without significant detriment but must be confined to the western half of the LCP in order to assimilate with the settlement pattern and protect key views from the east. The site is within the immediate setting of the AONB.

**Landscape character**

A well wooded landscape with an upstanding, in places steep sided, undulating topography. This landscape type is restricted to areas where Triassic sandstones are not obscured by drift and where impoverished sandy soils predominate. Patches of heathland vegetation still remain in places on this ground type, but more often they have been planted with coniferous forests. Much of the former heathland in this landscape has been converted to intensive arable cultivation, or stock rearing. Fields are large and enclosed by hedgerows with significant clusters of ancient woodlands and more recent conifer plantations. The settlement pattern is generally dispersed, with estate farms and scattered roadside dwellings.

**Key characteristics**

- Upstanding/undulating topography, with occasional steep sided valleys
- Discrete, large blocks of both ancient and secondary woodland
- Patches of bracken and gorse
- Intensive arable and pastoral farming
- A pattern of varied sized fields
- Scattered hedgerow trees, mainly oak
- Occasional narrow lanes and trackways
- Farms of traditional red brick and clay tiles

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☑
- AONB ☑
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐
### Biodiversity
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

### Historic
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

### Other
- Floodplain ☐

### Characteristics
- Landform: Slope
- Landcover: Farmland/woodland pocket

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☐</td>
<td>Poor ☒</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☐</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☐</th>
<th>Declining ☒</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☐</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☐</th>
<th>River ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

### Key views
To settlement: Y ☑ N □ From settlement: Y □ N ☑

Landmarks: Former pit mound Detractors: Urban edge

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☑ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place □
Comments: To/from former pit mound

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Complex
Comments: Variation in skyline formed by woodlands and open areas on the western valley side

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Limpit Lane; minor estate roads
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative ☑ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents 📦</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: A new strong settlement edge would be to be formed through appropriate planting
Site: HN7  
Settlement: Huntington

**Summary description:** Small parcel that rises away from the south east. Hedgerows, some gappy, form the LCP boundaries along with a narrow tree belt along the northern LCP boundary against the southern edge of the adjacent sports ground. The LCP is part of a larger field, the remainder of which is within the AONB.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** For a long time, the settlement pattern has been of almost linear form along, mainly, the eastern side of the A34. However, recent development on the former Littleton Colliery site has changed this, broadening out the urban residential area to the west. The majority of the urban area sits within a valley and does not generally extend out onto the valley sides. However, immediately adjacent to the south is part of the settlement that has infilled an open area up to the existing woodland that borders its eastern and southern edges. Consequently, this area is visually and physically well-contained. A development that followed the existing urban edge to the south could be accommodated without significant detriment but the LCP’s location within the immediate setting of the AONB must be taken into account.

**Landscape character**

A well wooded landscape with an upstanding, in places steep sided, undulating topography. This landscape type is restricted to areas where Triassic sandstones are not obscured by drift and where impoverished sandy soils predominate. Patches of heathland vegetation still remain in places on this ground type, but more often they have been planted with coniferous forests. Much of the former heathland in this landscape has been converted to intensive arable cultivation, or stock rearing. Fields are large and enclosed by hedgerows with significant clusters of ancient woodlands and more recent conifer plantations. The settlement pattern is generally dispersed, with estate farms and scattered roadside dwellings.

**Key characteristics**

- Upstanding/undulating topography, with occasional steep sided valleys
- Discrete, large blocks of both ancient and secondary woodland
- Patches of bracken and gorse
- Intensive arable and pastoral farming
- A pattern of varied sized fields
- Scattered hedgerow trees, mainly oak
- Occasional narrow lanes and trackways
- Farms of traditional red brick and clay tiles

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Small

**Designations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Belt □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AONB □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity Greenspace □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancient Woodland □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Biodiversity

| SAC | SSSI | Local Wildlife Sites | LNRs | SBIs | BAS |

## Historic

| Cons. Area | SAMs | Historic Parks/Gardens |

## Other

| Floodplain |

## Characteristics

- **Landform:** Slope
- **Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

| Condition | Intact | Declining | Fragmented |

### Intensity of use

| Impact | High | Moderate | Low |

### Water

| Presence of water: | Pond | Lake | Brook | River |

### Comments:

#### Key views
To settlement: Y ☒ N ☐ From settlement: Y ☐ N ☐
Landmarks: Former pit mound Detractors: Urban edge

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☒ ...from key place ☐
Comments: to/from former pit mound

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Complex
Comments: Variation in skyline formed by woodlands and open areas on the western valley side

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor estate roads
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☒ Negative ☒ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☒
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents 🍃</td>
<td>High □ Med. 🍃 Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development must provide for a new strong settlement edge through appropriate planting.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** HN8  
**Settlement:** Huntington

**Summary description:** Patchwork of small and medium sized fields of varying shape. Strong hedgerows create a compartmentalised area and the LCP represents the survival of what would have been a more widespread lowland cultural landscape. This is the only area around the settlement where the landscape has retained a strong structure. Hedgerows are strong and there is a well treed vegetation belt around the western and part of the northern LCP boundaries.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High-medium

**Evaluation justification:** Survival of field pattern creates a strong landscape structure. The LCP is visually discreet in views from the north. Within the setting of the former pit mound (now a major public open space). A small development could be accommodated within the existing landscape structure within the south eastern part of the LCP without significant detriment.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture  
**Field pattern:** Sub-regular  
**Field size:** Small-medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landform: Gently rolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landcover: Farmland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field boundaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hedgerow trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watercourse trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patch survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecological corridors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity of use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presence of water:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key views</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To settlement:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From settlement:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Landmarks:** Former pit mound

**Detractors:** Industrial area to south

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □</th>
<th>...to key features □</th>
<th>...from key place □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Complexity:**

**Comments:**

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>A34; adjacent industry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Views of settlement:</th>
<th>Partial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of people:</th>
<th>Frequent □ Infrequent □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Summary:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Extent of functional relationship

**With settlement:**

**With wider landscape:**

**With adjacent LCP:**

**Comments:**

### Extent of visual relationship

**With settlement:**

**With wider landscape:**

**With adjacent LCP:**

**Comments:**

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual □</th>
<th>Functional □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. △</th>
<th>20th-21stC. △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of edge:</th>
<th>Positive □</th>
<th>Negative △</th>
<th>Neutral □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form of edge:</th>
<th>Smooth □</th>
<th>Linear □</th>
<th>Indented △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development must respect existing field boundaries/landscape elements
Summary description: The site has a pronounced, undulating landform. Land rises to the west and south west. Heathland influences are still apparent in field boundaries. The southern and western edges of the LCP abut the settlement edge. The LCP is open to view from Hyde Lane (that forms its eastern boundary) and the settlement edge is visible but not significant until approaching the point where the LCP and settlement edges meet. The LCP is bisected by gappy hedgerows with hedgerow trees that break it down into smaller compartments. Roadside hedgerows have virtually gone but significant trees remain.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High/medium

Evaluation justification:

The LCP is very distinctive due to its landform and contributes significantly to the setting of the settlement. It has a strong rural appearance and is clearly part of the wider farmed landscape. The majority of the LCP does not have the ability to visually contain development. Development would be very prominent, appearing out of place. The (weak) settlement edge has visual influence on the southernmost compartment of the LCP and this area is therefore less sensitive.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

Key characteristics

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agricultural
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Medium

Designations
**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

**Biodiversity**
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBI
- BAS

**Historic**
- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

**Other**
- Floodplain

**Characteristics**
- Landform: undulating
- Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks: None</td>
<td>Y ☐ N ☒</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility

| Site observation: | High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ | ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☒ |
| Comments:         | Intervisible with adjacent LCP. |

### Skyline

| Prominence/importance: | High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ | Complexity: Simple |
| Comments:              | Landform and simplicity results in a skyline sensitive to change |

### Tranquility

| Noise sources:        | Hyde Lane, although minimal |
| Views of settlement:  | Partial and only apparent on approach to settlement (within c.100m) |
| Presence of people:   | Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☒ |
| Summary:              | High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐ |
| Comments:             | Presence of adjacent road - key route into/out of settlement from/to A458. Settlement becomes more visually significant as it is approached. |

### Extent of functional relationship

| With settlement:      | Significant |
| With wider landscape: | Some        |
| With adjacent LCP:    | Significant |
| Comments:             | Site provides strong, definitive rural appearance beyond settlement edge |

### Extent of visual relationship

| With settlement:      | Partial; minor |
| With wider landscape: | Some           |
| With adjacent LCP:    | Significant    |
| Comments:             | The site’s visual linkage to the settlement is most apparent along its southern and south western edges. This is predominantly a transient experience in views from Hyde Lane. However, the PROW within the adjacent LCP immediately to the east leads towards the site. |

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

| Visual ☒              | Functional ☐ |
| Comments:             |              |

### Settlement edge

| Pre 20th C. ☐ 20th-21st C. ☒ |
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear ☑ Indented ☑

Comments: Mix of dwelling ages and types. Mix of linear and indented but linear dominant. Mostly latter 20thC.

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: direct views from PRoW on adjacent LCP to east

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Landform restricts mitigation potential within much of the LCP. Development could be accommodated on the southernmost LCP compartment but significant tree belts would need to be established to create a new strong, defensible settlement edge.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site:</th>
<th>KV10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Settlement:</td>
<td>Kinver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary description:** Small, discreet LCP that is clearly the garden area to the Edge View Home, having a domestic character. It is well-contained by landform and vegetation along its north western edge. There are no views into/out of the LCP from public viewpoints.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** Development would sit comfortably within the site although it would be in the immediate setting of Edge View Home.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Garden
- Field pattern: N/A
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
<th>Green Belt ☑️</th>
<th>AONB ☐</th>
<th>Amenity Greenspace ☐</th>
<th>Ancient Woodland ☐</th>
<th>TPO ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>SAC ☐</td>
<td>SSSI ☑</td>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites ☑</td>
<td>LNRs ☐</td>
<td>SBIs ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>Cons. Area ☑️</td>
<td>SAMs ☐</td>
<td>Historic Parks/Gardens ☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other
Floodplain □

Characteristics

Landform: Very gently sloping
Landcover: Garden land

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

| Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

Intensity of use

| Impact     | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

Water

| Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

Comments:

Key views

| To settlement: | Y □ N □ | From settlement: | Y □ N □ |

Landmarks: None
Detractors: None

Intervisibility
Site observation:  
High □ Med. □ Low ☑ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

Skyline
Prominence/importance:  High □ Med. □ Low ☑ N/A ☑ Complexity: Simple
Comments:

Tranquility
Noise sources: Adjacent road but very lightly trafficked
Views of settlement: Immediate settlement edge and wider settlement from higher part of LCP
Presence of people:  Frequent ☑ Infrequent □
Summary:  High □ Med. □ Low ☑
Comments: Constant access to/from Edge View Home

Extent of functional relationship
With settlement: Some
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments: Area between built form of the settlement and Edge View Home

Extent of visual relationship
With settlement: None
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs
Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge
Pre 20thC. ☑ 20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge:  Positive ☑ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge:  Smooth ☑ Linear □ Indented ✗
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roads/rail/cycleways ▪ High □ Med. □ Low ▪
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments: Small number of dwellings would be affected by change

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** KV11  
**Settlement:** Kinver

**Summary description:** The LCP comprises a number of regular shaped fields subdivided by hedgerows containing prominent hedgerow trees. The land is gently undulating with an overall fall from west to east towards the settlement edge. The eastern edge of the LCP is provided by the rear gardens of residential dwellings in Windsor Crescent with the northern boundary being White Hill. A significant area of woodland known as Redcliff Covert is located immediately beyond the southern LCP boundary and wraps around to the west. The remainder of the western boundary is formed by the end of a ribbon development along White Hill. The Staffordshire Way crosses east-west the southernmost field. A small complex of pre-20th century buildings is located in the north eastern corner of the LCP.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is subject to significant urban influences and is completely contained by built form on the northern and eastern boundaries with further built form along part of the western boundary. The LCP has visual appeal and there are views to the south to a wooded backdrop. The hedgerow between the two fields in the northern part of the LCP is a significant feature as are its hedgerow trees.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- **Land use:** Agricultural
- **Field pattern:** Regular
- **Field size:** Small

**Designations**
**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBI ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☐

**Characteristics**

Landform: rolling
Landcover: Farmland (pasture)

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☒</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☒</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☐</th>
<th>Declining ☒</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

| Presence of water: | Pond ☐ | Lake ☐ | Brook ☐ | River ☒ |

**Comments:**
Key views

To settlement: Y △ N □ From settlement: Y △ N □
Landmarks: △ Detractors: Overhead lines but minor

Intervisibility

Site observation: High △ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place △
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. △ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Complex
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Adjacent Road although not a main route
Views of settlement: Constant in the majority of views
Presence of people: Frequent △ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. △ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}C. △ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}C. △
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral △
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear △ Indented □
Comments:
## Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development would need to be located in the western part of the site to protect skyline and to follow the topography of the LCP. The PRoW (Staffordshire Way) would need sufficient stand-off from development and could form the basis for on-site open space provision. This would also create a buffer area between any development and Redcliff Covert.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** KV12  
**Settlement:** Kinver

**Summary description:** Large arable field adjoining a smaller grazed field to the east. The land falls to the east towards the settlement. The two fields are separated by a hedgerow also containing hedgerow trees. The northern LCP boundary is formed by the western extent of White Hill and is overlooked by the ribbon of dwellings on the road’s northern side. The southern boundary is formed by a linear belt of vegetation and this, along with the LCP edge are aligned to the route of the Staffordshire Way. Immediately beyond the westernmost corner of the LCP is a small woodland adjacent to a small complex of converted barns. There are views to the wooded areas of Kinver Edge to the south. The smaller, eastern LCP compartment is located behind the dwellings along the southern side of White Hill.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The value of the LCP is that it reads as part of the wider landscape despite the urban influences to the north and east.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Large/small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐
**Biodiversity**
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

**Historic**
- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

**Other**
- Floodplain

**Characteristics**
- Landform: Gently sloping
- Landcover: Farmland (arable and grazing)

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☑</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☑</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☑</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☑</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☑</th>
<th>Insignificant ☑</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature ☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☑</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☑</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature ☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☐</th>
<th>Localised ☑</th>
<th>Relic ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☑</td>
<td>Traditional ☑</td>
<td>Neglected ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☑</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☑</th>
<th>Moderate ☑</th>
<th>Low ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☐</th>
<th>River ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**
To settlement: Y ☐ N □ From settlement: Y ☐ N □
Landmarks: None Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☐ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place □
Comments: Open views to Kinver Edge woodlands

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Complex
Comments: Tree belt along part of southern boundary; Hedgerow trees; distant woodland

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: White Hill traffic but not a main route
Views of settlement: Ribbon development adjacent and glimpsed settlement edge to east
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. ☐ Low □
Comments: White Hill is relatively quiet and leads to a narrow lane to the west

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Some
With wider landscape: Significant
With adjacent LCP: Some
Comments: LCP important in the transition from urban to rural

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Some
With wider landscape: Significant
With adjacent LCP: Some
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☐
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear ☐ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: KV13  Settlement: Kinver

Summary description: Regular shaped LCP with topographical variation located to the north west of the settlement. The fields that comprise the LCP are separated by a hedgerow including hedgerow trees. The western part of the LCP is of more rolling character, with a flatter topography to the east. Heathland influences are apparent in the woodland to the north and west of the LCP. These woodlands serve to constrain views across the wider landscape and the LCP looks inwards towards the settlement. However, from the eastern part of the LCP, there are views over the woodland to an area of higher land to the west but this does not alter the predominant feel of the LCP. The majority of the southern LCP boundary is formed by a ribbon of development in White Hill with the eastern edge formed by the north western settlement boundary. Views across the LCP towards the east are limited by the hedgerow and hedgerow trees that separate the two fields.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low/medium

Evaluation justification: There are open views across the western two thirds of the LCP behind the ribbon of residential development in White Hill. There are urban influences but these are not strong. Consequently, this part of the LCP is of medium sensitivity. The eastern part of the LCP is less sensitive, being subject to stronger urban influences. This area can only be glimpsed from public viewpoints and is well contained by woodland and hedgerow vegetation. Its flatter topography is more conducive to development.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

Key characteristics

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Medium
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape/planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Belt ☑️ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cons. Area ☐ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landform: Rolling with fall with central shallow depression. Flatter in the eastern part of the LCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landcover: Farmland (Arable)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field boundaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedgerows ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedgebanks ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet ditches ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estate fencing ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorn ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hedgerow trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattered ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watercourse trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattered ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prominent ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patch survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widespread ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localised ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intense ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecological corridors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intact ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declining ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmented ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity of use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Presence of water:  Pond □  Lake □  Brook □  River □

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement:  Y ☑  N □  From settlement:  Y □  N ☑
Landmarks: None  Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  High □  Med. ☑  Low □  ...to key features □  ...from key place □
Comments: Visually discreet LCP in part. Views from public places limited to those form White Hill through field access in western corner of LCP and from field access further to east along White Hill

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □  Med. ☑  Low □  N/A □  Complexity: Simple
Comments: Mainly woodland with occasional glimpses of higher land to west

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: White Hill traffic but not a main route
Views of settlement: Ribbon development adjacent
Presence of people:  Frequent ☑  Infrequent □
Summary:  High □  Med. ☑  Low □
Comments: Presence of ribbon development and north western settlement edge

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Some
With wider landscape: Some
With adjacent LCP: Some
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Significant within eastern part of LCP. Some from western part of LCP
With wider landscape: Some
With adjacent LCP: Some
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☑  Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □  20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge:     Positive □      Negative □      Neutral ☑
Form of edge:          Smooth □      Linear ☑      Indented □

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: The eastern part of the LCP could accommodate development without significant detriment. The presence of the hedgerow that separates the eastern and western parts of the LCP could be strengthened to create a strong settlement edge.
Summary description: The LCP is formed by a narrow river valley with clear views from Hyde Lane that forms its western edge. Characteristic woodland vegetation is present within the LCP. Views beyond to the east are constrained by a steep valley side that creates a strong physical and visual feature. The south western edge of the LCP abuts the settlement edge although in views from the north, approaching the settlement along Hyde Lane, only one dwelling is visible. The LCP turns and continues to the east. The southernmost part of the LCP is obscured from public view by vegetation and the existing ribbon of residential development that extends to the north east along Hyde Lane and is a discreet, smaller area within the overall LCP. The watercourse is prominent. Heathland influences are still apparent in field boundaries. Roadside hedgerows have virtually gone but significant trees remain. Significant woodland areas are clearly visible to the north and north west beyond the LCP.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High/low

Evaluation justification: The LCP is very distinctive due to its landform and contributes significantly to the setting of the settlement. It has a strong rural appearance and is clearly part of the wider farmed landscape. Generally, the site does not have the ability to visually contain development from the west although it would from the east. Development would be very prominent, appearing out of place. The settlement edge has only a minor visual influence on all but the southernmost compartment of the site; the exception to this being the southernmost part of the LCP, where development would be discreet and of little detriment to the wider landscape. Consequently, this part of the LCP is of low sensitivity.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

Key characteristics
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathly remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agricultural
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Medium

**Designations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Belt □</td>
<td>AONB □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity Greenspace □</td>
<td>Ancient Woodland □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiversity</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAC □</td>
<td>SSSI □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites □</td>
<td>LNRs □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBIs □</td>
<td>BAS □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cons. Area □</td>
<td>SAMs □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Parks/Gardens □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Characteristics**

Landform: Narrow valley  
Landcover: Farmland; watercourse

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Water

Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook ☐ River □

Comments:

Key views

To settlement: Y ☐ N ☐ From settlement: Y ☐ N ☐

Landmarks: Church on distant higher land Detractors: Low-level overhead powerlines

Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☐ Med. ✗ Low ☐ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☐

Comments: Intervisible with adjacent LCP. Church visible.

