



08/09/2023

APP/C3430/C/23/3324336

It has now been proved the buildings 1, 3, and 4 were already on site and they have been moved to an area we have always used for storage and container use, Mr Bray states this. Because we moved sheds and containers it implies they are not Permanent buildings but bolt together modular sheds. Mr Bray states this is the way we build and he is right.

No 2 shed is a replacement for the boathouse, that is in our conservation area and now has been over taken by nature (due to Covid), does he want us to disturb this by putting the shed in the original position, as a conservationist I would prefer not to do this, as all my site is in the green belt so whenever I replace a shed or move a container it will always be in the green belt. He is still confused with building no1, the container and the shed we moved from its original position became one We didn't double the size of the container we just added it to the shed, covered it in wood and painted green, Just like all the other sheds on site.

Mr Bray's photograph of the incomplete sheds and materials we were either keeping or disposing of was only accurate for 2 to 5 working days, but he knew this. He was told this and even when we produced the photographs showing this area complete he still sent his photographs to people to comment on knowing that they were not true and accurate description of the site. The Parish Councils letter is virtually word for word of Mr Brays inventive narrative, they have not been to site and cannot be seen from the road. The pallets Mr Bray said were piled high and spoiled the openness of the greenbelt is 1.7m this is shown on Mr Brays own photographs. The Building no 1 of which the Parish Council comment on its height, they don't know how tall it is because Mr Bray hasn't told them, They don't know the barns next to them are 8 metres tall and also clad in wood and painted green, Mr Bray uses exaggerated language for dramatic effect produces misleading documentation but produces very little evidence to support this, Mr Bray quotes from the CSDP and that's why planning will never be given, the planning committee quotes from the CSDP and grants planning for stables, ménage's, roads and concrete pads all in the green belt to my neighbours.

Mr Bray was adamant that planning would not be approved, I'm not so sure. (Even through everything has been on site for 12 years and 1 hundred years). He produces Ariel photographs of our site showing sheds and containers being introduced, but there are letters from the council and after consultation with them no further action was taken. We can only assume that L McDonald, F Whitley, C Hemmingsley and the chief planning officer Mr Merrideth did there jobs properly and was satisfied with what we had done and we had complied with current planning laws.

We are disappointed that the LPA cannot see the care and consideration we have taken to protect the conservation and biodiversity of our site. Year on year we increase the area of conservation and biodiversity and education of families and people from all backgrounds and encourage them to become self aware of the little things they can do in their gardens to increase their own biodiversity.

We are not the enemy of the green belt and its conservation we are the protectors and we ask for nothing and we pay, because it is our Home and we have a duty to protect the site through conservation and education of the people and the children who help us to look after it.

We believe and the people who come here to escape the mental pressure of life, that the lodge sited on Chapel pool, is part of protecting and maintaining our conservation area thus increasing our biodiversity, therefore it is ancillary use for our business and helps us to protect our site and educate families on the importance of conservation in the green belt.

Mr Bray rushed into enforcement notice without gathering any of the facts and documents that we had offered. On us producing these documents at appeal he then tried to adapt his narrative to suit them, if we had not kept photographs, letters from the council and produce witness statements Mr Brays narrative would have stood. Our narrative has not changed from the very first letter we sent to him we have proven what we have written was true and accurate.