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A □ Complexity: Simple

Comments: Distant woodland belts visible beyond eastern valley slope. Sensitive to change

Tranquility

Noise sources: Hyde Lane, although minimal
Views of settlement: Partial and only apparent on approach to settlement (within c.100m)
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐

Comments: Presence of adjacent road- key route into/out of settlement from/to A458. Settlement becomes more visually significant as it is approached.

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Significant
With wider landscape: Some
With adjacent LCP: Significant

Comments: Site provides strong, definitive rural appearance beyond settlement edge

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: partial; minor
With wider landscape: some
With adjacent LCP: significant

Comments: The site’s visual linkage to the settlement is most apparent along its southern edge. This is predominantly a transient experience in views from Hyde Lane. A PRoW runs along the southern edge of the site directly adjacent to the settlement edge.

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☒

Comments:
**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☒

Nature of edge:  Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☒
Form of edge:  Smooth □ Linear ☒ Indented ☒

Comments: Edge formed by end dwelling in a line of ribbon development

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: direct views from PRoW northwards along valley

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: The site is very constrained by landform and the presence of the watercourse so development potential limited. Consequently, this negates mitigation considerations
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** KV3  
**Settlement:** Kinver

**Summary description:** Well-contained, flat area of land bordered by Bridleway on its western edge and the River Stour on its eastern edge. The LCP is currently given over to horse pasture. It is separated from the settlement by areas of woodland. The south western part of the LCP is wooded.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is detached from the settlement and feels isolated. It retains a strong rural character. It would not be possible to create and visual or physical links with the settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- **Land use:** Agricultural
- **Field pattern:** Sub-regular
- **Field size:** Medium

**Designations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
<th>Green Belt</th>
<th>AONB</th>
<th>Amenity Greenspace</th>
<th>Ancient Woodland</th>
<th>TPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>SSSI</td>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites</td>
<td>LNRs</td>
<td>SBIs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Historic**
**Cons. Area** □   **SAMs** □   **Historic Parks/Gardens** □

### Other

Floodplain ▢

### Characteristics

#### Landform:
Flat

#### Landcover:
Farmland currently used for horse pasture

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hedgerows</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hedgebanks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet ditches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estate fencing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ancient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

| Extent | Age         |                      |           |          |          |
|--------|-------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| Dense  | Mixed       |                      |          |          |
| Scattered | Overmature |            |          |
|          | Immature    |                      |          |
| Insignificant | None |            |          |

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattered</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prominent</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Widespread</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relic</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declining</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmented</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td>▢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: River Stour forms eastern edge to LCP

### Key views

To settlement: Y □ N ▢
From settlement: Y □ N ▢
Landmarks: None
Detractors: Horsiculture
**Intervisibility**

Site observation: □ High □ Med. □ Low □ to key features □ □ from key place □

Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: □ High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □

Complexity: Simple

Comments: Woodland backdrop

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: None

Views of settlement: None

Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □

Summary: □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments: Only accessible from narrow track (Bridleway)

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:

With wider landscape:

With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: None

With wider landscape: Minor

With adjacent LCP: None

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20\(^{th}\)C. □ 20\(^{th}\)-21\(^{st}\)C. □

Nature of edge: □ Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □

Form of edge: □ Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □

Comments: No settlement edge

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>□ High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>□ High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** KV4  
**Settlement:** Kinver

**Summary description:** Area of farmland abutting the western edge of the Dunsley area of the settlement. Its southern edge is formed by Dunsley Road and it is open to view. The LCP is comprised of gently rolling landform, sitting at a slightly higher level than the adjacent built-up area. There are extensive views across the landscape to the south and south east. Roadside vegetation comprises mainly trees.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** The settlement edge is clearly visible from the LCP although a narrow tree belt screens approximately half of the settlement edge. The LCP is contained to the north by an area of woodland associated with a single large dwelling accessed from a track that forms the eastern edge of the LCP. The LCP shares a visual relationship with the settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agricultural  
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☐  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
SAC □ SSSI □ Local Wildlife Sites □ LNRs □ SBIs □ BAS □
Historic
Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □
Other
Floodplain □

Characteristics

Landform: Gently rolling with a very gentle rise to the east away from the settlement
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

| Extent     | Dense □  | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □  | Overmature □| Immature □      |        |

Watercourse trees

| Extent     | Dense □  | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □  | Overmature □| Immature □      |        |

Field trees

| Extent     | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □     | Overmature □| Immature □      |        |

Patch survival

| Extent    | Widespread □ | Localised □ | Relic □ |
| Management| Intense □    | Traditional □| Neglected □|

Ecological corridors

| Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

Intensity of use

| Impact   | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

Water

Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □
Comments: Pond located towards north western corner of LCP with associated vegetation

Key views

To settlement: Y □ N □ From settlement: Y □ N □
### Landmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detractors:</th>
<th>Intervisibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site observation:</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐...to key features□ ...from key place□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Intervisible with settlement edge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Skyline |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Prominence/importance: | High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐ N/A ☐ |
| Complexity: | Simple |
| Comments: | Woodland backdrop to north; settlement edge to west |

### Tranquility

| Noise sources: | Busy dunsley Road |
| Views of settlement: | Settlement edge |
| Presence of people: | Frequent ☒ Infrequent □ |
| Summary: | High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☒ |
| Comments: | Only accessible from narrow track (Bridleway) |

### Extent of functional relationship

| With settlement: | LCP immediately beyond current defined settlement edge |
| With wider landscape: | None |
| With adjacent LCP: | No adjacent LCP |
| Comments: | |

### Extent of visual relationship

| With settlement: | None |
| With wider landscape: | Minor |
| With adjacent LCP: | None |
| Comments: | |

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

| Visual ☐ | Functional ☒ |
| Comments: | |

### Settlement edge

| Pre 20thC. ☐ | 20th-21stC. ☒ |
| Nature of edge: | Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒ |
| Form of edge: | Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐ |
| Comments: | |

### Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Canal nearby (to north) but separated by woodland. Open but transient views from Dunsley Road

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should provide for a new strong, defensible settlement edge through the establishment of a woodland belt along the eastern edge of the LCP.
Summary description: Area of farmland abutting the western edge of the Dunsley area of the settlement. The land rises to the east before falling. The ridgeline associated with this topography is located towards the eastern part of the LCP. Part of the LCP’s southern edge is formed by the coniferous vegetation around the edge of an adjacent vineyard. There are no views beyond the ridge and the western part of the LCP is well-contained by the existing settlement edges on its north and western sides.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low/high

Evaluation justification: The settlement edge is clearly visible from the western part of the LCP. This part of the LCP therefore shares a strong visual relationship with the settlement and is assessed as having low sensitivity. It has a good level of visual containment. Development beyond the ridge would be prominent in wider views and would relate poorly to the settlement visually. This part of the LCP is therefore assessed as High.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

Key characteristics

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agricultural (part horticulture)
Field pattern: regular
Field size: Large (note that the LCP is part of a larger field that has resulted from consolidation with adjacent fields. The LCP size is medium)

Designations

Landscape/planning
Landform: Part of a rolling landscape. The ridge is a prominent feature
Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

| Extent     | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □ | Overmature □| Immature □      |       |

### Watercourse trees

| Extent     | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □ | Overmature □| Immature □      |       |

### Field trees

| Extent     | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □     | Overmature □| Immature □      |       |

### Patch survival

| Extent     | Widespread □ | Localised □ | Relic □ |
| Management| Intense □    | Traditional □| Neglected □|

### Ecological corridors

| Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

### Intensity of use

| Impact    | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

### Water

| Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

Comments:
### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☒</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>▒</td>
<td>Detractors: Horse fencing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☐</th>
<th>...from key place ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Intervisible with settlement edge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>N/A ☐</th>
<th>Complexity: Simple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Ridgeline forms skyline in views from west</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>Some traffic noise from Dunsley Road but low-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td>Settlement edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Extent of functional relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>LCP immediately beyond current defined settlement edge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>Only in relation to the eastern part of the LCP, where views open up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>No adjacent LCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Extent of visual relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☐</th>
<th>Functional ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. ☐</th>
<th>20th-21stC. ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
<td>Positive ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Canal nearby (to north) but separated by woodland; Dunsley Drive (cul-de-sac)

### Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Any development should not extend east beyond the ridge. Any development should provide for a new strong, defensible settlement edge through the establishment of a woodland belt along the eastern edge of the LCP.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** KV6  
**Settlement:** Kinver

**Summary description:** Steeply sloping field, rising from the south eastern end of Dark Lane that forms its north eastern edge. The roadside hedgerow, which clearly previously existed, has been removed leaving the site open to view from Dark Lane and the loose ribbon of residential dwellings that line its northern side. The LCP is contained visually and physically on its eastern edge by a large woodland area known as Penhole Coppice. This woodland and the ridge of the LCP prevent any views to the landscape beyond. Cookley Lane provides the western edge to the LCP. A PRoW with access to/from Dark Lane diagonally bisects the LCP and joins Cookley Lane to the west, running between this and an adjacent LCP (KV7). The north western corner of the LCP is abutted by the end of a line of dwellings located along the western part of Dark Lane.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP has a rural feel but is subject to urban influences. The landform constrains views and the land looks towards the settlement rather than out to the wider landscape. However, there is no strong settlement edge that abuts the LCP and the dwellings on the northern side of Dark Lane are arranged in a loose pattern.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agricultural  
**Field pattern:** Irregular  
**Field size:** Large (note that the LCP is part of a larger field that has resulted from consolidation with adjacent fields. The LCP size is medium)
### Designations

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☐
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☐

### Characteristics

**Landform:** Steep slope. The ridge is a prominent feature

**Landcover:** Farmland (arable)

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☐</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☐</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☐</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☐</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☐</th>
<th>Localised ☐</th>
<th>Relic ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☐</td>
<td>Traditional ☐</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☐</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☐</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**
### Presence of water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From settlement:</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Landmarks: None
Detractors: None

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Med.</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...to key features:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...from key place:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Intervisible with settlement and adjacent woodland. From PRoW, the adjacent LCP can be glimpsed through its boundary vegetation

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Med.</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complexity:</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Ridgeline forms skyline in views from north east

### Tranquility

- Noise sources: Light but infrequent traffic only
- Views of settlement: Immediate settlement edge and wider settlement from higher part of LCP
- Presence of people: Frequent
- Summary: High

Comments:

### Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None

Comments:

### Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Significant
With wider landscape: Significant
With adjacent LCP: Minor

Comments: The LCP is screened from the adjacent LCP (KV7) by strong hedgerow and hedgerow trees although glimpses are possible from the PRoW

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual | Functional

Comments:

### Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. | 20th-21stC.
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented ☐

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways □</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☐ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Transient views form the eastern part of Dark Lane. However, traffic is slow moving due to narrow road

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: KV7  Settlement: Kinver

**Summary description:** Small sloping field with topographical variation. The LCP sits considerably higher than Church Hill and Cookley Lane that form its western edge. This edge consists of a sandstone cliff in parts with steep, vegetated banks in others. The LCP is positioned well above the settlement and the ‘settlement in a bowl’ effect is clearly discernible. The LCP’s northern boundary is formed by the rear gardens of a line of dwellings in Dark Lane. An isolated dwelling is located immediately beyond the LCP’s south western corner.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The position of the LCP on higher land makes it very prominent. In addition, any development would sit uncomfortably with the pattern of the settlement. The westernmost part of the LCP is within the Kinver Conservation Area

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agricultural
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☑  AONB □  Amenity Greenspace □  Ancient Woodland □  TPO □

**Biodiversity**
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Sloping with localised undulations  
**Landcover:** Farmland

#### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tree Type</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field boundaries</td>
<td>Hedgerows</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hedgebanks</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet ditches</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estate fencing</td>
<td>Anciant</td>
<td>Anciant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattered</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattered</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prominent</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Widespread</td>
<td>Intense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relic</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Comments:

#### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y × N</td>
<td>Y × N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Historic**

- Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**

- Floodplain □
Landmarks: None

**Intervisibility**

- Site observation: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐...to key features ☐...from key place ☒
- Comments: Intervisible with settlement and wider landscape

**Skyline**

- Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐ N/A ☐
- Complexity: Simple
- Comments:

**Tranquility**

- Noise sources: Light traffic on Church Hill and Cookley Lane
- Views of settlement: Tops of dwellings immediately to the north in Dark Land and Wider settlement
- Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
- Summary: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐
- Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

- With settlement: 
- With wider landscape: None
- With adjacent LCP: None
- Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

- With settlement: Significant
- With wider landscape: Significant
- With adjacent LCP: None
- Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

- Visual ☐ Functional ☒
- Comments:

**Settlement edge**

- Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
- Nature of edge: Positive ☒ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
- Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☒
- Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
### Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** KV8  
**Settlement:** Kinver

**Summary description:** Area of land that rises sharply from Church Hill that forms its northern boundary. The LCP displays strong heathland influences commensurate with the area’s geology. Form the higher part of the site, there are extensive views over the settlement and beyond. The ‘settlement in a bowl’ effect is clearly discernible. A PRoW runs along the northern edge of the LCP.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The sloping topography of the land makes it very prominent. In addition, any development would sit uncomfortably with the pattern of the settlement. The westernmost part of the LCP is within the Kinver Conservation Area. The LCP is open to view from Church Hill.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agricultural?
- Field pattern: Irregular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt  
- AONB  
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

**Biodiversity**
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS
Historic
Cons. Area ☑ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☑

Other
Floodplain ☐

Characteristics

Landform: Steep slope rising to a ridge
Landcover: Appears to be unmanaged land

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☑</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☑</td>
<td>Elm ☒</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☑</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☑</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

| Extent     | Dense ☐ | Scattered ☐ | Insignificant ☐ | None ☒ |
| Age        | Mixed ☐ | Overmature ☐| Immature ☐      |       |

Watercourse trees

| Extent     | Dense ☐ | Scattered ☐ | Insignificant ☐ | None ☒ |
| Age        | Mixed ☐ | Overmature ☐| Immature ☐      |       |

Field trees

| Extent     | Prominent ☑ | Apparent ☐ | Insignificant ☐ | None ☐ |
| Age        | Mixed ☐     | Overmature ☐| Immature ☐      |       |

Patch survival

| Extent     | Widespread ☐ | Localised ☑ | Relic ☒ |
| Management | Intense ☐    | Traditional ☐| Neglected ☒ |

Ecological corridors

| Condition | Intact ☐ | Declining ☐ | Fragmented ☒ |

Intensity of use

| Impact | High ☐ | Moderate ☐ | Low ☒ |

Water

| Presence of water: | Pond ☐ | Lake ☐ | Brook ☐ | River ☐ |

Comments:

Key views

To settlement: Y ☑ N ☐ From settlement: Y ☑ N ☐
Landmarks: None Detractors: None
Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☑ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place ☑
Comments: Church Hill is a key access to, the church, Kinver Edge and to other nearby settlements. The LCP is therefore observed by those who use this access.

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources:
Views of settlement: Immediate settlement edge and wider settlement from higher part of LCP
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent □
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments: Traffic using Church Hill to access the Church and Kinver Edge is particularly apparent but not intense

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Significant
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments: Important open area in setting of Church

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Significant
With wider landscape: Some
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☑ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented ☑
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Med.</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Site:</strong></th>
<th>KV9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Settlement:</strong></td>
<td>Kinver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary description:** The LCP is located on the southern edge of the settlement, off The Compa/Church Hill, which forms its north eastern edge and where the built environment is of looser pattern and is beginning to give way to the rural landscape. It has a strong rural feel and is a transitional open area immediately beyond the settlement edge, which has a looser pattern, and the wooded areas of Kinver Edge. It has attractive topographical variation and this is enhanced by its wooded backdrop in views from The Compa/Church Hill.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The land rises from The Compa/Church Hill and any development would obscure the wooded backdrop. It’s value is associated with the fact that it has a strong rural feel and provides an important open area between the settlement and the wooded areas of Kinver Edge.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agricultural
- Field pattern: Sub-regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Belt ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Biodiversity
- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

### Historic
- Cons. Area □
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

### Other
- Floodplain □

### Characteristics

#### Landform:
- Farmland

#### Landcover:
- Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

#### Key views
To settlement: Y △ N □  From settlement: Y △ N □
Landmarks: None  Detractors: Overhead lines but minor

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High △ Med. □ Low □  ...to key features △  ...from key place △
Comments: Impressive views across LCP to wooded backdrop

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High △ Med. □ Low □ N/A □  Complexity: Simple
Comments: Mixed woodland

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Adjacent road but very lightly trafficked
Views of settlement: Immediate settlement edge and wider settlement from higher part of LCP
Presence of people: Frequent □  Infrequent △
Summary: High △ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Significant
With wider landscape: Some
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments: Important open area in setting of Kinver Edge

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Significant
With wider landscape: Some
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □  Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. △  20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge: Positive △  Negative □  Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □  Linear □  Indented △
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: PM1
Settlement: Pattingham

Summary description: Patchwork of small regular shaped fields delineated by hedgerows. A ribbon development along Westbeech Road forms the western boundary, with the southern boundary formed by the north eastern edge of the main body of the settlement.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: There is a good level of field pattern survival and landscape structure. The LCP is therefore an remnant example of an intact lowland cultural landscape. The land rises to the east and the northern part of the LCP is therefore prominent in views from further west within the settlement. The LCP reads as part of the wider countryside extending to the east and north east. A small part of the LCP (immediately beyond the north eastern edge of the settlement) is within the Conservation Area.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Small

Designations

Landscape/planning
### Biodiversity

- Green Belt □
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □
- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □
- Cons. Area ○
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

### Other

- Floodplain □

### Characteristics

- Landform: Rising
- Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ○</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

| Extent     | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □      |        |

### Watercourse trees

| Extent     | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □      |        |

### Field trees

| Extent     | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □     | Overmature □ | Immature □      |        |

### Patch survival

| Extent     | Widespread □ | Localised ○ | Relic □          |
| Management | Intense □    | Traditional □ | Neglected □     |

### Ecological corridors

- Condition: Intact ○, Declining □, Fragmented □

### Intensity of use

- Impact: High □, Moderate □, Low □

### Water

- Presence of water: Pond □, Lake □, Brook □, River □

Comments: Three ponds, one recently excavated.
### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From settlement:</td>
<td>Y □ N □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>St. Chad’s Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>Distant pylons/overhead lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...to key features □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...from key place □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complexity:</td>
<td>Simple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Rising land</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>Adjacent minor roads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td>Partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent □ Infrequent □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of functional relationship

| With settlement: | |
| With wider landscape: | |
| With adjacent LCP: | |
| Comments: | |

### Extent of visual relationship

| With settlement: | |
| With wider landscape: | |
| With adjacent LCP: | |
| Comments: | |

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual □</th>
<th>Functional □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. □</th>
<th>20th-21stC. □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
<td>Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ※</td>
<td>High ※ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ※</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ※</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** PM10  
**Settlement:** Pattingham

**Summary description:** parcel formed by a medium sized field detached from the main body of the settlement boundary but linked very tenuously at its south eastern corner. The land falls to the north and is part of wider rolling countryside.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** Weak links to settlement. Good long distance views over the LCP to the west. No significant urban influences.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- **Land use:** Agriculture
- **Field pattern:** Regular
- **Field size:** Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☑️
- AONB ☐️
- Amenity Greenspace ☑️
- Ancient Woodland ☑️
- TPO ☐️

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐️
- SSSI ☐️
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐️
- LNRs ☐️
- SBIs ☐️
- BAS ☐️
**Historic Cons. Area** □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**
Landform: Gently sloping
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☑</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☑</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised ☑</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☑</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☑</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☑</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement: Y ☑ N ☑ From settlement: Y ☑ N ☑

Landmarks: None Denractors: None
**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☐
Comments: 

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance:  High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☒ Complexity:
Comments: 

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Quiet lane; adjacent sewage treatment works – but not intensive
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people:  Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☒
Summary:  High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒
Comments: 

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: 
With wider landscape: 
With adjacent LCP: 
Comments: 

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: 
With wider landscape: 
With adjacent LCP: 
Comments: 

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments: 

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge:  Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge:  Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments: 

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Rights of Way □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Roads/rail/cycleways ☒ High □ Med. ☒ Low □
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** PM11  
**Settlement:** Pattingham

**Summary description:** The LCO is formed by a medium sized field that falls gradually to the west. Extensive views across the landscape to the north west but well screened when looking back from the north in more localised views.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** LCP relates reasonably well to the settlement. Urban influences present. Development would be logical in terms of the settlement pattern and particularly in conjunction with any development on adjacent LCP PM9. Hedged northern and western boundaries albeit weak. Immediately adjacent to the Conservation Area. PRoW coincides with eastern LCP boundary

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Sub-regular
- Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ✗
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC □</th>
<th>SSSI □</th>
<th>Local Wildlife Sites □</th>
<th>LNRs □</th>
<th>SBIs □</th>
<th>BAS □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Historic
| Cons. Area □ | SAMs □ | Historic Parks/Gardens □ |
| Other
| Floodplain □ |

**Characteristics**

- Landform: Gently falling
- Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorns □</td>
<td>Elms □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □</th>
<th>N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □</th>
<th>N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Landmarks: St. Chad’s Church
Detractors: Current horse grazing use

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ …to key features ☐ …from key place ☐
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☒ Complexity:
Comments:

** Tranquility**

Noise sources: Broadwell Lane – quite lane that leads to only one property
Views of settlement: Part of settlement edge and church
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☒ 20th-21stC. ☐
Nature of edge: Positive ☒ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☒
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

Receptors | Sensitivity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Med.</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development would need to respect the loose grain of this area of the settlement and the LCP’s location within the immediate setting of the Conservation Area. Increased vegetation required around LCP boundaries – gap-up hedgerows and add hedgerow trees.
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** PM12  
**Settlement:** Pattingham

**Summary description:** LCP formed by a medium sized field to the west of the settlement. This part of the village is part of the historic core. The LCP is part of a rolling landscape but has an undulating localised topography. The land rises to the settlement.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** Important to maintain openness to protect the setting of the settlement and its historic interest in particular. Views are open and due to the topography, any development would be very visually prominent and obtrusive. The LCP has a weak relationship with the settlement edge. The church spire is very prominent in views from the west and nothing should disrupt these. Within the immediate setting of the Conservation Area. PRoW coincides with the western LCP boundary.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underlining Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture  
**Field pattern:** Irregular  
**Field size:** Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
Green Belt □ AONB □ Amenity Greenspace □ Ancient Woodland □ TPO □

Biodiversity
SAC □ SSSI □ Local Wildlife Sites □ LNRs □ SBIs □ BAS □

Historic
Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

Other
Floodplain □

Characteristics

Landform: Locally undulating
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:
Key views

To settlement:   Y ☑ N ☐ From settlement:   Y ☐ N ☑
Landmarks:   St. Chad’s Church Detractors:   None

Intervisibility

Site observation:   High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place ☐
Comments: Intervisible with adjacent LCP PM13

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: simple
Comments: Undulating land and long distance views. Prominence of views to church

Tranquility

Noise sources: Patshull Road
Views of settlement: Minor
Presence of people:   Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☑
Summary:   High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Important to the village setting
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☑ Functional ☑
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge:   Positive ☑ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge:   Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☑
Comments:
## Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☉ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☉ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☉ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: PM13  
Settlement: Pattingham

**Summary description:** Large field with rolling topography. The LCP’s south eastern tip adjoins the village playing fields. A short length of ribbon development in Westbeech Road forms part of the eastern boundary. There are fine views across a landscape punctuated by hedgerows and woodlands of varying size.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is part of a high quality landscape and there are fine long distance views in many directions. The LCP is only very tenuously linked to the settlement due to the presence of ribbon development but is nonetheless detached from the main body of the settlement and is very much part of the sider landscape.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Irregular  
Field size: Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☑  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐
### Biodiversity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC</th>
<th>SSSI</th>
<th>Local Wildlife Sites</th>
<th>LNRs</th>
<th>SBIs</th>
<th>BAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Historic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cons. Area</th>
<th>SAMs</th>
<th>Historic Parks/Gardens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floodplain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Characteristics

- **Landform**: Rolling
- **Landcover**: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Thorn</th>
<th>Elm</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Ancient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Redundant</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Trimmed</th>
<th>Overgrown</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Overmature</th>
<th>Immature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Overmature</th>
<th>Immature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Overmature</th>
<th>Immature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

- **Presence of water**: Pond | Lake | Brook | River |

### Comments:

### Key views
To settlement: Y △ N □ From settlement: Y △ N □
Landmarks: St. Chad’s Church Detractors: None

Intervisibility
Site observation: High △ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features △ ...from key place △
Comments: To/from adjacent LCP PM12 and wider landscape

Skyline
Prominence/importance: High △ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Long distance views

Tranquility
Noise sources: Westbeech Road; Patshull Road
Views of settlement: Present but only of ribbon development and Church
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent △
Summary: High □ Med. △ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship
With settlement: Important to setting of settlement
With wider landscape: Part of wider high quality landscape
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship
With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs
Visual △ Functional △
Comments:

Settlement edge
Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. △
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral △
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear △ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: PRoW on edge of adjacent LCP PM12 – views across LCP 13 and wider landscape

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: PM2
Settlement: Pattingham

Summary description: Two fields of varying size and shape delineated by hedgerow. Wolverhampton Road forms the northern boundary.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: Open, gently rolling landscape. Views from east towards church spire and to the landscape beyond. Good long distance vistas. Settlement edge not visible. LCP reads as part of the wider countryside landscape.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Medium/large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐
**Historic**
Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**
- Landform: Gently rolling
- Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**

- To settlement: Y □ N □
- From settlement: Y □ N □
- Landmarks: St. Chad’s Church
- Detractors: None
### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □</th>
<th>...to key features □</th>
<th>...from key place □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □</th>
<th>Complexity:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources: Wolverhampton Road</th>
<th>Views of settlement: None</th>
<th>Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Extent of functional relationship

- With settlement: 
- With wider landscape: 
- With adjacent LCP: 

Comments: 

#### Extent of visual relationship

- With settlement: 
- With wider landscape: 
- With adjacent LCP: 

Comments: 

#### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

- Visual □ Functional □ 

Comments: 

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. □</th>
<th>20th-21stC. □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
<td>Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: 

### Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: PM3  
Settlement: Pattingham

Summary description: Part of wider gently rolling landscape. The LCP falls from its centre to settlement.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

Evaluation justification: Some urban influences but the eastern half of the LCP is visible from the south east and development would be visually detrimental. However, development would be possible on the lower half of the parcel where it would visually relate to the settlement.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐ SSSI ☑ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐
Historic
Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

Other
Floodplain □

Characteristics

Landform: Gently rolling
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Water

Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

Comments: Pond immediately beyond north eastern corner of LCP

Key views

To settlement: Y □ N □ From settlement: Y □ N □

Landmarks: St. Chad’s Church Detractors: None
Intervisibility

Site observation:  High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments: High in relation to eastern half of LCP

Tranquility

Noise sources: Only minor estate roads
Views of settlement: Partial
Presence of people:  Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary:  High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge:  Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge:  Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Public Rights of Way □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Rocks/rail/cycleways □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development would be required to provide a new strong vegetated eastern boundary
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** PM4  
**Settlement:** Pattingham

**Summary description:** Small, well-contained parcel. Existing residential areas extends around the northern, eastern and western LCP edges. Strong hedgerow along southern boundary

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP relates well to the settlement and development would be logical in terms of rounding off the settlement boundary. Strong boundaries provide visual and physical containment. Urban influences from settlement edge.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**  
Green Belt ☑  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**  
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐
### Historic Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

### Other
Floodplain □

### Characteristics
- **Landform:** Gently rolling
- **Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

#### Key views
- **To settlement:** Y □ N □
- **From settlement:** Y □ N □
- **Landmarks:** St. Chad’s Church
- **Detractors:** Minor overhead lines
Intervisibility

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □...to key features □...from key place □
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Minor roads
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}C. □ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}C. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** PM5  
**Settlement:** Pattingham

**Summary description:** Medium sized flat field bordered by indented settlement edge and hedged field boundaries. Views possible to distant rising land to west.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** Major urban influences. LCP relates well to the settlement boundary. The presence of pylons and lower level overhead lines provide detracting features in views across LCP. PRoW runs along northern part of LCP.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☑️  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐
Historic Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

Other
Floodplain □

Characteristics

Landform: Flat
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

Key views

To settlement: Y □ N □ From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: St. Chad’s Church Detractors: Pylons/overhead lines
**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  
High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □
Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor roads
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people:  
Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary:  
High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge:  
Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge:  
Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Rights of Way ☑ High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐
Roads/rail/cycleways ☑ High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐
Canal ☐ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development would need to provide for strengthening of western boundary to protect views from further west.
### Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** PM6  
**Settlement:** Pattingham

**Summary description:** Small, very well-contained parcel. Partially recently developed. Strong existing southern boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** Relates very well to the settlement. Urban influences prevalent. Development would be logical in terms of rounding off settlement boundary.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
<th>Biodiversity</th>
<th>Historic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Belt □</td>
<td>SAC □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AONB □</td>
<td>SSSI □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity Greenspace □</td>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancient Woodland □</td>
<td>LNRs □</td>
<td>SBIs □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO □</td>
<td>BAS □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Cons. Area □**  **SAMs □**  **Historic Parks/Gardens □**

**Other**  
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

**Landform:** Flat  
**Landcover:** Farmland (outside of recent development)

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Landmarks:</strong></td>
<td>St. Chad’s Curch</td>
<td><strong>Detractors:</strong></td>
<td>Pylons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  
- High □ Med. ☐ Low □ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place □  
Comments: To undulating/rising land to west

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance:  
- High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☐  
Complexity:  
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor estate roads only  
Views of settlement: Prevalent  
Presence of people:  
- Frequent ☐ Infrequent □  
Summary:  
- High □ Med. □ Low ☐  
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:  
With wider landscape:  
With adjacent LCP:  
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:  
With wider landscape:  
With adjacent LCP:  
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

- Visual □ Functional ☐  
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☐  
Nature of edge:  
- Positive ☐ Negative □ Neutral □  
Form of edge:  
- Smooth ☐ Linear □ Indented □  
Comments: Recent development now provides more positive settlement edge

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Rights of Way ☑ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☑
Roads/rail/cycleways ☐ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Canal ☐ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development would need to provide for a new definitive vegetated southern and western settlement boundary.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: PM7
Settlement: Pattingham

Summary description: Small, rectangular parcel of land. Currently an orchard.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Medium

Evaluation justification: Settlement not visible on approach from south along Rudge Road apart from individual dwelling to the south east. Development would relate well to the existing settlement boundary and this is the only reason that the sensitivity of the LCP has been assessed as medium rather than high.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Small

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐
### Historic

- Cons. Area □
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

### Other

- Floodplain □

### Characteristics

- **Landform:** Flat
- **Landcover:** Orchard

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

- **Presence of water:** Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

### Comments:

- **Key views**
  - To settlement: Y □ N □
  - From settlement: Y □ N □
  - Landmarks: None
  - Detractors: None
**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  High □ Med. □ Low □  ...to key features □  ...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance:  High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A  □  Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Quiet lane
Views of settlement: None significant
Presence of people:  Frequent □  Infrequent □
Summary:  High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □  Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □  20th-21stC.  □
Nature of edge:  Positive □  Negative □  Neutral □
Form of edge:  Smooth  □  Linear □  Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

*Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge*

**Comments:**
**Summary description:** Small parcel of land – the north easternmost part of a much larger rectilinear field that extends to the south west. The LCP sits between the fork created by the divergence of Rudge Road and Chesterton Road.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** Urban influences present. A small development within this LCP would be relatively visually discreet and would relate well to the settlement pattern.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Small but part of a larger field

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt □
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**

- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □
### Historic Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

### Other
Floodplain □

### Characteristics

**Landform:** Gently rolling
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor ☒</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

| Extent     | Dense □ | Scattered ☒ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □ | Overmature ☒| Immature □      |       |

### Watercourse trees

| Extent     | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age        | Mixed □ | Overmature □| Immature □      |       |

### Field trees

| Extent     | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None ☒ |
| Age        | Mixed □     | Overmature □| Immature □      |       |

### Patch survival

| Extent     | Widespread □ | Localised □ | Relic ☒ |
| Management | Intense □    | Traditional ☒| Neglected □|

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

**Presence of water:** Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

**Comments:**

### Key views

**To settlement:** Y ☒ N □ **From settlement:** Y ☒ N □

**Landmarks:** None **Detractors:** None
**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor country lanes
Views of settlement: looking east
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}C. □ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}C. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Any development would need to provide for a new strong vegetated southern settlement boundary.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** PM9  
**Settlement:** Pattingham

**Summary description:** Small well-contained roughly triangular parcel of land that relates well to the settlement boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP could accept a small discreet development with little detriment. Good level of visual containment. Development would be logical in terms of the settlement pattern. Immediately to the west of the Conservation Area boundary.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Sub-regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐
### Historic Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

### Other
- Floodplain

### Characteristics

- **Landform:** Falls to the north as part of a rolling landscape
- **Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

- **Presence of water:**
  - Pond
  - Lake
  - Brook
  - River

### Comments:

- **Key views**
  - To settlement: Y  N
  - From settlement: Y  N
  - Landmarks: St. Chad’s Church
  - Detractors: None
Intervisibility

Site observation:     High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Minor country lanes
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>□ High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>□ High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development would need to have regard to its Conservation Area setting. The northern boundary would require planting to strengthen the new settlement edge.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** PK1  
**Settlement:** Penkridge

**Summary description:** Large, generally flat area of land comprising fields of varying size and shape. These are delineated by strong, generally intact hedgerows that create a reasonably strong landscape structure – particularly nearer to the settlement edge. The LCP is well screened from A449 on the approach from the north up to the point where the roadside hedgerow briefly becomes weaker and views are more open to the west. However, at this point, the settlement is still not visible and the area reads as part of the wider countryside. Screening remains effective up to the existing development boundary. Railway Line forms a definitive western boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High-medium

**Evaluation justification:** The urban edge is visible from the west in views from Levedale Road. There is partial screening along the western edge of this part of the settlement but dwelling roofs remain visible. Any development to the north of this would be visible without additional screening. The LCP has retained some landscape structure that contributes to its quality. There are no significant views towards the settlement either on the approach from the north or when heading north due to the presence of vegetation. A small development could be accommodated within the southernmost part of the LCP by using the existing hedgeline as the new northern development boundary.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Irregular  
Field size: Small/medium/large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB ☐  
- Amenity Greenspace ☑  
- Ancient Woodland ☐  
- TPO ☐
### Biodiversity
- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

### Historic
- Cons. Area □
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

### Other
- Floodplain □

### Characteristics

#### Landform: Generally flat
#### Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☒</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☐</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☐</th>
<th>River ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Comments:

#### Key views
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N ☑</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>None significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □ ...
...to key features □ ...
...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☑
Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Railway line; A449
Views of settlement: No
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments: A449 traffic reduces tranquility

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should maintain screening from A449 and should ensure that it cannot be seen on the approach to the settlement. It should respect the existing landscape structure and field boundaries, retaining hedgerows. Additional screen planting would be necessary along the western boundary against the railway corridor.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** PK2  
**Settlement:** Penkridge

**Summary description:** A narrow, rectilinear parcel between the Staffordshire and Worcestershire canal that forms the western boundary and the M6 motorway that forms the eastern boundary. A strong vegetation belt lines the majority of the eastern boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low-medium

**Evaluation justification:** The southern part of the LCP is assessed as having low sensitivity as development would be logical in terms of its relationship to the existing settlement boundary. It is subject to urban influences. In contrast, the northern part of the LCP is detached from the settlement and is part covered by a floodplain. Development here would relate poorly to the existing settlement pattern. Canal is a Conservation Area although outside of the LCP boundary.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☐  TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☐  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐
**Historic Cons. Area** □      **SAMs** □      **Historic Parks/Gardens** □

**Other**

**Floodplain** □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Generally flat  
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Also canal

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □  
From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: None    Detractors: Motorway although well-screened from southern part of LCP

Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☒ ...to key features ☒ ...from key place ☐
Comments:

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☒ N/A ☒ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Motorway
Views of settlement: Glimpsed from M6; Views towards settlement from canal
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☒
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☒
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity
### Receptors  |  Sensitivity
---|---
Rural residents  □  | High □ Med. □ Low □
Urban residents ☒  | High ☒ Med. □ Low □
Public Rights of Way  □  | High □ Med. □ Low □
Rocks/rail/cycleways  □  | High □ Med. □ Low □
Canal ☒  | High ☒ Med. □ Low □

**Comments:**

*Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge*

Comments: Any development should be concentrated within southern part of LCP and provide buffer zones against both the Conservation Area and the motorway corridor
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** PK3  
**Settlement:** Penkridge  

**Summary description:** Large triangular shaped field sitting between the M6 motorway corridor and a marina alongside the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal. The northern LCP boundary is formed the heavily vegetated line of a former mineral railway line.  

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium  

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is visually detached from the settlement and reads as part of the rural area. Sensitivity would be high but for the presence of the M6 that sits as approximately the same level and the existence of open views to/from the LCP where a tree belt ends. A PRoW runs along the eastern edge and then crosses the centre of the LCP.  

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A planned, mixed farming landscape associated with impoverished, sandy soils, where numerous heath names reflect the former extent of commons and heath. This is a gently rolling, low-lying landscape with a regular pattern of small and large hedged fields. Roads are straight with uniform verges and a high density of roadside dwellings. Parts of this landscape are fairly well wooded and there are some hedgerow trees.  

**Key characteristics**

- Gently rolling lowland topography  
- Glacial till with sands and gravels creating poor soils with a relic heathy character  
- Mixed farmland with extensive areas of grazing land and pony paddocks  
- Discrete blocks of secondary mixed woodland  
- Dispersed settlement pattern with numerous roadside dwellings  
- Straight, planned enclosure highway network with uniform verges  
- Regular field pattern  
- Numerous heath names reflecting presence of former heathland

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- **Land use:** Agriculture  
- **Field pattern:** Sub-regular  
- **Field size:** Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Belt</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AONB</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity Greenspace</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancient Woodland</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Biodiversity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSI</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNRs</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBIs</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAS</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Historic**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cons. Area</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMs</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Parks/Gardens</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Flat
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Near to canal

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □
From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: Marina
Detractors: Motroway

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Med. □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
<th>...to key features □</th>
<th>...from key place □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☒ Complexity:

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Motorway
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☒
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒

Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐Indented ☒

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Summary description: Parcel of land between former industrial site (now with permission for residential use) and the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low

Evaluation justification: Urban influences prevalent. Some remaining landscape structure provided by hedgerows and hedgerow trees. Development would be logical in terms of rounding off the settlement boundary. The canal is a Conservation Area. PRoW adjacent to southern boundary.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

A planned, mixed farming landscape associated with impoverished, sandy soils, where numerous heath names reflect the former extent of commons and heath. This is a gently rolling, low-lying landscape with a regular pattern of small and large hedged fields. Roads are straight with uniform verges and a high density of roadside dwellings. Parts of this landscape are fairly well wooded and there are some hedgerow trees.

Key characteristics

- Gently rolling lowland topography
- Glacial till with sands and gravels creating poor soils with a relic heathy character
- Mixed farmland with extensive areas of grazing land and pony paddocks
- Discrete blocks of secondary mixed woodland
- Dispersed settlement pattern with numerous roadside dwellings
- Straight, planned enclosure highway network with uniform verges
- Regular field pattern
- Numerous heath names reflecting presence of former heathland

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Irregular
Field size: Small

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐ SSSI ☑ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☑ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain ☐
**Characteristics**

Landform: Rises from south west to north east  
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☐</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☐</th>
<th>River ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Canal

**Key views**

To settlement: Y ☒ N ☐  
From settlement: Y ☒ N ☐  
Landmarks: Canal  
Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐  
...to key features ☒  
...from key place ☐  
Comments:
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Take account of rising land

Tranquility

Noise sources: Adjacent roads
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear ☒ Indented ☐
Comments: Settlement edge formed by canal

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Any development must respect canal Conservation Area and retain significant vegetation and landscape structure
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** PK5  
**Settlement:** Penkridge

**Summary description:** Collection of three small fields delineated by strong hedgerows. The northern LCP boundary is formed by the line of a former mineral railway that is well-vegetated in part. The LCP is visually discreet, being screened by roadside hedgerows. Its southern and eastern boundaries are formed by the very narrow Lyne Hill Lane.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** The site is detached from the settlement but could be developed in the future, but only on a small scale and following the development of the former Lyne Hill industrial site. The LCP is formed by three distinctly separate compartments.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**

- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**

- Floodplain ☐
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Flat  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y N</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High Med. Low</th>
<th>...to key features</th>
<th>...from key place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☒ Complexity: ☐
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: A449
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☒
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☒
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☒ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☒ Indented ☐
Comments: Edge formed by line of former mineral railway

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Any future development must respect and retain the existing hedgerows around and within the site.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: PK6
Settlement: Penkridge

Summary description: Small LCP comprising two small fields between the A449 and the River Penk. The land falls to the River Penk and there are fine long distance views to the AONB across a pastoral landscape. Hedgerows are intact, separating the fields and forming the north western and north eastern LCP boundaries.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: The settlement edge is strong and definitive. The LCP therefore reads as part of the wider landscape. Development would be very prominent.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Small

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain ☐
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Gently sloping  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

- Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River  ░

Comments: River Penk forms south eastern LCP boundary

### Key views

- To settlement: Y □ N  ░  
- From settlement: Y □ N  □

- Landmarks: AONB inc. Pye Green Tower  
- Detractors: None significant

### Intervisibility

- Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □  
- ...to key features □  
- ...from key place □

Comments:
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Skyline formed by AONB woodlands in distance

Tranquility

Noise sources: A449
Views of settlement: Partial
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. ☒ Low ☒
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th} C. □ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st} C. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** PN1  
**Settlement:** Perton

**Summary description:** Large, predominantly flat area of land with a strong field pattern defined by hedgerows and hedgerow trees. The LCP is compartmentalised by this existing vegetation and there is a strong sense of an intact lowland cultural landscape that has retained its strength of character. A narrow and little used road loops around the LCP and it’s eastern section abuts the settlement edge. Much of the LCP is used for horse grazing but this has had minimal effect on the character of the landscape. The settlement edge is well-defined and has negligible visual influence.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** high

**Evaluation justification:** Existing well-defined settlement edge and strong landscape structure. Good level of field pattern survival. An example of an intact lowland cultural landscape. Little in the way of urban influence. Visually detached from settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular and sub-regular
- Field size: Small-medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☒

**Biodiversity**
**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Landmarks: None  Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments: No intervisibility

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □  Complexity: Simple
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: None obvious
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people: Frequent □  Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Buffer between Perton and eastern edge of Wolverhampton
With wider landscape: Low
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □  Functional □
Comments: None

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □  20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge: Positive □  Negative □  Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □  Linear □  Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

Receptors  Sensitivity
Rural residents □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Urban residents □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Public Rights of Way □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Roads/rail/cycleways □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:


**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** PN2  
**Settlement:** Perton

**Summary description:** Collection of small regular shaped fields separated by hedgerows and containing an area of scrubby woodland. The area sits between the south eastern edge of the settlement and the western extent of Wolverhampton. The LCP is rectilinear and precariously prevents coalescence between these areas. Access to the area is difficult and only exists from the Wolverhampton side.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is visually discreet. Therefore, any development would have little visual impact. The LCP is important in preserving openness between Perton and the Wightwick area of Wolverhampton. The LCP is divided into ‘compartments’ by a series of hedgerows which creates a strong landscape pattern, albeit covering a small area.

**Landscape character**

LDU Scale

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB □  
- Amenity Greenspace □  
- Ancient Woodland □  
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landform: Flat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landcover: Farmland/woodland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

To settlement: Y N  
From settlement: Y N
Landmarks: None
Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A △
Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: None
Views of settlement: Glimpsed
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments: Residential areas adjacent provide evidence of people presence

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Strong
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments: Prevents coalescence

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Minor
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional △
Comments: Separates Perton from Wolverhampton in conjunction with LCP PN3

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. △
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral △
Form of edge: Smooth △ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


Rural residents □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Urban residents ☑ High ☑ Med. □ Low □
Public Rights of Way ☑ High ☑ Med. □ Low ☑
Roads/rail/cycleways ☐ High ☐ Med. □ Low ☐
Canal ☐ High ☐ Med. □ Low ☐

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Area should remain undeveloped to maintain its Green Belt function
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: PN3
Settlement: Perton

Summary description: Broad rectangular area, narrowing at its eastern end. Fields are large, although smaller in the LCP’s eastern extent, and separated by hedgerows with occasional hedgerow trees.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High/medium

Evaluation justification: The northern part of the LCP is subject to greater urban influence than the southern part and its sensitivity is therefore reduced. There are some coalescence issues but the existing field boundary in the western part of the LCP (extending from Wrottesley Park Road) could be extended to create a strong new settlement edge that contained any development to the north and retained a sufficient open zone to the south.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

Key characteristics
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
- Green Belt □
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

Biodiversity
- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Cons. Area □</th>
<th>SAMs □</th>
<th>Historic Parks/Gardens □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain □</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Characteristics**

- **Landform:** Flat
- **Landcover:** Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

- **Extent**
  - Dense □
  - Scattered □
  - Insignificant □
  - None □
- **Age**
  - Mixed □
  - Overmature □
  - Immature □

**Watercourse trees**

- **Extent**
  - Dense □
  - Scattered □
  - Insignificant □
  - None □
- **Age**
  - Mixed □
  - Overmature □
  - Immature □

**Field trees**

- **Extent**
  - Prominent □
  - Apparent □
  - Insignificant □
  - None □
- **Age**
  - Mixed □
  - Overmature □
  - Immature □

**Patch survival**

- **Extent**
  - Widespread □
  - Localised □
  - Relic □
- **Management**
  - Intense □
  - Traditional □
  - Neglected □

**Ecological corridors**

- **Condition**
  - Intact □
  - Declining □
  - Fragmented □

**Intensity of use**

- **Impact**
  - High □
  - Moderate □
  - Low □

**Water**

- **Presence of water:**
  - Pond □
  - Lake □
  - Brook □
  - River □

**Comments:**

**Key views**

- **To settlement:** Y □ N □
  - From settlement: Y □ N □
- **Landmarks:** None
  - Detractors: None
Intervisibility

Site observation: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☑
Comments: Intervisible with settlement edge

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Settlement edge

Tranquility

Noise sources: Wrottesley Park Road and Pattingham Road
Views of settlement: Settlement edge visible from both adjacent roads
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Strong
With wider landscape: Some
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}C. ☐ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}C. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Rights of Way ☑️ High ☑️ Med. ☑️ Low ☑️
Rocks/rail/cycleways ☑️ High ☑️ Med. ☑️ Low ☑️
Canal ☑️ High ☑️ Med. ☑️ Low ☑️

Comments: PRoW within eastern part of LCP; runs north-south

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development would need to preserve an open buffer between Perton and the edge of Wolverhampton to prevent coalescence and maintain a functioning area of Green Belt. A strong settlement edge would be required through appropriate planting and reinforcement of existing field boundaries.
**Summary description:** Large LCP comprising a number of fields of similar size. The LCP is of an 'L' shape and extends along the majority of Wrottesley Park Road, that forms its eastern boundary, and further to the west. Fields are separated by hedgerows with occasional hedgerow trees. These also serve to constrain views in conjunction with a gentle rise in the land to the west.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium/high

**Evaluation justification:** Wrottesley Park Road currently provides a strong edge to the western extent of the settlement. Dwellings are generally inward facing which reinforces this. However, the LCP is still subject to the influence of the urban edge. Development could be accommodated within the eastern part of the LCP where sensitivity is lower. The remainder of the LCP to the west is visible in views on the approach from the north and any built development here would be detrimental to the setting of the village.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathly remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land use</th>
<th>Agriculture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field pattern</td>
<td>Regular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field size</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Green Belt</th>
<th>AONB</th>
<th>Amenity Greenspace</th>
<th>Ancient Woodland</th>
<th>TPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Biodiversity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC □</th>
<th>SSSI □</th>
<th>Local Wildlife Sites □</th>
<th>LNRs □</th>
<th>SBIs □</th>
<th>BAS □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Historic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cons. Area □</th>
<th>SAMs □</th>
<th>Historic Parks/Gardens □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Other

Floodplain □

### Characteristics

**Landform:** Gently rolling  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**
To settlement: Y △ N □ From settlement: Y □ N △
Landmarks: None Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. △ Low △ ...to key features □ ...from key place △
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. △ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Skyline formed primarily by vegetation along ridge of higher land

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Adjacent road
Views of settlement: Yes
Presence of people: Frequent △ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. △ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. △
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral △
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear △ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: No built development should take place beyond the first western field boundary within the northern part of the LCP in order to protect views on the approach to the settlement from the north. No issues with built development between Wrottesley Park Road and the eastern edge of the golf course. The existing landscape structure and landscape features should be respected.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: PN5  
Settlement: Perton

Summary description: The LCP is located beyond an area of woodland to the north west of the settlement. It comprises a number of small and medium sized fields.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: There are no views of the settlement on the approach from the north and the LCP appears remote from any built form. It has a strong countryside appearance and reads as part of the wider landscape. Development not appropriate.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

Key characteristics
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Small-medium

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑  AONB □  Amenity Greenspace □  Ancient Woodland □  TPO □

Biodiversity
SAC □  SSSI □  Local Wildlife Sites □  LNRs □  SBIs □  BAS □

Historic
Cons. Area □  SAMs □  Historic Parks/Gardens □
### Other

Floodplain □

### Characteristics

Landform: Generally flat
Landcover: Farmland

#### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

#### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks: Detractors:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Intervisibility
Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low ☐ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☐
Comments: No intervisibiltiy with any part of the settlement except from the westernmost part of the LCP but this is detached from settlement

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. □ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Adjacent road
Views of settlement: None significant
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High □ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20\(^{th}\)C. ☐ 20\(^{th}\)-21\(^{st}\)C. ☐
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. □ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☐ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path Type</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

_Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge_

**Comments:**
Summary description: The LCP comprises four irregular shaped fields of varying size. Hedgerow loss has resulted in visual consolidation of the fields. The land falls to the south and there are views over the low lying settlement to the slopes and wooded hills beyond. The settlement is unobtrusive in these views and the countryside character dominates. The western LCP boundary is formed by the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal and its associated canal-side vegetation. This prevents views of the settlement to the west of the canal. The southern site edge meets the northern boundary of a primary school and is well-vegetated by a hedgerow and hedgerow trees. A strong roadside hedgerow screens the site from Wombourne Road that forms its eastern edge. The presence of overhead power lines has a detrimental effect on the quality of views.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High/medium

Evaluation justification: The majority of the LCP is on higher land and development would be obtrusive. It would not share a strong physical relationship with the existing character of the low lying settlement. Consequently, sensitivity is high. The southernmost part of the LCP is more contained by the north western area of the settlement and the canal boundary. Development would round off the northern settlement edge and would sit on lower land, sharing a stronger relationship with the settlement.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

Key characteristics
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathly remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

Land cover parcels (LCPs)
- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Sub-regular
- Field size: large/medium
**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

**Biodiversity**
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

**Historic**
- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

**Other**
- Floodplain

**Characteristics**

Landform: Rolling with some more pronounced ridges; steep slopes

Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**
Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □

Comments: Adjacent canal

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □ From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: None Detractors: Overhead power lines

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □ …to key features □ …from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Complex
Comments: Skyline formed by areas of distant woodland and rolling open land

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Adjacent road
Views of settlement: glimpsed
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Southern part of LCP separates north western and north eastern extents of settlement. Landform contributes to settlement containment
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP: Part of wider LCP
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Minor
With wider landscape: Wooded hills and open slopes visible in distance.
With adjacent LCP: Minor
Comments: Only separated from LCP1b by Wombourne Road but the two LCPs are visually separated by strong roadside hedgerows

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments: Part of wider LCP

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □
**Nature of edge:**
- Positive □
- Negative □
- Neutral ☑

**Form of edge:**
- Smooth ☑
- Linear □
- Indented □

**Comments:**

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:** PRoW crosses western part of site north west-south west

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

**Comments:** The southernmost part of the LCP could accommodate a development that rounded off the settlement edge but strong planting would be required to create new defensible boundary. It would also be necessary to prevent any built form breaking the skyline in views from Himley Lane to the south
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** SW1b  
**Settlement:** Swindon

**Summary description:** The land falls to the south from Wombourne Road (that forms the LCP’s north western edge) before levelling out to form a predominantly flat area. The LCP appears as a large field with some subdivision in its southern part by post and rail/post and wire fencing along with short sections of remnant hedgerows. However, these subdivisions do not alter the scale of the LCP. The settlement edge that forms the LCP’s western edge has significant visual influence and there is a lack of a defensible settlement edge boundary. Himley Plantation is clearly visible to the north west and prevents any long distance views in that direction. There are views to the sloping land forming the southern part of LCP1a. Views across the LCP are affected significantly by the presence of overhead power lines.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium/low

**Evaluation justification:** The northern part of the LCP is visually separated from the settlement and it would not be possible for any development to be contained such that it had a logical relationship with the settlement form. Urban influences and other detracting features dominate the character and appearance of the southern part of the LCP. The LCP is contained visually and physically by topographical features. Sensitivity is therefore low.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture/horsiculture  
**Field pattern:** Sub-regular  
**Field size:** Large with smaller subdivisions

**Designations**
**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt  
- AONB  
- Amenity Greenspace  
- Ancient Woodland  
- TPO  

**Biodiversity**
- SAC  
- SSSI  
- Local Wildlife Sites  
- LNRs  
- SBI s  
- BAS  

**Historic**
- Cons. Area  
- SAMs  
- Historic Parks/Gardens  

**Other**
- Floodplain  

**Characteristics**
- Landform: flat to gently rolling  
- Landcover: Farmland/horse grazing  

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
Key views

To settlement: Y △ N □ From settlement: Y △ N □
Landmarks: None Detractors: Overhead power lines

Intervisibility

Site observation: High △ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features △ ...from key place △
Comments: Himley Plantation; settlement

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Complex
Comments: Urban form, open ridge and woodland

Tranquility

Noise sources: Adjacent road but not heavily trafficked
Views of settlement: Significant
Presence of people: Frequent △ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP: Part of wider LCP
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Strongly influenced by urban area
With wider landscape: Himley Plantation is visually prominent
With adjacent LCP: Views of slope within LCP1a
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual △ Functional △
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. △
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative △ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth △ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:
**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Strong planting to create defensible settlement boundary needed
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** SW1c  
**Settlement:** Swindon

**Summary description:** Large area of open land extending south from Himley Lane. The eastern LCP boundary is formed by a linear woodland belt that is co-existent with the route of a PRoW. The LCP wraps around a small quarry and a commercial site. Both are located in the western part of the LCP. The north western LC boundary is formed by a line of residential dwellings on Hinksford Lane. Hinksford Lane extends to the south east and forms the remainder of the LCP’s western edge. The southern extent of the LCP is formed by the end of the eastern woodland belt that turns to the west. Overhead power lines cross the site north west to south east. A prominent ridge runs from north west to south east. Consequently, only a small proportion of the LCP can be observed from Hinksford Lane to the west and from Himley Lane to the north.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High/low

**Evaluation justification:** The prevailing landform would make any development very obtrusive and the majority of areas within the LCP that are obscured from view are located away from the settlement edge. Development in these areas would therefore be inappropriate. Consequently, sensitivity is high. However, there is a small area between the end of the line of dwellings on the eastern side of Hinksford Lane and the north western edge of the quarry. The location of the ridge line physically constrains the potential for any development.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Large
### Designations

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ✗
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**
- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

**Historic**
- Cons. Area □
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
- Floodplain □

### Characteristics

Landform: rolling with some more pronounced rises; prominent ridge

Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Hedgerows □</td>
<td>Hedgebanks □</td>
<td>Wet ditches □</td>
<td>Estate fencing □</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Hedgerows □</td>
<td>Hedgebanks □</td>
<td>Wet ditches □</td>
<td>Estate fencing □</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Hedgerows □</td>
<td>Hedgebanks □</td>
<td>Wet ditches □</td>
<td>Estate fencing □</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**
- Extent Dense □
- Age Mixed □

**Watercourse trees**
- Extent Dense □
- Age Mixed □

**Field trees**
- Extent Prominent □
- Age Mixed □

**Patch survival**
- Extent Widespread □
- Management Intense □

**Ecological corridors**
- Condition Intact □

**Intensity of use**
- Impact High □

**Water**
### Presence of water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☐</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>Overhead power lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☐</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Views across settlement to wider landscape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐</th>
<th>Complexity: Simple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Land rises to form ridge that prevents all but glimpsed views of a small number of residential properties from Himley Lane. Views constrained in views from Hinksford Lane.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>Views of settlement:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>LCP extends away from the settlement. Eastern area more tranquil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of functional relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Constrains potential expansion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>Part of wider LCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of visual relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Only parts of LCP visible from settlement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☐</th>
<th>Functional ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC.</th>
<th>20th-21stC.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □

Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. □ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☐</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** SW2  
**Settlement:** Swindon

**Summary description:** Roughly triangular shaped area of open land that falls from Hinksford Lane which forms the eastern boundary to the canal which borders the LCP to the south. Topography allows distant views to wooded areas on higher land to the west and south west. The LCP is subject to minor urban influences from a commercial site on the opposite side of Hinksford Lane and by an isolated dwelling immediately to the north eastern corner of the LCP. A large sports ground borders the LCP to the north. A hedgerow on a north east-south west axis subdivides the LCP.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** Development would be visually prominent and obtrusive. The LCP’s juxtaposition to a large recreational area separates from the settlement edge. Consequently, development would not link well to the settlement pattern. The main body of the settlement is not visible from the LCP. The LCP is directly within the setting of the canal Conservation Area.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Irregular
Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO
### Biodiversity

- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

### Historic

- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

### Other

- Floodplain

### Characteristics

- Landform: Gently sloping
- Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

- Extent: Dense
- Age: Mixed

### Watercourse trees

- Extent: Dense
- Age: Mixed

### Field trees

- Extent: Prominent
- Age: Mixed

### Patch survival

- Extent: Widespread
- Management: Intense

### Ecological corridors

- Condition: Intact

### Intensity of use

- Impact: High

### Water

- Presence of water: Pond
- Comments: Canal

### Key views
To settlement: Y □ N □
From settlement: Y □ N □
Landmarks: None
Detractors: None

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □
...to key features □
...from key place □
Comments: Adjacent road, canal corridor

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □
Complexity: Simple
Comments: Distant woodland

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Adjacent road
Views of settlement: None
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: Distant woodland, canal corridor
With adjacent LCP: Minor
Comments: Commercial development restricts visual relationship with adjacent LCP

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments: Not applicable

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: PRoW follows line of canal from Hinksford Lane

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** SW3  
**Settlement:** Swindon

**Summary description:** Low lying meadow bordered along its eastern edge by the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal. The River Smestow lies immediately to the west of the LCP and sits at the base of a steep wooded ridge that approximately follows the river, wrapping around the LCP to create a very strong definitive edge to its western and southern boundaries. The LCP has an almost linear character, being located between these two features. The LCP is overlooked by the settlement edge although not to a significant extent. Urban influences on the LCP are therefore minor. There are open views from High Street where roadside vegetation does not afford screening. There are open views across the LCP from High Street, which forms the LCP’s northern edge.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High/Medium

**Evaluation justification:** A significant proportion of the LCP is within a floodplain and is therefore of greater sensitivity that the remainder of the land. The LCP is visually and physically well-contained by topography and strong linear features. Urban influences have a moderate effect on the northernmost part of the LCP although its openness provides an attractive semi-rural setting to the south western part of the settlement. The LCP can be observed from Hinksford Lane to the east and from the canal towpath where gaps in the hedgerow allow.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

**Land use:** Agriculture (there is an extant planning permission for a marina that covers the whole of the LCP

**Field pattern:** Sub-regular

**Field size:** Large
### Designations

#### Landscape/planning
- Green Belt ✗
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

#### Biodiversity
- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

#### Historic
- Cons. Area ✗
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

#### Other
- Floodplain □

### Characteristics

Landform: Flat
Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant ✗</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ✗</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low ✗</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water
**Presence of water:**
- Pond □
- Lake □
- Brook □
- River △

Comments: Also canal

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y △ N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From settlement:</td>
<td>Y △ N □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High △ Med. □ Low □...to key features △...from key place △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Intervisibility with canal corridor to east and woodland to west

**Skyline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High △ Med. □ Low □ N/A □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complexity:</td>
<td>Simple</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Woodland

**Tranquility**

Noise sources:
Views of settlement:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of people:</th>
<th>Frequent □ Infrequent △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High △ Med. △ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Becomes more tranquil to the south

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Green wedge in part
With wider landscape: Floodplain
With adjacent LCP: None

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Not significant
With wider landscape: Surrounding woodland areas
With adjacent LCP: Visible from LCP1c

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. △ 20th-21stC. △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Form of edge: Smooth ☒  Linear ☐  Indented ☐

Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☒  Med. ☐  Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒  Med. ☒  Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☒  Med. ☐  Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒  Med. ☐  Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☒  Med. ☐  Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Canal towpath forms part of a long distance recreational route. LCP directly within setting of canal Conservation Area

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** SW4  
**Settlement:** Swindon

**Summary description:** Very large, roughly square area of land subdivided into numerous horse grazing plots. Rolling landscape with a general rise from east to west from Church Road, which forms the eastern LCP boundary. The northern and western LCP boundaries are hedged whilst the southern boundary is formed by The Holloway and a woodland belt that follows the road’s alignment. The land is peppered with the paraphernalia such as sheds and ranch type fencing that typically accompany horsiculture. The LCP is generally well-screened from Church Road by vegetation. However, where there are gaps in this vegetation or where it is lower, there are views into the LCP and its rising land is clearly discernible. From further to the north, looking south, the site is open to view from Church Road. The settlement edge extends for approximately two-thirds of the way along the LCP’s eastern edge and wraps around its south eastern corner. The northern extent of the built area is clearly visible in views from the north across an adjacent LCP (LCP5). Areas of woodland are visible in the distance to the south and west.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High/medium

**Evaluation justification:** Development would be obtrusive due to the rising land. However a modest development could be accommodated in the south eastern part of the LCP provided its extent accorded with the extent of the existing settlement edges

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture; horsiculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Large
### Designations

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☐

### Characteristics

Landform: Rolling; gently rising
Landcover: Horse grazing

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☐</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☐</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

- [ ]

- [ ]

- [ ]
### Presence of water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☒</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Landmarks:** None  
**Detractors:** Horsiculture

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☒</th>
<th>Low □</th>
<th>...to key features ☐</th>
<th>...from key place □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:** High level of intervisibility with LCP5 and settlement edge

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☒</th>
<th>Low □</th>
<th>N/A □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Complexity:** Open land; woodland

**Comments:**

### Tranquility

**Noise sources:** Adjacent road

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Views of settlement:</th>
<th>Significant; prominent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☐ Infrequent □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Extent of functional relationship

**With settlement:** None  
**With wider landscape:** None  
**With adjacent LCP:** None

**Comments:**

### Extent of visual relationship

**With settlement:** Significant  
**With wider landscape:** Significant  
**With adjacent LCP:** Significant

**Comments:**

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

**Visual ☒ Functional □**

**Comments:**

### Settlement edge

**Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☒**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of edge:</th>
<th>Positive □ Negative ☒ Neutral □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: An area that extended north to meet the northernmost settlement edge and that extended west as far as the existing settlement edge could accommodate development. This would be on lower land. A new strong vegetated settlement edge would need to be created in order to reinforce the containment of any development.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** SW5  
**Settlement:** Swindon

**Summary description:** Area of agricultural land extending north from the north-west settlement edge. The LCP is subdivided by ranch type fencing and is mainly used for the grazing of horses. The south eastern LCP boundary is formed by the settlement edge. Its eastern boundary is formed by the vegetation that follows the route of the River Smestow and the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal. There is an isolated pocket of residential development adjacent to the north western LCP boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High/Medium/Low

**Evaluation justification:** Approximately the eastern half of the LCP is within a floodplain and therefore of greater sensitivity than the area classed as medium. Development would be moderately obtrusive apart from the southernmost part of the LCP. Any development should not extend beyond the northernmost extent of the settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture; horticulture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small-medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt □
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □
**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☑

**Characteristics**
- Landform: Gently sloping
- Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☐</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☒</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☒</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

- Presence of water: Pond ☐ Lake ☐ Brook ☐ River ☒

Comments: River Smestow loosely follows eastern LCP boundary

**Key views**
| Landmarks: | None | Detractors: Distant overhead power lines |
|------------------|------------------|

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐ ...to key features ☑ ...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>High level of intervisibility with LCP6 and settlement edge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Skyline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☘ Low ☐ N/A ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complexity:</td>
<td>Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Land falls from west to east so no skyline issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tranquility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>Adjacent road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td>Significant; prominent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extent of functional relationship**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>Floodplain. LCP forms valley side of River Smestow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extent of visual relationship**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☐</th>
<th>Functional ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Settlement edge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. ☐</th>
<th>20th-21stC. ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
<td>Positive ☑ Negative ☒ Neutral ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth ☑ Linear ☐ Indented ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Receptors and sensitivity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: The southernmost area of the LCP is less sensitive. Development could be accommodated in this area but would need to provide for new, defensible settlement edge along its northern boundary.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** WA1  
**Settlement:** Wheaton Aston

**Summary description:** Parcel to rear of farm complex (Bridge Farm) in Long Street and bordered by the Shropshire Union Canal on its north eastern. The south eastern edge of the LCP is formed by a tributary of the Whiston Brook and its floodplain. The vegetation associated with this watercourse provides a defensible and logical edge to any future development. The north western part of the LCP extends into the development boundary and links the wider LCP to Long Lane. The south western LCP edge is formed by a strong linear tree belt. The LCP has an open aspect to the canal which is a Conservation Area.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is well-contained by the canal and the brook. It links well to the urban area and any development would form a logical extension to the settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

**Biodiversity**
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

**Historic**
- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

**Other**
### Floodplain Characteristics

Landform: Flat
Landcover: Farmland

#### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water

Presence of water: Pond | Lake | Brook | River |

Comments: Also canal

#### Key views

To settlement: Y N | From settlement: Y N |

Landmarks: Canal | Detractors: Modern farm shed

#### Intervisibility

Site observation: High | Med. | Low | ...to key features | ...from key place
Comments: Intervisible with both the settlement and the wider landscape

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A createTime  □  Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor road, activity along canal
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: None significant
With wider landscape: Views across LCP to wider landscape but mostly well-contained by watercourse vegetation
With adjacent LCP: Part of area between eastern settlement edge and the brook
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Relates to settlement
With wider landscape: Weak
With adjacent LCP: weak – screened by vegetation
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments: Disjointed settlement edge

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roads/rail/cycleways △ High □ Med. □ Low △
Canal △ High △ Med. □ Low □

Comments: PRoWs nearby but LCP only glimpsed

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Any development would need to provide a sufficient vegetated buffer zone against the canal and incorporate all other existing vegetation. Any development should also avoid the floodplain
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WA2  Settlement: Wheaton Aston

Summary description: Small, well-contained parcel to the rear of dwellings in Yew Tree Drive and Long Street. The north western extend of the LCP is within the development boundary and has a more domestic appearance. Boundaries are strong, being well-vegetated. There is a significant pocket of woodland in the southern part of the LCP.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low

Evaluation justification: The LCP is well-contained by vegetation. It links well to the urban area and any development would form a logical extension to the settlement.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Unidentified
Field pattern: Ragular
Field size: Small

Designations

Landscape/planning
- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

Biodiversity
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

Historic
- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

Other
- Floodplain
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Flat  
**Landcover:** Garden?

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☐</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☒</td>
<td>Relic ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☒</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☒</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☒</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☐</th>
<th>River ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Key views

- To settlement: Y ☐ N ☒  
- From settlement: Y ☐ N ☒

**Landmarks:** None  
**Detractors:** None

### Intervisibility

- Site observation: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐  
- ...to key features ☐  
- ...from key place ☐

**Comments:**
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □
Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Minor road
Views of settlement: Partial, settlement evident
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: None significant
With wider landscape: n/a
With adjacent LCP: Part of area between eastern settlement edge and the brook
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Relates to settlement
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. □
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Significant individual trees and woodland pocket to be retained as part of any development
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** WA3  
**Settlement:** Wheaton Aston

**Summary description:** Small, well-contained parcel to the east of dwellings in Meadowcroft Gardens. Boundaries are strong, being well-vegetated. The eastern LCP edge borders a sewage treatment works (STW) but this is very well-screened by vegetation. The Access to the STW runs through the LCP. A small part of the LCP (its easternmost area) is located behind the STW and adjacent to the brook.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is well-contained by vegetation. It links well to the urban area and any development would form a logical extension to the settlement. Part of LCP (behind the STW) is within a floodplain

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Irregular  
Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

**Biodiversity**
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

**Historic**
- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

**Other**
Floodplain ☑

**Characteristics**

Landform: Flat
Landcover: Farmland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☑</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☑</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☐</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☑</th>
<th>Scattered ☑</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☑</th>
<th>Scattered ☑</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☑</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☑</th>
<th>Localised ☑</th>
<th>Relic ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☑</td>
<td>Traditional ☑</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☑</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☑</th>
<th>Moderate ☑</th>
<th>Low ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☑</th>
<th>River ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement: Y ☑ N ☐
From settlement: Y ☑ N ☐

Landmarks:

Detractors:

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐...to key features ☐...from key place ☐
**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐ N/A ☑

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor road

Views of settlement: Dwellings in Meadowcroft Gardens

Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐

Summary: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: None significant

With wider landscape: n/a

With adjacent LCP: Part of area between eastern settlement edge and the brook

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Relates to settlement

With wider landscape: None

With adjacent LCP: None

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☑

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑

Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑

Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☑ Indented ☐

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should avoid floodplain and retain existing boundary vegetation
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** WA4  
**Settlement:** Wheaton Aston

**Summary description:** Rectilinear parcel extending east from the settlement edge. The eastern part of the LCP is within a floodplain, being bordered by a brook. The LCP is well-contained by boundary vegetation and there is an area of woodland immediately to the south east.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP is well-contained by vegetation. It links well to the urban area and any development would form a logical extension to the settlement.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**

- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

**Biodiversity**

- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

**Historic**

- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

**Other**

- Floodplain

**Characteristics**
Landform: Generally flat
Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☒</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☐</th>
<th>Lake ☐</th>
<th>Brook ☒</th>
<th>River ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

**Key views**

To settlement: Y ☒ N ☒

From settlement: Y ☒ N ☒

Landmarks: Detractors:

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐...to key features ☐...from key place ☐

Comments:

**Skyline**
Tranquility

Noise sources: None significant
Views of settlement: Small part of settlement edge
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: None significant
With wider landscape: Weak
With adjacent LCP: Part of area between settlement edge and the brook
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Relates to settlement
With wider landscape: Some glimpsed views to east
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional ☑
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear ☑ Indented □
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways □</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge
Comments: Any development should avoid floodplain and provide for strengthened eastern edge to settlement
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WA5  
Settlement: Wheaton Aston

Summary description: Rectilinear area extending south, only tenuously linked to settlement edge in its north western corner. A tributary of the Whiston Brook runs centrally north-south through the LCP. Consequently, the majority of the LCP is within a floodplain. This feature contributes to the LCPs strength of character. Stockings Lane runs through the northern part of the LCP. Partly within the Green Belt.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: Poorly linked to settlement edge. Development would be out of keeping with settlement pattern. Presence of floodplain. LCP appears detached from settlement.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Large

Designations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape/planning</th>
<th>Green Belt</th>
<th>AONB</th>
<th>Amenity Greenspace</th>
<th>Ancient Woodland</th>
<th>TPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>SSSI</td>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites</td>
<td>LNRs</td>
<td>SBIs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>Cons. Area</td>
<td>SAMs</td>
<td>Historic Parks/Gardens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Floodplain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Rolling  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

#### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y N</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Med.</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>...to key features</th>
<th>...from key place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

Intervisible with wider landscape
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ☒ Complexity:
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Narrow lane
Views of settlement: Glimpses only
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent ☒
Summary: High ☒ Med. □ Low □
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Transitional area between settlement and wider countryside
With wider landscape: Ditto
With adjacent LCP: Forms part of area between southern settlement edge and wider countryside
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: None significant
With wider landscape: Reads as part of wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: Minor
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative ☒ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented ☒
Comments: Disjointed settlement edge. Transition from residential to farm complex

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Stockings Lane also a PRoW
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** WA6  
**Settlement:** Wheaton Aston

**Summary description:** Large irregular shaped parcel located to the south east of the settlement boundary. The LCP is crossed by a one PRoW and bordered on its north western edge by another. Views constrained to west by vegetation belts but good long distance views to the south. A pond surrounded by trees creates a strong focal point in the northern part of the LCP.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** Some urban influence from settlement edge. Otherwise the settlement edge is strong and definitive with the result that the LCP appears as part of the wider countryside. PRoWs present.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Irregular  
Field size: Large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

Green Belt □  AONB □  Amenity Greenspace □  Ancient Woodland □  TPO □

**Biodiversity**

SAC □  SSSI □  Local Wildlife Sites □  LNRs □  SBIs □  BAS □

**Historic**

Cons. Area □  SAMs □  Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**

Floodplain □
### Characteristics

Landform: Rolling  
Landcover: Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Ponds in northern and eastern parts of LCP

### Key views

To settlement: Y ☐ N ☐  
From settlement: Y ☐ N ☐  
Landmarks:  
Detractors:

### Intervisibility

| Site observation | High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ | ...to key features ☐ | ...from key place ☐ |
Comments: Intervisible with both settlement edge and wider countryside. However, countryside character dominates

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Treed

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: None apparent
Views of settlement: Partial but clear
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☑
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Provides strong rural setting to the southern part of the settlement
With wider landscape: Reads as part of wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: Works in conjunction with other LCPs to provide rural setting to settlement
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Minor
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: Partial
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☑
Comments: Both but mostly functional

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑

Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear ☐ Indented ☐

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

**Site:** WA7  
**Settlement:** Wheaton Aston

**Summary description:** Irregular shaped parcel comprising three fields of varying size and shape to the south of the settlement. Bellhurst Lane and a small part of Ivetsey Road form the western and north western LCP edge. A linear belt of vegetation provides a level of visual containment along the southern LCP boundary PRoW runs along the eastern LCP edge and continues west, following the southern LCP edge.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** Whilst there are clear urban influences present from the settlement edge in views from the south and south west, the LCP appears as part of the wider countryside. There are extensive views to the east. However, development could be accommodated but would need to provide a new strong vegetated eastern edge.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- **Land use:** Agriculture
- **Field pattern:** Regular and irregular
- **Field size:** Small/medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt □
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**
- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

**Historic**
- Cons. Area □
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
Floodplain

**Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landform:</th>
<th>Generally flat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landcover:</td>
<td>Farmland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From settlement:</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Landmarks: | None |
| Detractors: | Settlement edge |

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Med.</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to key features:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from key place:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments: Intervisible with both settlement edge and wider countryside. However, countryside character dominates

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. ☒ Low □ N/A □  Complexity: Simple
Comments: Treed

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Ivetsey Road, Bellhurst Lane
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. ☒ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Provides strong rural setting to the southern part of the settlement
With wider landscape: Reads as part of wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: Works in conjunction with other LCPs to provide rural setting to settlement
Comments: Despite presence of urban influences

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Relatively strong
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: Adjacent LCP (WA7) reasonably well screened from WA7
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☒ Functional ☒
Comments: Both but mostly functional

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20\textsuperscript{th}C. □ 20\textsuperscript{th}-21\textsuperscript{st}C. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative ☒ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear □ Indented □
Comments: Settlement edge is mostly well-defined

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents □</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roads/rail/cycleways ☒ High ☐ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Canal ☐ High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** WA8  
**Settlement:** Wheaton Aston

**Summary description:** Large LCP comprising a network of fields of varying size and shape that wrap around most of the western and south western settlement edge. Broadholes Lane extends west from the settlement and is a PRoW.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High/medium

**Evaluation justification:** The north western settlement edge is strong and definitive. The LCP in this area reads strongly as part of the wider countryside and contribute to a pleasant pastoral scene. The landscape structure is reasonably strong. The area to the south west of the settlement shares a greater visual relationship with the settlement edge and is therefore assessed as having medium sensitivity. Development could be accommodated in this part of the LCP as the land rises to the south and this would therefore assist in visually containing any development in views from the south west.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

**Key characteristics:**
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

**Biodiversity**

- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

**Historic**

- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens
Other
Floodplain □

Characteristics

Landform: Rolling
Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: A number of ponds present

Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intervisibility
Site observation: High □ Med. ☒ Low □ ...to key features ☒ ...from key place ☒
Comments: Intervisible with both settlement edge and wider countryside. However, countryside character dominates within western part of LCP

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. ☒ Low □ N/A ☒ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Treed

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Ivetsey Road; other minor roads
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. ☒ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Provides strong rural setting to the south western and western parts of the settlement
With wider landscape: Reads as part of the wider landscape
With adjacent LCP: Works in conjunction with other LCPs to provide rural setting to settlement
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Strong
With wider landscape: Stronger
With adjacent LCP: Partial
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☒ Functional ☒
Comments: Both – between south western part of WA8 and WA7

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☒ Negative □ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear □ Indented □

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Development potential without major detriment within south western part of LCP.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WA9  
Settlement: Wheaton Aston

Summary description: Parcel located to the north western tip of the settlement. A well-treed farm track runs through the northern part of the LCP and forms its north western boundary. The Shropshire Union Canal (a Conservation Area) lies beyond this. The playing fields associated with St. Mary’s School abut the south western LCP edge.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: The LCP is well-contained by boundary hedgerows and the vegetation along the farm track. However, development here would relate poorly to the settlement pattern. A PRoW runs adjacent to the farm track

Landscape character

LDU Scale
A gently rolling, lowland, pastoral landscape characterised by a well-defined, irregular field pattern bounded by ancient hedgerows, with scattered oak trees. There is a high density of dispersed farmsteads and wayside dwellings throughout this landscape, with occasional small rural towns. Historically, the major land use has been dairying, dictated by the heavy, often poorly drained soils derived from the underlying boulder clay.

Key characteristics:
- A gently rolling landform with localised meres and mosses
- An irregular field pattern defined by ancient hedgerows
- Narrow winding, often sunken lanes
- Scattered hedgerow oaks
- Hedgerow damsons and occasional native black poplars
- Marl pits and field ponds
- Dispersed settlement pattern of farmsteads and wayside dwellings
- Traditional red brick and clay tile buildings

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Regular  
Field size: Small

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt □  AONB □  Amenity Greenspace □  Ancient Woodland □  TPO □

Biodiversity
SAC □  SSSI □  Local Wildlife Sites □  LNRs □  SBIs □  BAS □

Historic
Cons. Area □  SAMs □  Historic Parks/Gardens □

Other
Floodplain □
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Flat  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

**Key views**

**To settlement:** Y  
**From settlement:** Y  
**Landmarks:**  
**Detractors:**

### Intervisibility

**Site observation:** High  
...to key features  
...from key place  
**Comments:** Intervisible with settlement edge
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐  Complexity: ☐
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: None apparent
Views of settlement: Partial
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Contributes significantly to the setting of the settlement
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Minor
With wider landscape: Minor
With adjacent LCP: n/a
Comments: LCP visually well-contained

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☐
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☒ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Canal close by but screened form LCP
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
**Landslide Sensitivity**

**Site:** WM1  
**Settlement:** Wombourne

**Summary description:** Open, rolling landscape beyond the northern tip of the settlement. The line of the South Staffordshire Railway Walk forms the western LCP boundary. A very prominent wooded sandstone ridge provides a strong landscape feature to the east. The area is mainly used for horse grazing with fields being divided by tape/fencing typically associated with this use. However, some subdivision by surviving hedgerows remains in the northernmost part of the LCP. There is a PRoW in the south eastern corner of the LCP.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** The sensitivity of the LCP lies in its location beyond the northern settlement edge. Development in this area would sit uncomfortably with the settlement pattern. The topography is such that views to the settlement are constrained and the area relates to the wider countryside. There are long distance views to the west.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- **Land use:** Agriculture
- **Field pattern:** Regular
- **Field size:** Small/medium

**Designations**

- Landscape/planning
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green Belt</strong></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AONB</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amenity Greenspace</strong></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ancient Woodland</strong></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TPO</strong></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biodiversity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSI</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Wildlife Sites</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNRs</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBIs</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAS</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historic</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cons. Area</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMs</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Parks/Gardens</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landform: Rolling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landcover: Farmland – horse grazing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field boundaries</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedgerows</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedgebanks</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet ditches</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estate fencing</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancient Woodland</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relic</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hedgerow trees</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattered</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Watercourse trees</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattered</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field trees</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prominent</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patch survival</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widespread</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relic</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglected</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ecological corridors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declining</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmented</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intensity of use</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of water:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brook</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Key views**

To settlement:  Y ☐ N ☒ From settlement: Y ☒ N ☐
Landmarks: Wooded ridge Detractors: Horsiculture

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☒ ...from key place ☐
Comments: From South Staffordshire Railway Walk

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☒ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Orton Lane
Views of settlement: Partial from within southern part of LCP
Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☒
Summary: High ☒ Med. ☒ Low ☐
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Controls the extent of the northern settlement boundary
With wider landscape: Forms part of northern landscape setting to settlement
With adjacent LCP: See below – mutual reliance
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Weak
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: Partial – separated by Railway Walk and its concomitant vegetation
Comments: LCP reads as part of the wider landscape

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☒
Comments: With WM14; Development not appropriate to northern extent of the settlement apart from a very small part of WM14 adjacent to Bratch Lane. This controls the extent of the northern settlement boundary.

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☉</td>
<td>High ☉ Med. ☉ Low ☉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☉</td>
<td>High ☉ Med. ☉ Low ☉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☉</td>
<td>High ☉ Med. ☉ ☉ Low ☉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☉</td>
<td>High ☉ Med. ☉ ☉ Low ☉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☉</td>
<td>High ☉ Med. ☉ ☉ Low ☉</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WM10
Settlement: Wombourne

Summary description: Area to the south and west of industrial areas. The western boundary follows a hedgeline that continues north to form the edge of the industrial area located to the north of the B4176. A PRoW also follows this route. The eastern part of the LCP is a woodland associated with the course of the Smestow.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: The LCP is physically and visually detached from the residential area. It is part covered by woodland and floodplain. Development would have no relationship to the residential part of the settlement and would therefore be inappropriate. There are views from the LCP across the landscape to the west and south west.

Landscape character

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

Key characteristics

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Medium

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☑️ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☑️ BAS ☑

Historic
Cons. Area □  SAMs □  Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**

Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Rolling
Landcover: Farmland; woodland; watercourse

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ ...to key features ☐ ...from key place ☐

Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity:

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: B4176

Views of settlement:

Presence of people: Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☑

Summary: High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:

With wider landscape:

With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: None

With wider landscape: Reads as part of wider landscape

With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☐

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☐

Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐

Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☐

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

*Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge*

**Comments:**
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** WM11  
**Settlement:** Wombourne

**Summary description:** Small, well-contained parcel adjacent to the end of a line of ribbon development along the northern side of Pool House Road. The Pool House estate opposite also has influence.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low

**Evaluation justification:** The site could accept a very small development without significant detriment. The LCP is well-screened from the B4167 and from its junction with Pool House Road.

**Landscape character**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Garden
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: Small

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt [x]
- AONB [□]
- Amenity Greenspace [□]
- Ancient Woodland [□]
- TPO [□]

**Biodiversity**

- SAC [□]
- SSSI [□]
- Local Wildlife Sites [□]
- LNRs [□]
- SBIs [□]
- BAS [□]

**Historic**

- Cons. Area [□]
- SAMs [□]
- Historic Parks/Gardens [□]

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Flat  
Landcover: Garden

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

| Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □   |

**Intensity of use**

| Impact   | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □          |

**Water**

| Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

Comments: Immediately beyond LCP to the north

**Key views**

To settlement: Y □ N □  
From settlement: Y □ N □

Landmarks:  
Detractors:

**Intervisibility**

| Site observation: | High □ | Med. □ | Low □ | ...to key features □ | ...from key place □ |
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☒

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: B4176; Pool House Road
Views of settlement: Prevalent
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Reads as part of the settlement
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☐ Functional ☒

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☒ Indented ☐

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Vegetation that currently provides screening must be retained as part of any development.
## Landscape Sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site:</th>
<th>WM12</th>
<th>Settlement:</th>
<th>Wombourne</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Summary description:** Large LCP extending north west from Pool House Road that forms the settlement edge. The LCP comprises a number of landscape elements. Woodford Lane forms the north eastern LCP boundary and to the west there is a strong belt of woodland that follows the course of the Smestow. The latter provides a strong buffer between the LCP and Smestow Bridge industrial estate. The LCP is punctuated by a small industrial site and the paraphernalia that typically accompanies horticulture. However, the latter does not dominate the character of the LCP. There are changes in landform due to the south eastern area of the LCP, in part, being a former sand quarry. Some hedgerows remain although the landscape structure is relatively weak. There is also a large area of scrub land in the LCP’s north eastern corner. A PRoW crosses the LCP approximately north-south.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low/medium

**Evaluation justification:** The area forming the south eastern part of the LCP has been assessed as having low sensitivity. It is contained by landform to an extent. Development here would represent a logical extension to the settlement and would fit well with the settlement pattern by following the sweep of the settlement edge along Bratch Common Road immediately to the east. The remainder of the LCP lacks a strong landscape structure which affects is character. It is well contained by the vegetation along the Smestow.

### Landscape character

**LDU Scale**

**Southern part of LCP**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Northern Part of LCP**
An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

Key characteristics
- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture; industry
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Medium/large

Designations

Landscape/planning
- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

Biodiversity
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

Historic
- Cons. Area ☐
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
- Floodplain ☐

Characteristics

Landform: Rolling
Landcover: Farmland; industry; horse grazing

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☑</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☒</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☐</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☐</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☑</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☑</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☑</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☐</th>
<th>Apparent ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☐</th>
<th>Localised ☐</th>
<th>Relic ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☐</td>
<td>Traditional ☐</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☑</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☑</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

- Presence of water: Pond ☐ Lake ☐ Brook ☑ River ☐
- Comments: Smestow adjacent along western LCP edge

### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☑ N ☐</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☑ N ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>Pylons/overhead lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☐</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

- Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☒ Complexity:
- Comments:

### Tranquility

- Noise sources: Pool House Road; minor lanes
- Views of settlement: Prevalent
- Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High □ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Part of LCP subject to greater urban influences
With wider landscape: Weak
With adjacent LCP: Strong – similar characterisitics
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☑ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge: Smooth ☑ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should follow the line of Bratch Common Road in forming its northern extent.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** WM13  
**Settlement:** Wombourne

**Summary description:** Large LCO comprising medium to large fields with boundaries delineated by hedgerows. Crossed by a PRoW. The land falls gently to the Smestow and forms the shallow valley side. The LCP is bordered on its south eastern and south western edges by quiet lanes. The Smestow corridor forms the north western LCP edge with the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal forming the north eastern boundary. The north eastern part of the LCP is bisected by Triesull Road.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Medium

**Evaluation justification:** The LCP has retained some structure but there has been some hedgerow loss resulting in field consolidation. There are urban influences present from the settlement edge along Bratch Common Road but the area generally retains a rural feel.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture; sports (hockey club)
- Field pattern: Sub-regular
- Field size: Medium/large

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**

- Green Belt ☑
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐
**Biodiversity**

- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites □
- LNRs □
- SBIs □
- BAS □

**Historic**

- Cons. Area ☑
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**

- Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

- Landform: Rolling
- Landcover: Farmland; Sports use (hockey club)

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☑</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☑</td>
<td>Elm ☑</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☑</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☑</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered ☑</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☑</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☑</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature ☑</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised ☑</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional ☑</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☑</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

- Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook ☑ River □

Comments: Smestow forms north western edge to LCP

**Key views**
To settlement: Y ▢ N ☒  From settlement: Y ▢ N ☒
Landmarks: None  Detractors: Pylons/overhead lines within adjacent LCP (WM12)

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ▢ Med. □ Low □  ...to key features □  ...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A ▢  Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Quiet lanes
Views of settlement: Present but insignificant
Presence of people: Frequent ▢  Infrequent ▢
Summary: High ▢ Med. ☒ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: South eastern LCP edge subject to urban influences from settlement edge
With wider landscape: Reads as part of wider countryside
With adjacent LCP: Strong – similar characteristics
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☒  Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☒  20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ▢  Negative ☒  Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth ☒  Linear □  Indented ▢
Comments:
## Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. ☑ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** WM14  
**Settlement:** Wombourne

**Summary description:** Large rectilinear LCP sitting between the routes of the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal to the west and the South Staffordshire Railway Walk to the east. There are small areas of existing residential development at the southern tip of the LCP. The land is undulating and a brook takes a sinuous course through the LCP approximately north-south. There is no subdivision of the land other than the vegetation along the watercourse. A roadside hedgerow is present along Flash Lane that forms the northern LCP boundary.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** Low/High

**Evaluation justification:** A small triangular shaped area located in the southernmost corner of the LCP could accept a modest development that followed the line of the existing residential area. The remainder of the LCP is formed by undulating land that shares no relationship with the settlement and reads clearly as part of the wider countryside.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

Land use: Agriculture  
Field pattern: Irregular  
Field size: Large

**Designations**

Landscape/planning
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landform: Undulating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landcover: Farmland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Also canal
### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y N ☑</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y N ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>Line of canal and railway walk</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>Pylons/overhead lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☐</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skyline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐</th>
<th>Complexity:</th>
<th>Simple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tranquility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources: Quiet lane only</th>
<th>Views of settlement: None significant</th>
<th>Presence of people:</th>
<th>Frequent ☑ Infrequent ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of functional relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement: Weak</th>
<th>With wider landscape: Reads as part of wider landscape</th>
<th>With adjacent LCP: Forms northern landscape setting to settlement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extent of visual relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement: None significant</th>
<th>With wider landscape: Important part of landscape</th>
<th>With adjacent LCP:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☐</th>
<th>Functional ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settlement edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. ☑ 20th-21stC. ☑</th>
<th>Nature of edge:</th>
<th>Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth ☑ Linear ☑ Indented ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☒ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: For the purposes of this assessment PRoW includes the South Staffordshire Railway Walk

### Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: A small development could be accommodated in the southernmost corner of the LCP. Within the setting of the Canal Conservation Area so must take this into account.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WM15  
Settlement: Wombourne

Summary description: LCP within settlement boundary in the northern part of the village. Significant changes in level result in views across the LCP being restricted from the majority of viewpoints. The majority of the area does not appear to be managed other than from the grazing of horses. This has resulted in the sporadic natural regeneration of scrub and trees. South Staffordshire Railway Walk forms the eastern LCP boundary and is a strong boundary between the open land and the built area of the settlement to the east. The Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal is another strong linear feature and forms the western LCP boundary. The settlement edge adjoins the southern LCP edge. There is some subdivision of the LCP by hedgerows within the eastern and southern parts.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High/medium/low

Evaluation justification: Landform is a significant constraint and it also provides an area of pleasant rural character within the development boundary. There is an area within the LCP between the canal and Penleigh Gardens that could accommodate a development but would need to ensure protection of the canal conservation area’s setting.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture?  
Field pattern: Sub-regular  
Field size: Small/medium
### Designations

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☒
- AONB ☐
- Amenity Greenspace ☐
- Ancient Woodland ☐
- TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**
- SAC ☐
- SSSI ☐
- Local Wildlife Sites ☐
- LNRs ☐
- SBIs ☐
- BAS ☐

**Historic**
- Cons. Area ☒
- SAMs ☐
- Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**
- Floodplain ☐

### Characteristics

Landform: Undulating; pronounced
Landcover: Horse grazing; scrub and emerging woodland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☐</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thurso ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☒</th>
<th>Scattered ☐</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☐</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☐</th>
<th>Fragmented ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☐</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water
Presence of water: Pond □ Lake □ Brook □ River □
Comments: Canal

**Key views**

To settlement: Y ☑ N □ From settlement: Y ☑ N □
Landmarks: Detractors:

**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High ☑ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ☑ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Complex
Comments: Skyline potentially affected from a number of different viewpoints

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Bratch Lane; minor estate roads
Views of settlement: Prevalent to the south
Presence of people: Frequent ☑ Infrequent □
Summary: High ☑ Med. ☑ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: A green wedge within the tightly developed area
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Varied depending on viewpoint
With wider landscape: Views across wider landscape from higher points
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ☑
Form of edge:  Smooth ☑  Linear ☐  Indented ☐

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☑</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: PRoW includes Railway Walk

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should provide for the continuation of the open space to the south east, link to the canal corridor and the South Staffordshire Railway Walk. Ant development in the south western part of the LCP (sensitivity low) should respect the existing field boundary and other vegetation.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WM2  Settlement: Wombourne

Summary description: LCP rises sharply from east to west. A linear woodland belt visually separates the north eastern extent of the settlement from the LCP. Fields subdivided by hedgerows.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: Visually and physically separated from the settlement. Topography means that development would be very prominent and out of keeping with the character of a settlement that is well-contained by landform. Crossed by PRoWs.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
This landscape type is restricted to areas where Triassic sandstones are not obscured by drift and where acid sands and Brown earths predominate. The landscape has an undulating landform incised by small, steep sided valleys. There is now little remaining heathland due to its conversion to farmland for stock rearing. The landscape is nonetheless characterised by heathy woodland/scrub and patches of bracken/gorse. The settlement pattern is generally dispersed, with expanded hamlets.

Key characteristics
- Undulating, in places steeply sloping, topography
- Steep wooded valleys
- Patches of bracken and gorse
- Pastoral farmland
- Small hedged fields
- Hedgerow oaks and ash
- Narrow lanes and hedge-banks

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Medium-large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒  AONB ☐  Amenity Greenspace ☐  Ancient Woodland ☒  TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐  SSSI ☐  Local Wildlife Sites ☒  LNRs ☐  SBIs ☐  BAS ☐

Historic
Cons. Area ☐  SAMs ☐  Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

Other
Floodplain ☐
### Characteristics

**Landform:** Steep hill  
**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☒</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☒</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☐</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☐</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

**Condition**  
Intact ☒  
Declining ☐  
Fragmented ☒

### Intensity of use

**Impact**  
High ☒  
Moderate ☒  
Low ☐

### Water

**Presence of water:**  
Pond ☐  
Lake ☐  
Brook ☐  
River ☒

### Comments:

#### Key views

**To settlement:**  
Y ☒ N ☒  
From settlement:  
Y ☐ N ☒

**Landmarks:**  
Detractors: Pylons/overhead lines

### Intervisibility

**Site observation:**  
High ☒  
Med. ☒  
Low ☐  
...to key features ☐  
...from key place ☐

### Comments:
Skyline

Prominence/importance: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐ N/A ☐ Complexity: Simple
Comments:

Tranquility

Noise sources: Minor traffic noise
Views of settlement: Partial from lower (south eastern) part of LCP
Presence of people: Frequent ☒ Infrequent ☐
Summary: High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Topography and woodland controls extent of settlement
With wider landscape: Strongly linked to wider landscape to the north
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: None significant
With wider landscape: Strong
With adjacent LCP: Partial
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual ☐ Functional ☒
Comments:

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☒
Nature of edge: Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☒
Form of edge: Smooth ☒ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments:

Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WM3, Settlement: Wombourne

Summary description: Area of land between the part of the western settlement edge and the A449. Bisected by Gilbert Lane, Smallbrook Lane and part of School Road. A small farm complex is located almost centrally within the LCP. Tightly clipped roadside hedgerows are present but the topography means these provide little screening and the area is open in views to and from the settlement and to the landscape beyond. The easternmost extent of the Wom Brook Walk provides a definitive wooded edge to the south eastern LCP boundary.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low - Medium

Evaluation justification: Some strong urban influences affect parts of the LCP. The area to the east of Smallbrook Lane reads as part of the wider landscape and particularly the landscape associated with the Wodehouse estate beyond the A449 to the east. Changes in topography result in some areas of more pronounced landform. There is potential for a modest development on the area of the LCP to the south of Gilbert Lane subject to mitigation and with reference to the floodplain.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

Southern Part of LCP

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underlying Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Northern part of LCP

This landscape type is restricted to areas where Triassic sandstones are not obscured by drift and where acid sands and Brown earths predominate. The landscape has an undulating landform incised by small, steep sided valleys. There is now little remaining heathland due to its conversion to farmland for stock rearing. The landscape is
nonetheless characterised by heathy woodland/scrub and patches of bracken/gorse. The settlement pattern is generally dispersed, with expanded hamlets.

Key characteristics

- Undulating, in places steeply sloping, topography
- Steep wooded valleys
- Patches of bracken and gorse
- Pastoral farmland
- Small hedged fields
- Hedgerow oaks and ash
- Narrow lanes and hedge-banks

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Small/medium

Designations

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ✓
- AONB □
- Amenity Greenspace □
- Ancient Woodland □
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**
- SAC □
- SSSI □
- Local Wildlife Sites ◆
- LNRs □
- SBI s □
- BAS □

**Historic**
- Cons. Area □
- SAMs □
- Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
- Floodplain ◆

Characteristics

- Landform: Undulating
- Landcover: Farmland

Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ✓</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ✓</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ✓</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ◆</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None ◆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ✓</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field trees</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
<td>Prominent ☐</td>
<td>Apparent ☐</td>
<td>Insignificant ☐</td>
<td>None ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
<td>Widespread ☐</td>
<td>Localised ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☐</td>
<td>Traditional ☐</td>
<td>Neglected ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological corridors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Intact ☐</td>
<td>Declining ☐</td>
<td>Fragmented ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity of use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>High ☐</td>
<td>Moderate ☐</td>
<td>Low ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of water:</td>
<td>Pond ☐</td>
<td>Lake ☐</td>
<td>Brook ☐</td>
<td>River ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: Wom Brook to south east</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key views</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To settlement:</td>
<td>Y ☐ N ☐</td>
<td>From settlement:</td>
<td>Y ☐ N ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>Church spire</td>
<td>Detractors: Pylons/overhead lines; A449</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervisibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site observation:</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐</td>
<td>Low ☐</td>
<td>...to key features ☐</td>
<td>...from key place ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: To/from settlement – affects mainly northern and southern parts of LCP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prominence/importance:</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐</td>
<td>Low ☐</td>
<td>N/A ☐</td>
<td>Complexity: Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: Undulating land; pronounced landform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranquility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise sources:</td>
<td>A449; Minor roads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td>Prevalent in parts of LCP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☐</td>
<td>Infrequent ☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐</td>
<td>Low ☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of functional relationship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With settlement:</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>Area to east of Smallbrook Lane reads as part of wider landscape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: Strong
With wider landscape: In part – see above
With adjacent LCP: In part with WM4
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual ☑ Functional ☐
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. ☐ 20th-21stC. ☑
Nature of edge: Positive ☑ Negative ☐ Neutral ☐
Form of edge: Smooth ☐ Linear ☐ Indented ☐
Comments: Positive to south west; negative to north west

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents ☐</td>
<td>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents ☑</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☑</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal ☐</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☐ Low ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development in the area to the south of Gilbert Lane would need to provide a strong buffer zone against the A449, Gilbert Lane and the Wom Brook corridor.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** WM4  
**Settlement:** Wombourne

**Summary description:** Wooded parcel on rising land. Well-screened from the A449 and from Battlefield Hill by vegetation at a higher level than the carriageways.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High

**Evaluation justification:** Woodland site that appears to lack any management input but is a key buffer area between the settlement edge and the A449. Wildlife interest likely.

**Landscape character**

**LDU Scale**
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

**Key characteristics**

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: None apparent
- Field pattern: Regular
- Field size: (parcel size) medium

**Designations**

**Landscape/planning**
- Green Belt ☑  
- AONB □  
- Amenity Greenspace □  
- Ancient Woodland □  
- TPO □

**Biodiversity**
- SAC □  
- SSSI □  
- Local Wildlife Sites □  
- LNRs □  
- SBIs □  
- BAS □

**Historic**
Cons. Area □ SAMs □ Historic Parks/Gardens □

**Other**
Floodplain □

**Characteristics**

Landform: Rising topography
Landcover: Woodland

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense □</th>
<th>Scattered □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent □</th>
<th>Apparent □</th>
<th>Insignificant □</th>
<th>None □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Overmature □</td>
<td>Immature □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread □</th>
<th>Localised □</th>
<th>Relic □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense □</td>
<td>Traditional □</td>
<td>Neglected □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact □</th>
<th>Declining □</th>
<th>Fragmented □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High □</th>
<th>Moderate □</th>
<th>Low □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond □</th>
<th>Lake □</th>
<th>Brook □</th>
<th>River □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y □ N □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  High □ Med. □ Low ✗ ...to key features ✗ ...from key place ✗
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High ✗ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: A449; Battlefield Hill; adjacent estate roads
Views of settlement: None significant
Presence of people: Frequent ✗ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. ✗ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement: Important buffer between settlement and major road corridor
With wider landscape: Links to general wooded character to the east of the settlement
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement: None
With wider landscape: None
With adjacent LCP: None
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. ✗
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral ✗
Form of edge: Smooth ✗ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. ✗ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways ☒</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal □</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments:
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WM5  
Settlement: Wombourne

Summary description: Visually and physically well-contained rectangular parcel. Significant vegetation around LCP boundaries.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low

Evaluation justification: The LCP relates well to the settlement pattern and is unseen in views from the surrounding area. Development would not result in significant visual effects.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underlying Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Derelict
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Medium

Designations

Landscape/planning
- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

Biodiversity
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBIs
- BAS

Historic
Cons. Area  □  SAMs  □  Historic Parks/Gardens  □

Other
Floodplain  □

Characteristics

Landform: Gently rising
Landcover: Ruderal vegetation; woodland; scrub

Field boundaries

Type          Hedgerows ☐  Hedgebanks ☑  Wet ditches ☑  Estate fencing ☑
Species       Thorn ☐  Elm ☑  Mixed ☑  Ancient ☑
Condition     Good ☑  Poor ☑  Redundant ☑  Relic ☑
Management    Trimmed ☑  Overgrown ☑  Mixed ☑

Hedgerow trees

Extent          Dense ☑  Scattered ☑  Insignificant ☑  None ☑
Age             Mixed ☑  Overmature ☑  Immature ☑

Watercourse trees

Extent          Dense ☑  Scattered ☑  Insignificant ☑  None ☑
Age             Mixed ☑  Overmature ☑  Immature ☑

Field trees

Extent          Prominent ☑  Apparent ☑  Insignificant ☑  None ☑
Age             Mixed ☑  Overmature ☑  Immature ☑

Patch survival

Extent          Widespread ☑  Localised ☑  Relic ☑
Management     Intense ☑  Traditional ☑  Neglected ☑

Ecological corridors

Condition       Intact ☑  Declining ☑  Fragmented ☑

Intensity of use

Impact          High ☑  Moderate ☑  Low ☑

Water

Presence of water:  Pond ☑  Lake ☑  Brook ☑  River ☑

Comments:

Key views

To settlement:  Y ☑  N ☑  From settlement:  Y ☑  N ☑
Landmarks: Detractors:
**Intervisibility**

Site observation: High □ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features □ ...from key place □
Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance: High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A △ Complexity:
Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: Minor estate roads
Views of settlement: None significant
Presence of people: Frequent □ Infrequent △
Summary: High □ Med. △ Low □
Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP:
Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □ Functional □
Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. △
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative □ Neutral △
Form of edge: Smooth △ Linear □ Indented □
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. △ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should retain as much existing vegetation as possible
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WM6
Settlement: Wombourne

Summary description: Visually and physically well-contained rectangular parcel. Significant vegetation around LCP boundaries.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: Low

Evaluation justification: The LCP relates well to the settlement pattern and is unseen in views from the surrounding area. Development would not result in significant visual effects.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Derelict
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Medium

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☒ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

Biodiversity
SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐

Historic
**Cons. Area** □  **SAMs** □  **Historic Parks/Gardens** □  

**Other**  
**Floodplain** □  

**Characteristics**  
Landform: Gently rising  
Landcover: Ruderal vegetation; trees  

**Field boundaries**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows □</th>
<th>Hedgebanks □</th>
<th>Wet ditches □</th>
<th>Estate fencing □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn □</td>
<td>Elm □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td>Ancient □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good □</td>
<td>Poor □</td>
<td>Redundant □</td>
<td>Relic □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed □</td>
<td>Overgrown □</td>
<td>Mixed □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**  
| Extent | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □ | |

**Watercourse trees**  
| Extent | Dense □ | Scattered □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □ | |

**Field trees**  
| Extent | Prominent □ | Apparent □ | Insignificant □ | None □ |
| Age | Mixed □ | Overmature □ | Immature □ | |

**Patch survival**  
| Extent | Widespread □ | Localised □ | Relic □ |
| Management | Intense □ | Traditional □ | Neglected □ |

**Ecological corridors**  
| Condition | Intact □ | Declining □ | Fragmented □ |

**Intensity of use**  
| Impact | High □ | Moderate □ | Low □ |

**Water**  
| Presence of water: | Pond □ | Lake □ | Brook □ | River □ |

**Comments:**  

**Key views**  
| To settlement: | Y □ N □ | From settlement: | Y □ N □ |
| Landmarks: | | Detractors: |
**Intervisibility**

Site observation:  
- High □ Med. □ Low □  
- ...to key features □  
- ...from key place □

Comments:

**Skyline**

Prominence/importance:  
- High □ Med. □ Low □ N/A □  

Complexity:

Comments:

**Tranquility**

Noise sources: A449; Beggars Bush Lane; minor estate roads

Views of settlement:

Presence of people:  
- Frequent □  
- Infrequent ▢

Summary:  
- High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

**Extent of functional relationship**

With settlement:

With wider landscape:

With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Extent of visual relationship**

With settlement:

With wider landscape:

With adjacent LCP:

Comments:

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

Visual □  
Functional □

Comments:

**Settlement edge**

Pre 20^{th}C. □  
20^{th}-21^{st}C. ▢

Nature of edge:  
- Positive □  
- Negative □  
- Neutral ▢

Form of edge:  
- Smooth □  
- Linear □  
- Indented ▢

Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. ▢ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Rights of Way □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Rivers □ High □ Med. □ Low □
Canal □ High □ Med. □ Low □

Comments:

Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge

Comments: Significant trees should be retained within any development.
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WM7  Settlemnt: Wombourne

Summary description: Large parcel comprising various uses and landscape elements. The A449 forms the eastern boundary. Strong hedgerows and a strong rectilinear tree belt occupy the northern part of the LCP. The land falls from the settlement edge to the west then rises before reaching the A449. Part of the settlement edge is visible on the ridge in views from the east and south east. Horsiculture dominates the character of the LCP.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High

Evaluation justification: The land is very open and any development would be visually prominent on land rising to the west in views from the east. The settlement edge is defined by its ridgeline location and this should not be breached. The A449 forms the boundary between the LCP and a Historic Landscape Area (associated with the Himley estate). Extensive views to west.

Landscape character

LDU Scale

The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics

- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Regular
Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Green Belt ☐ AONB ☐ Amenity Greenspace ☐ Ancient Woodland ☐ TPO ☐

**Biodiversity**

SAC ☐ SSSI ☐ Local Wildlife Sites ☐ LNRs ☐ SBIs ☐ BAS ☐

**Historic**

Cons. Area ☐ SAMs ☐ Historic Parks/Gardens ☐

**Other**

Floodplain ☐

**Characteristics**

Landform: Rolling; central shallow valley
Landcover: Horse grazing

**Field boundaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows ☐</th>
<th>Hedgebanks ☐</th>
<th>Wet ditches ☐</th>
<th>Estate fencing ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn ☐</td>
<td>Elm ☐</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Ancient ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good ☒</td>
<td>Poor ☐</td>
<td>Redundant ☐</td>
<td>Relic ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed ☒</td>
<td>Overgrown ☒</td>
<td>Mixed ☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hedgerow trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☒</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☐</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watercourse trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense ☒</th>
<th>Scattered ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent ☒</th>
<th>Apparent ☒</th>
<th>Insignificant ☒</th>
<th>None ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed ☒</td>
<td>Overmature ☒</td>
<td>Immature ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patch survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread ☒</th>
<th>Localised ☒</th>
<th>Relic ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense ☒</td>
<td>Traditional ☒</td>
<td>Neglected ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecological corridors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact ☒</th>
<th>Declining ☒</th>
<th>Fragmented ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Intensity of use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High ☒</th>
<th>Moderate ☒</th>
<th>Low ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond ☒</th>
<th>Lake ☒</th>
<th>Brook ☒</th>
<th>River ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
Key views

To settlement: Y △ N □ From settlement: Y N △
Landmarks: None Detractors: Major road corridor; horticulture

Intervisibility

Site observation: High △ Med. □ Low □ ...to key features △ ...from key place △
Comments: To/from Himley (registered) historic parkland

Skyline

Prominence/importance: High △ Med. □ Low □ N/A □ Complexity: Simple
Comments: Prominent ridgeline

Tranquility

Noise sources: A449
Views of settlement: Settlement edge apparent
Presence of people: Frequent △ Infrequent □
Summary: High □ Med. □ Low △
Comments:

Extent of functional relationship

With settlement: Landform helps to define settlement edge
With wider landscape:
With adjacent LCP: Crossover of land use and character with WM8
Comments:

Extent of visual relationship

With settlement: Provides important setting
With wider landscape: Within setting of Historic Landscape
With adjacent LCP: Crossover with WM8
Comments:

Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

Visual △ Functional △
Comments: In part

Settlement edge

Pre 20thC. □ 20th-21stC. △
Nature of edge: Positive □ Negative △ Neutral □
Form of edge: Smooth □ Linear □ Indented △
Comments: Settlement edge requires screening/creation of stronger boundary
**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☒ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

**Comments:**
Landscape Sensitivity

Site: WM8
Settlement: Wombourne

Summary description: Same comments as WM7 except for south western corner of LCP, which is visually more contained due to the presence of a significant woodland block immediately to the east. Part of the South Staffordshire Railway Walk cuts off the south western corner of the parcel and forms a strong boundary, separating the LCP from the Wombourne Park area of the settlement.

Landscape sensitivity to housing development: High/low

Evaluation justification: High - same comments as WM7; low – minor urban influence from settlement edge within the Dickinson Road estate – views are possible from this part of the settlement to the east. The LCP is visually contained in views from the east. The extent of any development should be limited to the western edge of the woodland block to the south east.

Landscape character

LDU Scale
The Ancient Settled Farmlands is a well-defined cultural landscape with a varied pattern of small to medium sized hedged fields, many of which are of medieval origin. These are set within an irregular pattern of ancient winding lanes that link a clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, groups of roadside dwellings and occasional small villages. The underling Triassic mudstones have produced fertile Brown soils where dairying/mixed farming is the dominant land use, with only a scatter of small ancient woods and secondary plantations. The rounded and rolling landform is characterised in places by a more undulating topography.

Key characteristics
- A varied rolling/undulating topography
- Many small streams in shallow valleys
- A well-defined irregular field pattern
- Arable and pastoral farming
- Network of narrow lanes, often with hedge banks
- Large numbers of hedgerow oak and ash
- Small, irregular patches of ancient woodland
- Clustered settlement pattern of scattered farmsteads, roadside dwellings and occasional small rural villages
- Traditional red brick farmsteads and dwellings with clay tile roofs
- Strong sense of time-depth

Land cover parcels (LCPs)

Land use: Agriculture
Field pattern: Sub-regular
Field size: Large

Designations

Landscape/planning
Landform: Rolling with rise to settlement edge
Landcover: Horse grazing; woodland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:
**Key views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☐ N ☑</th>
<th>From settlement:</th>
<th>Y ☑ N ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Detractors:</td>
<td>Horsiculture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intervisibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation:</th>
<th>High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐</th>
<th>...to key features ☐</th>
<th>...from key place ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>To/from Himley (registered) historic parkland where assessed as having high sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Skyline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prominence/importance:</th>
<th>High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☒ N/A ☒</th>
<th>Complexity: Simple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Partially vegetated ridge along settlement edge (Dickinson Road estate)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tranquility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise sources:</th>
<th>B4176; minor estate roads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Views of settlement:</td>
<td>Roof tops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of people:</td>
<td>Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extent of functional relationship**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Landform helps to define settlement edge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>Crossover of land use and character with WM7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extent of visual relationship**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With settlement:</th>
<th>Provides important setting in part</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With wider landscape:</td>
<td>Partly within setting of Historic Landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With adjacent LCP:</td>
<td>Crossover with WM7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☑</th>
<th>Functional ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>In part</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Settlement edge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre 20thC. ☐</th>
<th>20th-21stC. ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of edge:</td>
<td>Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Neutral ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge:</td>
<td>Smooth ☑ Linear ☒ Indented ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:

**Receptors and sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High □ Med. □ Low □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development must retain woodland block and use the landform to assimilate it into the landscape as far as possible.
**Landscape Sensitivity**

**Site:** WM9  
**Settlement:** Wombourne

**Summary description:** Collection of fields varying in size and shape. Significant changes in level. The western part of the LCP sits at a lower level. Some subdivision of fields by hedgerows but there has clearly been much hedgerow loss to consolidate fields. The Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal (a Conservation Area) forms a sinuous western boundary to the LCP. Himley Plantation (an SBI) forms the eastern LCP edge and the B4176 provides the current definitive residential boundary to the southern settlement edge. Wombourne Road bisects the eastern part of the LCP and track (also a PRoW) crosses it north east to south west. There is a significant industrial area to the west beyond the line of the canal.

**Landscape sensitivity to housing development:** High/low

**Evaluation justification:** Significant urban influences from the settlement edge and the adjacent industrial area. Whilst residential development has not breached the B4176, the industrial area has, resulting in a large area of built form extending south from the south western part of the settlement. Development on the lower part of the LCP could be accommodated and would serve to rationalise the pattern of the built form. The remainder of the LCP is highly sensitive due to the elevation of the land and the need to preserve a sufficient area that prevents coalescence with Swindon.

**Landscape character**

An open, gently rolling, arable landscape associated with the light, sandy soils that overlie a belt of Permo-Triassic sandstones. The woodlands and parklands of traditional rural estates characterise this rolling lowland landscape. In places a few remnants of the once extensive areas of heathland survive. The major land use is now arable cropping in large hedged, or open fields of a regular pattern. The settlement pattern is sparse, principally comprising expanded hamlets, with a scatter of wayside cottages and large estate farms.

**Key characteristics**

- Smooth rolling landform
- Intensive arable farmland with a remnant field pattern of large, open, regular fields
- Broadleaved and mixed woodlands with silver birch
- Well treed stream valleys
- Plantations and game coverts
- Parkland
- Straight roads
- Heathy remnants and roadside bracken
- Red brick farmsteads and estate cottages

**Land cover parcels (LCPs)**

- Land use: Agriculture
- Field pattern: Regular/sub-regular
- Field size: Medium-large

**Designations**
### Landscape/planning
- Green Belt
- AONB
- Amenity Greenspace
- Ancient Woodland
- TPO

### Biodiversity
- SAC
- SSSI
- Local Wildlife Sites
- LNRs
- SBI
- BAS

### Historic
- Cons. Area
- SAMs
- Historic Parks/Gardens

### Other
- Floodplain

### Characteristics

**Landform:** Rolling with more pronounced landform in the eastern half of the LCP

**Landcover:** Farmland

### Field boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Hedgerows</th>
<th>Hedgebanks</th>
<th>Wet ditches</th>
<th>Estate fencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>Elm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Redundant</td>
<td>Relic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Trimmed</td>
<td>Overgrown</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hedgerow trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Watercourse trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Dense</th>
<th>Scattered</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Prominent</th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Insignificant</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Overmature</td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patch survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Widespread</th>
<th>Localised</th>
<th>Relic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Neglected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ecological corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Fragmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intensity of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of water:</th>
<th>Pond</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Brook</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments: Canal
### Key views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To settlement</th>
<th>From settlement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y ☐ N ☑</td>
<td>Y ☐ N ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Landmarks:** None  
**Detractors:** Pylons/overhead lines

### Intervisibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site observation</th>
<th>...to key features</th>
<th>...from key place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☑</td>
<td>☑ ✔ ☑</td>
<td>☑ ✔ ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:** To/from settlement and industrial area; to/from canal towpath

### Skyline

**Prominence/importance:** High ☐ Med. ☑ Low ☐ N/A ☑  
**Complexity:** Simple

**Comments:** Higher land forms skyline in views from the north west

### Tranquility

**Noise sources:** B4176; Wombourne Road  
**Views of settlement:** Prevalent  
**Presence of people:** Frequent ☐ Infrequent ☑  
**Summary:** High ☑ Med. ☐ Low ☐

**Comments:**

### Extent of functional relationship

- **With settlement:** Eastern part of LCP prevents coalescence with Swindon  
- **With wider landscape:** None  
- **With adjacent LCP:** None

**Comments:**

### Extent of visual relationship

- **With settlement:** Strong  
- **With wider landscape:** Some long distance views  
- **With adjacent LCP:** None

**Comments:**

### Mutual reliance with adjacent LCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual ☐</th>
<th>Functional ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Settlement edge

- **Pre 20thC. ☑**  
- **20th-21stC. ☐**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of edge</th>
<th>Positive ☑</th>
<th>Negative ☑</th>
<th>Neutral ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form of edge</td>
<td>Smooth ☑</td>
<td>Linear ☑</td>
<td>Indented ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
## Receptors and sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural residents</td>
<td>High ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residents</td>
<td>High ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>High ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/rail/cycleways</td>
<td>High ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>High ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

**Potential for mitigation and improvement of settlement edge**

Comments: Any development should not extend east beyond the track/PRoW. Strong new settlement boundary required by establishment of vegetation belts. Sufficient stand-off between any development and the canal conservation area important.